

1-00-04/15.013

2199

DOE/OR/11-1231/V1&D3

1-00-04/15.013A 3018

QUADRANT I RFI FINAL REPORT

for

**Portsmouth Gaseous Diffusion Plant
Piketon, Ohio****VOLUME 1****Text/Figures/Tables****Released
for
Public Review**



2199

**Department of Energy
Portsmouth Site Office
P.O. Box 700
Piketon, Ohio 45661-0700
Phone: 614-897-5010**

September 6, 1996
EF-21-7892

Mr. Gene Jablonowski
U. S. Environmental Protection Agency
Region V (HSF-5J)
77 West Jackson
Chicago, IL 60604

Ms. Maria Galanti
Ohio Environmental Protection Agency
Southeast District Office
2195 Front Street
Logan, Ohio 43138

Ms. Linda Welch, Chief
Ohio Environmental Protection Agency
P. O. Box 1049
Columbus, Ohio 43266-0149

Dear Mesdames/Sir:

**SUBMITTAL OF QUADRANT I RCRA FACILITY INVESTIGATION (RFI) FINAL
REPORT**

Enclosed is a copy of the Quadrant I RFI Final Report (Revision D3). The deliverable consists of two parts:

1. Volume 1 (in binder)
2. Revised pages for Volume 2 through 5 (in expandable folder)

A sheet of instructions detailing the procedure for replacing and inserting pages of the Quadrant I RFI report is included in the inside cover of Volume I. Please insert the revised pages into your copy of the report. Also included inside the folder is the Roadmap for Responses to Ohio EPA and U. S. EPA Comments, which is a guide to the exact locations in the text where each comment is addressed.

Please note that located throughout the report are other changes necessary to respond to both OEPA and USEPA general comments. These changes will improve the usability and make to report consistent with other Quadrant RFI Reports.

Jablonowski/Galanti/Welch

-2-

September 6, 1996

If you have any questions or comments regarding this submittal, please contact John Sheppard at (614) 897-5510.

Sincerely,


Eugene W. Gillespie
Site Manager
Portsmouth Site Office

EF-21:Perkins

Enclosures

cc: T. David Taylor, LMES-PORTS
Administrative Records, MS-7614
John Grabs, PRC Management

RFI FINAL REPORT DIRECTIONS

1. REPLACE *entire* Volume 1 (June 20, 1994) with REVISED Volume 1 (September 9, 1996)
2. REPLACE Appendix D1 (*only* QI/QII Phase II, BERA Phase I, BERA Phase II) with REVISED Appendix D1 (QI/QII Phase II, BERA F/W, BERA Sp/Su)

Note: Tabs are included and have been pre-collated

3. INSERT Tabs (Appendix D1 and QI/QII P1 L3) at the beginning of APPENDIX D1
4. INSERT Level II sample location figure at the beginning of Appendix D2
5. REPLACE Appendix E (*only* QI/QII Phase I Field GC) with REVISED Appendix E (QI/QII Phase I Field GC)
6. REPLACE *entire* Appendix H.5 with REVISED Appendix H.5
7. REPLACE Appendix H.9 with REVISED PAGES of Appendix H.9

Note: Individual pages will have to be located and replaced. Page numbers are stamped at the bottom of each page.

8. INSERT Appendix H list behind the Appendix H tab
9. REPLACE original covers and spines for Volumes 2 thru 5
Volume 2: DOE/OR/11-1231/V2&D2 with DOE/OR/11-1231/V2&D3
Volume 3: DOE/OR/11-1231/V3&D2 with DOE/OR/11-1231/V3&D3
Volume 4: DOE/OR/11-1231/V4&D2 with DOE/OR/11-1231/V4&D3
Volume 5: DOE/OR/11-1231/V5&D2 with DOE/OR/11-1231/V5&D3

Note: Covers and spines are located in the inside pocket of Volume 1

10. REPLACE original inside clear cover sleeves for Volumes 2 through 5 with REVISED clear inside cover sleeves for Volumes 2 through 5



3018

2199

Department of Energy
Portsmouth Site Office
P.O. Box 700
Piketon, Ohio 45661-0700
Phone: 614-897-5010

October 22, 1997
EF-21-8805

Ms. Maria Galanti
Ohio Environmental Protection Agency
Southeast District Office
2195 Front Street
Logan, Ohio 43138

Ms. Linda Welch, Chief
Division of Solid and Hazardous Waste
Ohio Environmental Protection Agency
P. O. Box 1049
Columbus, Ohio 43266-0149


Dear Mesdames:

**ADDITIONAL PAGE TO THE QUADRANT I, II, III AND IV RESOURCE
CONSERVATION AND RECOVERY ACT (RCRA) FACILITY INVESTIGATION (RFI)
FINAL REPORTS**

Enclosed is a copy of an additional page to the Quadrant I, II, III, and IV RFI Final Reports. As previously agreed, this page is to be inserted into its respective report to incorporate the Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbon (PAH) position paper into the RFI Final Report. Please insert enclosed pages as the first page within the Executive Summary section of each report.

If you have any questions, please contact Kristi Wiehle of my staff at (614) 897-5020.

Sincerely,


Eugene W. Gillespie
Site Manager
Portsmouth Site Office

EF-21:Wiehle

cc: Administrative Records, MS-7614
T. David Taylor, LMES-PORTS
Gene Jablonowski, USEPA

QUADRANT I RFI FINAL REPORT

for
**Portsmouth Gaseous Diffusion Plant
Piketon, Ohio**

VOLUME 1**Text/Figures/Tables**

September 9, 1996

By

Geraghty & Miller, Inc.
Environmental Services
Under LMES Contract 30B-00001B

Prepared For
U.S. Department of Energy
Office of Environmental Restoration and Waste Management
Under Budget and Reporting Code EW2010301

LOCKHEED MARTIN ENERGY SYSTEMS, INC.
Environmental Restoration and Waste Management
P.O. Box 628 Piketon, Ohio 45661

Under Contract DE-AC05-76OR00001
to the
U.S. Department of Energy

**First Draft Issued Unnumbered
Actual Date February 19, 1992**

RFI REPORT VOLUME LISTING

VOLUME 1

TEXT/FIGURES/TABLES

VOLUME 2

APPENDIX A - PLATES

VOLUME 3

APPENDIX B - WASTE CHARACTERIZATION DATA SHEETS
APPENDIX C - QA/QC SUMMARY REPORT
APPENDIX D1 - SAVANNAH/PORTS DATA - LEVEL III

VOLUME 4

APPENDIX D2 - SAVANNAH/PORTS DATA - LEVEL II
APPENDIX D3 - GEOTECHNICAL DATA
APPENDIX D4 - X-120 SOIL GAS SURVEY
APPENDIX E - FIELD-GC DATA
APPENDIX F - GTGS LOGS
APPENDIX G - STATISTICS/GEOSTATISTICS

VOLUME 5

APPENDIX H - RISK ASSESSMENT

TABLE OF CONTENTS

LIST OF FIGURES	xix of lxiv
LIST OF TABLES	xxxiv of lxiv
LIST OF PLATES	lvi of lxiv
LIST OF APPENDICES	lvii of lxiv
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	lix of lxiv
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	E1 of E9
1.0 INTRODUCTION	1 of 5
1.1 Background	1 of 5
1.2 Purpose of This Investigation	2 of 5
1.3 Conceptual Approach to RCRA Corrective Action	3 of 5
1.4 References	5 of 5
2.0 CHARACTERIZATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL SETTING	1 of 22
2.1 Physiographic Setting of the PORTS Facility	1 of 22
2.2 Geology of the PORTS Facility	1 of 22
2.2.1 Bedrock Geology	3 of 22
2.2.2 Unconsolidated Sediments	4 of 22
2.2.2.1 Gallia Sand and Gravel	5 of 22
2.2.2.2 Minford Clay and Silt	6 of 22
2.2.3 Geologic Structure	7 of 22
2.3 Hydrogeology of the PORTS Facility	9 of 22
2.3.1 Hydraulic Properties	9 of 22
2.3.2 Groundwater Recharge and Discharge Areas	11 of 22
2.3.2.1 Natural Recharge and Discharge Areas	11 of 22
2.3.2.2 Man-Made Recharge and Discharge Areas	12 of 22
2.3.3 Groundwater Flow	13 of 22
2.3.3.1 Quadrant I - Southern Flow Region	15 of 22
2.3.3.2 Quadrant II - Eastern Flow Region	16 of 22
2.3.3.3 Quadrant III - Western Flow Region	17 of 22
2.3.3.4 Quadrant IV - Northern Flow Region	19 of 22
2.4 References	21 of 22

TABLE OF CONTENTS (continued)

3.0	CHARACTERIZATION OF WASTES	1 of 3
3.1	Introduction	1 of 3
3.2	Waste Characterization Data Sheets	1 of 3
3.3	References	3 of 3
4.0	TECHNICAL APPROACH AND UNIT INVESTIGATIONS IN QUADRANT I	1 of 193
4.1	Introduction	1 of 193
4.2	Technical Approach	7 of 193
4.3	Unit Investigations and Results	8 of 193
4.3.1	X-103 Auxiliary Office Building	9 of 193
4.3.1.1	Unit Description	9 of 193
4.3.1.2	Potential Contaminants	9 of 193
4.3.1.3	Potential Releases	10 of 193
4.3.1.4	Summary of Investigation: Phase I	10 of 193
4.3.1.5	Analytical Results: Phase I	10 of 193
4.3.1.5.1	Results of Soil Analyses: Phase I	10 of 193
4.3.1.6	Summary of Investigation: Phase II	11 of 193
4.3.1.7	Analytical Results: Phase II	12 of 193
4.3.1.7.1	Results of Soil Analyses: Phase II	12 of 193
4.3.1.8	Discussion	12 of 193
4.3.2	X-104A Indoor Firing Range	14 of 193
4.3.2.1	Unit Description	14 of 193
4.3.2.2	Potential Contaminants	14 of 193
4.3.2.3	Potential Releases	14 of 193
4.3.2.4	Summary of Investigation: Phase I	15 of 193
4.3.2.5	Analytical Results: Phase I	15 of 193
4.3.2.5.1	Results of Soil Analyses: Phase I	15 of 193
4.3.2.6	Summary of Investigation: Phase II	16 of 193
4.3.2.7	Discussion	16 of 193
4.3.3	X-120 Old Training Facility	17 of 193
4.3.3.1	Unit Description	17 of 193
4.3.3.2	Potential Contaminants	17 of 193
4.3.3.3	Potential Releases	17 of 193
4.3.3.4	Summary of Investigation: Phase I	18 of 193
4.3.3.5	Analytical Results: Phase I	20 of 193
4.3.3.5.1	Results of Soil Analyses: Phase I	20 of 193

TABLE OF CONTENTS (continued)

	4.3.3.5.2	Results of Groundwater Analyses: Phase I	21 of 193
4.3.3.6		Summary of Investigation: Phase II	23 of 193
4.3.3.7		Analytical Results: Phase II	26 of 193
	4.3.3.7.1	Results of Soil Analyses: Phase II	26 of 193
	4.3.3.7.2	Results of Groundwater Analyses: Phase II	27 of 193
4.3.3.8		Discussion	29 of 193
4.3.4	X-230K	South Holding Pond	34 of 193
	4.3.4.1	Unit Description	34 of 193
	4.3.4.2	Potential Contaminants	34 of 193
	4.3.4.3	Potential Releases	35 of 193
	4.3.4.4	Summary of Investigation: Phase I	35 of 193
	4.3.4.5	Analytical Results: Phase I	36 of 193
	4.3.4.5.1	Results of Soil Analyses: Phase I	36 of 193
	4.3.4.5.2	Results of Groundwater Analyses: Phase I	38 of 193
	4.3.4.6	Summary of Investigation: Phase II	38 of 193
	4.3.4.7	Analytical Results: Phase II	39 of 193
	4.3.4.7.1	Results of Soil Analyses: Phase II	39 of 193
	4.3.4.7.2	Results of Groundwater Analyses: Phase II	39 of 193
	4.3.4.8	Discussion	39 of 193
4.3.5	X-231A	SE Oil Biodegradation Plot	42 of 193
	4.3.5.1	Unit Description	42 of 193
	4.3.5.2	Potential Contaminants	43 of 193
	4.3.5.3	Potential Releases	43 of 193
	4.3.5.4	Summary of Investigation: Phase I	43 of 193
	4.3.5.5	Summary of Investigation: Phase II	43 of 193
	4.3.5.6	Analytical Results: Phase II	44 of 193
	4.3.5.6.1	Results of Soil Analyses: Phase II	44 of 193
	4.3.5.6.2	Results of Groundwater Analyses: Phase II	46 of 193
	4.3.5.7	Discussion	46 of 193
4.3.6	X-600	Coal-Fired Steam Plant; X-600A Coal Storage Yard; X-621 Coal-Pile-Runoff Treatment Facility	48 of 193
	4.3.6.1	Unit Description	48 of 193

TABLE OF CONTENTS (continued)

4.3.6.2	Potential Contaminants	49 of 193
4.3.6.3	Potential Releases	49 of 193
4.3.6.4	Summary of Investigation: Phase I	50 of 193
4.3.6.5	Analytical Results: Phase I	52 of 193
4.3.6.5.1	Results of Surface-Water	
	Analyses: Phase I	52 of 193
4.3.6.5.2	Results of Sediment Analyses:	
	Phase I	52 of 193
4.3.6.5.3	Results of Soil Analyses:	
	Phase I	53 of 193
4.3.6.5.4	Results of Groundwater	
	Analyses: Phase I	54 of 193
4.3.6.6	Summary of Investigation: Phase II	54 of 193
4.3.6.7	Analytical Results: Phase II	55 of 193
4.3.6.7.1	Results of Groundwater	
	Analyses: Phase II	55 of 193
4.3.6.8	Discussion	55 of 193
4.3.7	X-626-1 Recirculating Cooling Water Pump House;	
	X-626-2 Cooling Tower	57 of 193
4.3.7.1	Unit Description	57 of 193
4.3.7.2	Potential Contaminants	60 of 193
4.3.7.3	Potential Releases	60 of 193
4.3.7.4	Summary of Investigation:	
	Phase I	60 of 193
4.3.7.5	Analytical Results: Phase I	62 of 193
4.3.7.5.1	Results of Basin-Water	
	Analyses: Phase I	62 of 193
4.3.7.5.2	Results of Soil Analyses - Drift	
	Area: Phase I	63 of 193
4.3.7.5.3	Results of Soil Analyses:	
	Phase I	64 of 193
4.3.7.5.4	Results of Groundwater	
	Analyses: Phase I	65 of 193
4.3.7.6	Discussion	67 of 193
4.3.8	X-710 Technical Services Building; X-710(A)	
	Neutralization Pit; X-710 Radioactive	
	Wastewater Tank	69 of 193
4.3.8.1	Unit Description	69 of 193
4.3.8.2	Potential Contaminants	71 of 193
4.3.8.3	Potential Releases	71 of 193
4.3.8.4	Summary of Investigation: Phase I	71 of 193

TABLE OF CONTENTS (continued)

4.3.8.5	Analytical Results: Phase I	73 of 193
4.3.8.5.1	Results of Soil Analyses:	
	Phase I	73 of 193
4.3.8.5.2	Results of Groundwater	
	Analyses: Phase I	74 of 193
4.3.8.6	Summary of Investigation: Phase II	75 of 193
4.3.8.7	Discussion	75 of 193
4.3.9	X-741 Oil Drum Storage Facility	77 of 193
4.3.9.1	Unit Description	77 of 193
4.3.9.2	Potential Contaminants	77 of 193
4.3.9.3	Potential Releases	77 of 193
4.3.9.4	Summary of Investigation: Phase I	78 of 193
4.3.9.5	Analytical Results: Phase I	78 of 193
4.3.9.5.1	Results of Soil Analyses:	
	Phase I	78 of 193
4.3.9.6	Summary of Investigation: Phase II	79 of 193
4.3.9.7	Analytical Results: Phase II	80 of 193
4.3.9.7.1	Results of Soil Analyses:	
	Phase II	80 of 193
4.3.9.8	Discussion	81 of 193
4.3.10	X-747F Miscellaneous Material Storage Yard	83 of 193
4.3.10.1	Unit Description	83 of 193
4.3.10.2	Potential Contaminants	83 of 193
4.3.10.3	Potential Releases	83 of 193
4.3.10.4	Summary of Investigations: Phase I	83 of 193
4.3.10.5	Analytical Results: Phase I	84 of 193
4.3.10.5.1	Results of Soil Analyses:	
	Phase I	84 of 193
4.3.10.5.2	Results of Groundwater	
	Analyses: Phase I	86 of 193
4.3.10.6	Summary of Investigation: Phase II	87 of 193
4.3.10.7	Analytical Results: Phase II	88 of 193
4.3.10.7.1	Results of Soil Analyses:	
	Phase II	88 of 193
4.3.10.7.2	Results of Groundwater	
	Analyses: Phase II	89 of 193
4.3.10.8	Discussion	89 of 193
4.3.11	X-749 Landfill	92 of 193
4.3.11.1	Unit Description	92 of 193
4.3.11.2	Potential Contaminants	94 of 193
4.3.11.3	Potential Releases	94 of 193

TABLE OF CONTENTS (continued)

4.3.11.4	Summary of Investigation: Phase I	94 of 193
4.3.11.5	Summary of Investigation: Phase II	95 of 193
4.3.11.6	Analytical Results: Phase II	97 of 193
4.3.11.6.1	Results of Groundwater Analyses: Phase II	97 of 193
4.3.11.7	Discussion	99 of 193
4.3.12	X-749A Classified Materials Burial Ground	100 of 193
4.3.12.1	Unit Description	100 of 193
4.3.12.2	Potential Contaminants	100 of 193
4.3.12.3	Potential Releases	101 of 193
4.3.12.4	Summary of Investigation: Phase I	101 of 193
4.3.12.5	Analytical Results: Phase I	102 of 193
4.3.12.5.1	Results of Soil Analyses: Phase I	102 of 193
4.3.12.5.2	Results of Groundwater Analyses: Phase I	103 of 193
4.3.12.6	Summary of Investigation: Phase II	103 of 193
4.3.12.7	Discussion	104 of 193
4.3.13	X-751 Mobile Equipment Garage	105 of 193
4.3.13.1	Unit Description	105 of 193
4.3.13.2	Potential Contaminants	106 of 193
4.3.13.3	Potential Releases	106 of 193
4.3.13.4	Summary of Investigation: Phase I	106 of 193
4.3.13.5	Summary of Investigation: Phase II	107 of 193
4.3.13.6	Analytical Results: Phase II	108 of 193
4.3.13.6.1	Results of Waste-Water Analyses: Phase II	108 of 193
4.3.13.6.2	Results of Soil Analyses: Phase II	109 of 193
4.3.13.7	Discussion	109 of 193
4.3.14	X-760 Pilot Investigation Building; X-760 Neutralization Pit	110 of 193
4.3.14.1	Unit Description	110 of 193
4.3.14.2	Potential Contaminants	110 of 193
4.3.14.3	Potential Releases	110 of 193
4.3.14.4	Summary of Investigation: Phase I	111 of 193
4.3.14.5	Analytical Results: Phase I	112 of 193
4.3.14.5.1	Results of Soil Analyses: Phase I	112 of 193
4.3.14.5.2	Results of Groundwater Analyses: Phase I	114 of 193

TABLE OF CONTENTS (continued)

4.3.14.6	Discussion	114 of 193
4.3.15	X-2230M Southwest Holding Pond	116 of 193
4.3.15.1	Unit Description	116 of 193
4.3.15.2	Potential Contaminants	116 of 193
4.3.15.3	Potential Releases	116 of 193
4.3.15.4	Summary of Investigation: Phase I	117 of 193
4.3.15.5	Analytical Results: Phase I	118 of 193
4.3.15.5.1	Results of Surface-Water Analyses: Phase I	118 of 193
4.3.15.5.2	Results of Sediment Analyses: Phase I	119 of 193
4.3.15.6	Summary of Investigation: Phase II	120 of 193
4.3.15.7	Analytical Results: Phase II	121 of 193
4.3.15.7.1	Results of Surface-Water Analyses: Phase II	121 of 193
4.3.15.7.2	Results of Sediment Analyses: Phase II	122 of 193
4.3.15.7.3	Results of Soil Analyses: Phase II	123 of 193
4.3.15.8	Discussion	124 of 193
4.3.16	Big Run Creek	127 of 193
4.3.16.1	Unit Description	127 of 193
4.3.16.2	Potential Contaminants	127 of 193
4.3.16.3	Potential Releases	127 of 193
4.3.16.4	Summary of Investigation: Phase I	127 of 193
4.3.16.5	Analytical Results: Phase I	128 of 193
4.3.16.5.1	Results of Surface-Water Analyses: Phase I	128 of 193
4.3.16.5.2	Results of Sediment Analyses: Phase I	129 of 193
4.3.16.6	Summary of Investigation: Phase II	130 of 193
4.3.16.7	Analytical Results: Phase II	131 of 193
4.3.16.7.1	Results of Surface-Water Analyses: Phase II	131 of 193
4.3.16.7.1	Results of Sediment Analyses: Phase II	131 of 193
4.3.16.7.3	Results of Soil Analyses: Phase II	132 of 193
4.3.16.8	Discussion	132 of 193
4.3.17	Gaseous Centrifuge Enrichment Plant	135 of 193
4.3.17.1	Unit Description	135 of 193

TABLE OF CONTENTS (continued)

4.3.17.2	Potential Contaminants	135 of 193
4.3.17.3	Potential Releases	135 of 193
4.3.17.4	Summary of Investigation: Phase I	136 of 193
4.3.17.5	Analytical Results: Phase I	136 of 193
4.3.17.5.1	Results of Waste-Water	
	Analyses: Phase I	136 of 193
4.3.17.6	Summary of Investigation: Phase II	139 of 193
4.3.17.7	Results of Tank Integrity Testing	139 of 193
4.3.17.8	Results of Visual Inspection	141 of 193
4.3.17.9	Discussion	141 of 193
4.3.18	Peter Kiewit Landfill/XT-847	
	Construction Warehouse	143 of 193
4.3.18.1	Unit Description	143 of 193
4.3.18.2	Potential Contaminants	143 of 193
4.3.18.3	Potential Releases	144 of 193
4.3.18.4	Summary of Investigation: Phase I	144 of 193
4.3.18.5	Analytical Results: Phase I	146 of 193
4.3.18.5.1	Results of Surface-Water	
	Analyses: Phase I	146 of 193
4.3.18.5.2	Results of Sediment	
	Analyses: Phase I	147 of 193
4.3.18.5.3	Results of Soil Analyses:	
	Phase I	148 of 193
4.3.18.5.4	Results of Groundwater	
	Analyses: Phase I	149 of 193
4.3.18.6	Summary of Investigation: Phase II	150 of 193
4.3.18.7	Analytical Results: Phase II	151 of 193
4.3.18.7.1	Results of Soil Analyses:	
	Phase II	151 of 193
4.3.18.8	Discussion	152 of 193
4.3.19	Recirculating Cooling Water System	155 of 193
4.3.19.1	Unit Description	155 of 193
4.3.19.2	Potential Contaminants	157 of 193
4.3.19.3	Potential Releases	157 of 193
4.3.19.4	Summary of Investigation: Phase I	158 of 193
4.3.19.5	Analytical Results: Phase I	159 of 193
4.3.19.5.1	Results of Soil Analyses:	
	Phase I	159 of 193
4.3.19.5.2	Results of Groundwater	
	Analyses: Phase I	161 of 193
4.3.19.6	Summary of Investigation: Phase II	162 of 193

TABLE OF CONTENTS (continued)

4.3.19.7	Discussion	162 of 193
4.3.20	Sanitary Sewer System and the X-614D Sewage Lift Station	164 of 193
4.3.20.1	Unit Description	164 of 193
4.3.20.2	Potential Contaminants	165 of 193
4.3.20.3	Potential Releases	165 of 193
4.3.20.4	Summary of Investigation: Phase I	165 of 193
4.3.20.5	Analytical Results: Phase I	167 of 193
4.3.20.5.1	Results of Soil Analyses: Phase I	167 of 193
4.3.20.5.2	Results of Groundwater Analyses: Phase I	168 of 193
4.3.20.6	Summary of Investigation: Phase II	169 of 193
4.3.20.7	Discussion	169 of 193
4.3.21	Storm Sewer System	171 of 193
4.3.21.1	Unit Description	171 of 193
4.3.21.2	Potential Contaminants	171 of 193
4.3.21.3	Potential Releases	171 of 193
4.3.21.4	Summary of Investigation: Phase I	172 of 193
4.3.21.5	Analytical Results: Phase I	174 of 193
4.3.21.5.1	Results of Surface-Water Analyses: Phase I	174 of 193
4.3.21.5.2	Results of Sediment Analyses: Phase I	174 of 193
4.3.21.5.3	Results of Soil Analyses: Phase I	175 of 193
4.3.21.5.4	Results of Groundwater Analyses: Phase I	177 of 193
4.3.21.6	Summary of Investigation: Phase II	177 of 193
4.3.21.7	Analytical Results: Phase II	178 of 193
4.3.21.7.1	Results of Soil Analyses: Phase II	178 of 193
4.3.21.7.2	Results of Groundwater Analyses: Phase II	179 of 193
4.3.21.8	Discussion	179 of 193
4.3.22	Quadrant I Investigative Area	182 of 193
4.3.22.1	Summary of Investigation: Phase I	182 of 193
4.3.22.2	Analytical Results: Phase I	183 of 193
4.3.22.2.1	Results of Groundwater Analyses: Phase I	183 of 193
4.3.22.3	Summary of Investigation: Phase II	185 of 193

TABLE OF CONTENTS (continued)

4.3.22.4	Analytical Results: Phase II	185 of 193
4.3.22.4.1	Results of Groundwater Analyses: Phase II	185 of 193
4.3.22.5	Discussion	187 of 193
4.4	References	191 of 193
5.0	GROUNDWATER FLOW AND SOLUTE TRANSPORT MODELING . . .	1 of 41
5.1	Introduction	1 of 41
5.2	Conceptual Model	2 of 41
5.2.1	Geologic Framework	2 of 41
5.2.2	Hydraulic Properties	2 of 41
5.2.3	Sources and Sinks	4 of 41
5.2.4	Groundwater Flow Directions	5 of 41
5.3	Groundwater Flow Model Construction	6 of 41
5.3.1	Code Selection	6 of 41
5.3.2	Model Discretization	7 of 41
5.3.3	Boundary Conditions	9 of 41
5.3.4	Parameter Zonation	12 of 41
5.4	Groundwater Flow Model Calibration	13 of 41
5.4.1	Parameter Estimation Technique	14 of 41
5.4.2	Calibration Targets	15 of 41
5.4.3	Calibration Results	16 of 41
5.4.3.1	Predicted Groundwater Elevations	16 of 41
5.4.3.2	Calibrated Parameter Values and Zonation	18 of 41
5.4.3.3	Sensitivity Analysis	20 of 41
5.4.3.4	Model Water Budget	21 of 41
5.5	Groundwater Flow Model Analysis	22 of 41
5.5.1	Groundwater Velocity	22 of 41
5.5.2	Particle Tracking	25 of 41
5.6	Solute Transport Model Construction	26 of 41
5.6.1	Code Selection	26 of 41
5.6.2	Model Discretization	27 of 41
5.6.3	Transport Parameters	27 of 41
5.6.4	Initial Conditions	32 of 41
5.6.5	Boundary Conditions	33 of 41
5.7	Solute Transport Analysis	33 of 41
5.7.1	Typical Exposure Scenario	34 of 41
5.7.2	Reasonable Maximum Exposure Scenario	36 of 41
5.8	References	39 of 41

TABLE OF CONTENTS (continued)

6.0	BASELINE RISK ASSESSMENT	1 of 349
6.1	Introduction	1 of 349
6.1.1	Scope of the Risk Assessment	1 of 349
6.1.2	Organization of Risk Assessment	2 of 349
6.2	Identification of Chemicals of Potential Concern	3 of 349
6.2.1	Identification of SWMUs	3 of 349
6.2.2	Data Evaluation Considerations	5 of 349
6.2.2.1	General Considerations	5 of 349
6.2.2.2	Data Excluded from BRA	7 of 349
6.2.2.3	Comparison of Samples with Background	8 of 349
6.2.2.4	Duplicates	9 of 349
6.2.3	Summary and Presentation of Data	10 of 349
6.2.3.1	Soil	11 of 349
6.2.3.2	Sediment	12 of 349
6.2.3.3	Groundwater	14 of 349
6.2.3.4	Surface Water	15 of 349
6.2.3.5	Fish	17 of 349
6.3	Exposure Assessment	18 of 349
6.3.1	Introduction	18 of 349
6.3.2	Characterization of Exposure Setting	18 of 349
6.3.3	Identification of Human Exposure Pathways	21 of 349
6.3.3.1	Current Use Exposure Pathways	22 of 349
6.3.3.2	Future Use Exposure Pathways	26 of 349
6.3.3.3	Exposure Pathways Associated with the Excavation Worker	29 of 349
6.3.3.4	Exposure of Skin to Beta-Emitting Radiation	29 of 349
6.3.4	Estimation of Environmental Concentrations	30 of 349
6.3.4.1	Directly Measured Exposure Media	33 of 349
6.3.4.1.1	Soil	33 of 349
6.3.4.1.2	Sediment	34 of 349
6.3.4.1.3	Groundwater	34 of 349
6.3.4.1.4	Surface Water	34 of 349
6.3.4.2	Modeled Exposure Media	34 of 349
6.3.4.3	Special Considerations for Estimating Environmental Concentrations of Uranium	36 of 349
6.3.5	Estimation of Human Intake	38 of 349
6.3.5.1	Overview	38 of 349
6.3.5.2	Intake Assumptions for the On-Site Worker	44 of 349
6.3.5.3	Intake Assumptions for the On-Site Residential Population	45 of 349

TABLE OF CONTENTS (continued)

6.3.5.4	Intake Assumptions for the Recreational Population	47 of 349
6.3.5.5	Intake Assumptions under the Excavation Scenario	49 of 349
6.3.5.6	Methodology for Estimation of Absorbed Doses	50 of 349
6.3.5.6.1	Dermal Absorption of Chemicals from Soil	50 of 349
6.3.5.6.2	Dermal Absorption of Chemicals from Water	51 of 349
6.3.5.7	Summary of Intake Estimates	52 of 349
6.4	Toxicological Assessment	52 of 349
6.4.1	Introduction	52 of 349
6.4.2	Toxicological Assessment for Noncancer Effects	54 of 349
6.4.3	Toxicological Assessment for Chemical Carcinogens	57 of 349
6.4.4	Toxicological Assessment for Radionuclides	59 of 349
6.4.5	Estimation of Toxicity Values for Evaluating Dermal Exposure	60 of 349
6.4.6	Acute Toxicity Assessment	62 of 349
6.4.7	Toxicity Profiles of Contaminants of Potential Concern	63 of 349
6.4.7.1	Organic Compounds	63 of 349
6.4.7.1.1	Acenaphthene	63 of 349
6.4.7.1.2	Acenaphthylene	63 of 349
6.4.7.1.3	Acrolein	63 of 349
6.4.7.1.4	Acrylonitrile	64 of 349
6.4.7.1.5	Aldrin	65 of 349
6.4.7.1.6	Anthracene	65 of 349
6.4.7.1.7	Aroclor 1242, 1254, and 1260	65 of 349
6.4.7.1.8	Benzene	66 of 349
6.4.7.1.9	Benzo(a)anthracene	67 of 349
6.4.7.1.10	Benzo(a)pyrene	67 of 349
6.4.7.1.11	Benzo(b)fluoranthene	67 of 349
6.4.7.1.12	Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	67 of 349
6.4.7.1.13	Benzo(k)fluoranthene	67 of 349
6.4.7.1.14	Benzoic Acid	68 of 349
6.4.7.1.15	Alpha- and Beta-BHC	68 of 349
6.4.7.1.16	Bromoform	69 of 349
6.4.7.1.17	Carbon Disulfide	69 of 349
6.4.7.1.18	Carbon Tetrachloride	70 of 349
6.4.7.1.19	gamma-Chlordane	71 of 349
6.4.7.1.20	Chlorobenzene	72 of 349

TABLE OF CONTENTS (continued)

6.4.7.1.21	Chloroethane	73 of 349
6.4.7.1.22	Chloroform	73 of 349
6.4.7.1.23	Chloromethane	74 of 349
6.4.7.1.24	4-Chloro-3-methylphenol	75 of 349
6.4.7.1.25	2-Chlorophenol	75 of 349
6.4.7.1.26	Chrysene	76 of 349
6.4.7.1.27	4,4'-DDT	76 of 349
6.4.7.1.28	Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	77 of 349
6.4.7.1.29	Dibenzofuran	77 of 349
6.4.7.1.30	1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane	77 of 349
6.4.7.1.31	Dibromomethane	79 of 349
6.4.7.1.32	1,2-Dichlorobenzene	79 of 349
6.4.7.1.33	1,4-Dichlorobenzene	80 of 349
6.4.7.1.34	1,1-Dichloroethane	81 of 349
6.4.7.1.35	1,2-Dichloroethane	81 of 349
6.4.7.1.36	1,1-Dichloroethene	82 of 349
6.4.7.1.37	cis- and trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	83 of 349
6.4.7.1.38	1,4-Dioxane	84 of 349
6.4.7.1.39	Endosulfan II and Endosulfan Sulfate	84 of 349
6.4.7.1.40	Ethylbenzene	85 of 349
6.4.7.1.41	Fluoranthene	85 of 349
6.4.7.1.42	Fluorene	86 of 349
6.4.7.1.43	Hexachlorobenzene	86 of 349
6.4.7.1.44	2-Hexanone	87 of 349
6.4.7.1.45	Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	87 of 349
6.4.7.1.46	Methoxychlor	87 of 349
6.4.7.1.47	2-Methylnaphthalene	88 of 349
6.4.7.1.48	4-Methyl-2-pentanone	88 of 349
6.4.7.1.49	Naphthalene	89 of 349
6.4.7.1.50	Phenanthrene	89 of 349
6.4.7.1.51	Phenol	89 of 349
6.4.7.1.52	Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons	90 of 349
6.4.7.1.53	Propionitrile	92 of 349
6.4.7.1.54	Pyrene	93 of 349
6.4.7.1.55	Styrene	93 of 349
6.4.7.1.56	Tetrachloroethene	94 of 349
6.4.7.1.57	1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	94 of 349
6.4.7.1.58	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	95 of 349
6.4.7.1.59	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	95 of 349

TABLE OF CONTENTS (continued)

	6.4.7.1.60	Trichloroethene	96 of 349
	6.4.7.1.61	Trichlorofluoromethane	97 of 349
	6.4.7.1.62	1,2,3-Trichloropropane	98 of 349
	6.4.7.1.63	1,1,2-Trichloro-1,2,2- trifluoroethane	99 of 349
	6.4.7.1.64	Vinyl Chloride	100 of 349
	6.4.7.1.65	Xylenes	101 of 349
6.4.7.2		Inorganic Chemicals	102 of 349
	6.4.7.2.1	Aluminum	102 of 349
	6.4.7.2.2	Antimony	103 of 349
	6.4.7.2.3	Arsenic	104 of 349
	6.4.7.2.4	Barium	105 of 349
	6.4.7.2.5	Beryllium	106 of 349
	6.4.7.2.6	Cadmium	107 of 349
	6.4.7.2.7	Calcium	108 of 349
	6.4.7.2.8	Chromium (III and VI)	108 of 349
	6.4.7.2.9	Cobalt	109 of 349
	6.4.7.2.10	Copper	110 of 349
	6.4.7.2.11	Cyanide, Total	111 of 349
	6.4.7.2.12	Fluoride	112 of 349
	6.4.7.2.13	Iron	112 of 349
	6.4.7.2.14	Lead	113 of 349
	6.4.7.2.15	Magnesium	114 of 349
	6.4.7.2.16	Manganese	115 of 349
	6.4.7.2.17	Mercury	116 of 349
	6.4.7.2.18	Nickel	117 of 349
	6.4.7.2.19	Potassium	118 of 349
	6.4.7.2.20	Selenium	118 of 349
	6.4.7.2.21	Silver	119 of 349
	6.4.7.2.22	Sodium	120 of 349
	6.4.7.2.23	Thallium	120 of 349
	6.4.7.2.24	Tin	121 of 349
	6.4.7.2.25	Vanadium	122 of 349
	6.4.7.2.26	Zinc	123 of 349
6.4.7.3		Radionuclides	124 of 349
	6.4.7.3.1	Uranium	124 of 349
	6.4.7.3.2	Technetium	125 of 349
6.5		Risk Characterization	127 of 349
	6.5.1	Introduction	127 of 349
	6.5.2	Methodology for Quantitative Risk Estimation	127 of 349
	6.5.2.1	Hazard Index for Noncancer Effects	127 of 349

TABLE OF CONTENTS (continued)

6.5.2.2	Cancer Risk for Chemicals	130 of 349
6.5.2.3	Cancer Risk For Radionuclides	132 of 349
6.5.2.4	Cumulative Cancer Risks from Chemical Carcinogens and Radionuclides	133 of 349
6.5.2.5	Risk Characterization for Lead	133 of 349
6.5.2.6	Assessment of Acute Toxicity	135 of 349
6.5.3	Risk Estimates	136 of 349
6.5.3.1	Overview	136 of 349
6.5.3.2	Estimates of Potential Risk for Background	138 of 349
6.5.3.2.1	Recreational Population	139 of 349
6.5.3.2.2	On-site Worker	139 of 349
6.5.3.2.3	Future On-site Resident	140 of 349
6.5.3.2.4	Excavation Worker	140 of 349
6.5.3.3	Estimates of Potential Risk for Quadrant	141 of 349
6.5.3.3.1	Ingestion of Beef and Milk	142 of 349
6.5.3.3.2	Recreational Population	142 of 349
6.5.3.4	Estimates of Potential Risk by SWMU	143 of 349
6.5.3.4.1	X-103 Auxiliary Office Building	145 of 349
6.5.3.4.2	X-104A Indoor Firing Range	147 of 349
6.5.3.4.3	X-120 Old Training Facility	148 of 349
6.5.3.4.4	X-230K South Holding Pond	152 of 349
6.5.3.4.5	X-231A SE Oil Biodegradation Plot	154 of 349
6.5.3.4.6	X-600 Coal-Fired Steam Plant; X-600A Coal Storage Yard; X-621 Coal-Pile-Runoff Treatment Facility	157 of 349
6.5.3.4.7	X-626 RCW Pump House/Cooling Towers and Quadrant I RCW System	161 of 349
6.5.3.4.8	X-710 Technical Services Building; X-710(A) Neutralization Pit; X-710	164 of 349
6.5.3.4.9	X-741 Old Drum Storage Facility	167 of 349
6.5.3.4.10	X-747F Miscellaneous Material Storage Yard	168 of 349
6.5.3.4.11	X-749A Classified Materials Burial Ground	169 of 349
6.5.3.4.12	X-751 Mobile Equipment Garage	171 of 349

TABLE OF CONTENTS (continued)

	6.5.3.4.13	X-760 Pilot Investigation Building; X-760 Neutralization Pit	172 of 349
	6.5.3.4.14	X-2230M Southwest Holding Pond	174 of 349
	6.5.3.4.15	Big Run Creek	177 of 349
	6.5.3.4.16	Peter Kiewit Landfill/XT-847 Construction Warehouse	180 of 349
	6.5.3.4.17	Storm Sewer Groundwater Well STSW-103G	184 of 349
	6.5.3.5	Potential Risks Associated with Lead	185 of 349
	6.5.3.6	Potential Risks Associated with Beta-Emitting Radiation	186 of 349
	6.5.3.7	Potential for Acute Toxicity	186 of 349
	6.5.3.8	Assessment of Potential Off-site Risks	188 of 349
	6.5.3.8.1	Off-Site Risks under Current Use Conditions	188 of 349
	6.5.3.8.2	Off-Site Risks Under Future Use Conditions	190 of 349
	6.5.3.9	Assessment of Risks for Potential Laboratory Contaminants	193 of 349
6.5.4		Uncertainties in the Risk Assessment Process	195 of 349
	6.5.4.1	Uncertainties Associated with the Exposure Assessment	196 of 349
	6.5.4.2	Uncertainties Associated with the Toxicological Assessment	208 of 349
	6.5.4.3	Summary of Uncertainties	218 of 349
6.6		Preliminary Ecological Risk Assessment (PERA)	219 of 349
	6.6.1	Objective and Scope	219 of 349
	6.6.2	Physical Characterization of PORTS and Quadrant I . . .	221 of 349
	6.6.2.1	Identification of Source Units (SWMUs) Considered in the PERA	222 of 349
	6.6.2.2	Identification of Integrator Units (Watersheds)	224 of 349
	6.6.3	Ecological Characterization of Quadrant I	226 of 349
	6.6.3.1	Wetlands	226 of 349
	6.6.3.2	Vegetative Cover	226 of 349
	6.6.3.3	Terrestrial Wildlife	226 of 349
	6.6.3.4	Reptiles and Amphibians	229 of 349
	6.6.3.5	Insects	229 of 349

TABLE OF CONTENTS (continued)

6.6.3.6	Aquatic Organisms	230 of 349
6.6.3.7	Threatened and Endangered Species	231 of 349
6.6.4	Identification of Constituents of Concern and Sources of Contamination	232 of 349
6.6.4.1	Constituents of Concern (COCs)	232 of 349
6.6.4.2	Background Levels for COCs	233 of 349
6.6.4.3	Discussion of COCs by SWMU	234 of 349
6.6.4.4	COCs by SWMUs (Location of Maximum Values)	239 of 349
6.6.4.5	SWMUs (Unique COCs)	240 of 349
6.6.4.6	Integrator Units	241 of 349
6.6.5	Environmental Fate of COCs	243 of 349
6.6.6	Derivation of Screening Benchmarks	245 of 349
6.6.6.1	Surface-Water Screening Benchmarks	245 of 349
6.6.6.2	Sediment Screening Benchmarks	246 of 349
6.6.6.3	Plant Toxicity Screening Benchmarks	248 of 349
6.6.6.4	Soil Invertebrate Screening Benchmarks	250 of 349
6.6.6.5	Screening Benchmarks for Radionuclides	251 of 349
6.6.6.6	Screening Benchmarks for Bioaccumulation Potential	252 of 349
6.6.7	Comparison of Chemicals of Concern with Screening Benchmarks	253 of 349
6.6.7.1	Quadrant-Wide Comparisons	254 of 349
6.6.7.1.1	Inorganic COCs	254 of 349
6.6.7.1.2	Organic COCs	255 of 349
6.6.7.1.3	Radionuclides	255 of 349
6.6.7.2	SWMU by SWMU Comparisons	256 of 349
6.6.7.2.1	Analysis by Environmental Medium	256 of 349
6.6.7.2.2	Analysis by SWMU	262 of 349
6.6.7.3	Magnitude of Exceedences	268 of 349
6.6.8	Conclusions	270 of 349
6.7	Risk-Based Remedial Action Objectives (RAOs)	279 of 349
6.7.1	Introduction	279 of 349
6.7.2	Methodology for Calculating RAOs	280 of 349
6.7.2.1	Exposure Scenarios for RAOs	281 of 349
6.7.2.2	Equations for Calculating RAOs	281 of 349
6.7.2.2.1	RAO Equation for Noncarcinogens	282 of 349
6.7.2.2.2	RAO Equation for Chemical Carcinogens	283 of 349

TABLE OF CONTENTS (continued)

	6.7.2.2.3	RAO Equation for Radionuclides	284 of 349
	6.7.2.2.4	RAO Equation for Multiple Exposure Pathways	284 of 349
	6.7.2.2.5	Alternative Methodology for Calculating RAOs	286 of 349
6.7.3		Summary of Risk-based RAOs for Driver Chemicals and Radionuclides	288 of 349
6.7.4		Conclusions and Observations	288 of 349
6.8		Conclusions	291 of 349
6.8.1		Human Health BRA	291 of 349
	6.8.1.1	Introduction	291 of 349
	6.8.1.2	Background Risks	292 of 349
	6.8.1.3	SWMU-Specific Risks	293 of 349
	6.8.1.3.1	Groundwater and Soil Media	293 of 349
	6.8.1.3.2	Sediment and Surface Water	297 of 349
	6.8.1.3.3	Incremental Risks	300 of 349
	6.8.1.3.4	Risks Developed using Typical Exposure Assumptions	300 of 349
	6.8.1.4	Quadrant-Wide Risks	301 of 349
6.8.2		PERA Conclusions	301 of 349
6.9		References	308 of 349
	6.9.1	General References	308 of 349
	6.9.2	References Cited in Toxicity Profiles	319 of 349
7.0		CONCLUSIONS AND PROPOSED ADDITIONAL INVESTIGATION	1 of 9
7.1		References	9 of 9

LIST OF FIGURES

- 1.1 Conceptual Approach to RCRA Corrective Action Process.
- 2.1 Local Geography of Ancient Portsmouth and Teays Rivers in the Vicinity of the Portsmouth Uranium Enrichment Plant.
- 2.2 Schematic Block Diagram of Geology of Portsmouth Uranium Enrichment Plant, Piketon, Ohio.
- 2.3 PORTS Generalized Site Stratigraphy.
- 2.4 Areal Extent of Ancient Stream Valleys in the Vicinity of Piketon, Ohio.
- 2.5 Areas of Minford Cut and Fill from Construction Activities.
- 2.6 Surface-Water Drainage and Berea Outcrop.
- 4.1 Location Map of Quadrant I Solid Waste Management Units and Investigation Areas.
- 4.2 Conceptual Approach to Evaluation of RFI Data.
- 4.3 Conceptual Approach to Release Determination in Environmental Media.
- 4.4a Sample Locations, X-103 Auxiliary Office Building, Quadrant I RFI.
- 4.4b Concentration of BTEX in Soil Samples at X-103 Auxiliary Office Building, Quadrant I RFI.
- 4.5a Sample Locations, X-104A Indoor Firing Range, Quadrant I RFI.
- 4.5b Concentration of VOCs in Soil Samples at X-104A Indoor Firing Range, Quadrant I RFI.
- 4.5c Concentration of SVOCs, PCBs and Pesticides in Soil Samples at X-104A Indoor Firing Range, Quadrant I RFI.
- 4.5d Concentration of Lead in Soil Samples at X-104A Indoor Firing Range, Quadrant I RFI.
- 4.6a Sample Locations, X-120 Old Training Facility, Quadrant I RFI.

- 4.6b Concentration of VOCs in Phase I Soil Samples at X-120 Old Training Facility, Quadrant I RFI.
- 4.6c Concentration of VOCs in Phase II Soil Samples at X-120 Old Training Facility, Quadrant I RFI.
- 4.6d Concentration of SVOCs, PCBs and Pesticides in Phase I Soil Samples at X-120 Old Training Facility, Quadrant I RFI.
- 4.6e Concentration of SVOCs, PCBs and Pesticides in Phase II Soil Samples at X-120 Old Training Facility, Quadrant I RFI.
- 4.6f Concentration of Radiological Parameters in Phase I Soil Samples at X-120 Old Training Facility, Quadrant I RFI.
- 4.6g Concentration of Radiological Parameters in Phase II Soil Samples at X-120 Old Training Facility, Quadrant I RFI.
- 4.6h Concentration of VOCs in Phase I Groundwater Samples at X-120 Old Training Facility, Quadrant I RFI.
- 4.6i Concentration of VOCs in Phase II Groundwater Samples at X-120 Old Training Facility, Quadrant I RFI.
- 4.6j Concentration of SVOCs, PCBs and Pesticides in Phase I Groundwater Samples at X-120 Old Training Facility, Quadrant I RFI.
- 4.6k Concentration of SVOCs, PCBs and Pesticides in Phase II Groundwater Samples at X-120 Old Training Facility, Quadrant I RFI.
- 4.6l Concentration of Radiological Parameters in Phase I Groundwater Samples at X-120 Old Training Facility, Quadrant I RFI.
- 4.6m Concentration of Radiological Parameters in Phase II Groundwater Samples at X-120 Old Training Facility; Quadrant I RFI.
- 4.6n Potentiometric Surface of the Gallia in the Vicinity of X-120/X-749 with TCE Contaminant Plume Overlay.
- 4.7a Sample Locations, X-230K South Holding Pond, Quadrant I RFI.
- 4.7b Concentration of VOCs in Soil Samples at X-230K South Holding Pond, Quadrant I RFI.

- 4.7c Concentration of SVOCs, PCBs and Pesticides in Soil Samples at X-230K South Holding Pond, Quadrant I RFI.
- 4.7d Concentration of Radiological Parameters in Soil Samples at X-230K South Holding Pond, Quadrant I RFI.
- 4.7e Concentration of VOCs in Groundwater Samples at X-230K South Holding Pond, Quadrant I RFI.
- 4.7f Concentration of SVOCs, PCBs and Pesticides in Groundwater Samples at X-230K South Holding Pond, Quadrant I RFI.
- 4.7g Concentration of Radiological Parameters in Groundwater Samples at X-230K South Holding Pond, Quadrant I RFI.
- 4.8a Sample Locations, X-231A Southeast Oil Biodegradation Plot, Quadrant I RFI.
- 4.8b Concentration of VOCs in Soil Samples at X-231A Southeast Oil Biodegradation Plot, Quadrant I RFI.
- 4.8c Concentration of SVOCs, PCBs and Pesticides in Soil Samples at X-231A Southeast Oil Biodegradation Plot, Quadrant I RFI.
- 4.8d Concentration of Radiological Parameters in Soil Samples at X-231A Southeast Oil Biodegradation Plot, Quadrant I RFI.
- 4.9a Sample Locations, X-600 Coal Fired Steam Plant, X-600A Coal Pile and X-621A Coal Pile Treatment Facility, Quadrant I RFI.
- 4.9b Concentration of VOCs in Surface-Water Samples at X-600 Coal Fired Steam Plant, X-600A Coal Pile and X-621A Coal Pile Treatment Facility, Quadrant I RFI.
- 4.9c Concentration of SVOCs, PCBs and Pesticides in Surface-Water Samples at X-600 Coal Fired Steam Plant, X-600A Coal Pile and X-621A Coal Pile Treatment Facility, Quadrant I RFI.
- 4.9d Concentration of Radiological Parameters in Surface-Water Samples at X-600 Coal Fired Steam Plant, X-600A Coal Pile and X-621A Coal Pile Treatment Facility, Quadrant I RFI.
- 4.9e Concentration of VOCs in Sediment Samples at X-600 Coal Fired Steam Plant, X-600A Coal Pile and X-621A Coal Pile Treatment Facility, Quadrant I RFI.

- 4.9f Concentration of SVOCs, PCBs and Pesticides in Sediment Samples at X-600 Coal Fired Steam Plant, X-600A Coal Pile and X-621A Coal Pile Treatment Facility, Quadrant I RFI.
- 4.9g Concentration of Radiological Parameters in Sediment Samples at X-600 Coal Fired Steam Plant, X-600A Coal Pile and X-621A Coal Pile Treatment Facility, Quadrant I RFI.
- 4.9h Concentration of VOCs in Soil Samples at X-600 Coal Fired Steam Plant, X-600A Coal Pile and X-621A Coal Pile Treatment Facility, Quadrant I RFI.
- 4.9i Concentration of SVOCs, PCBs and Pesticides in Soil Samples at X-600 Coal Fired Steam Plant, X-600A Coal Pile and X-621A Coal Pile Treatment Facility, Quadrant I RFI.
- 4.9j Concentration of Radiological Parameters in Soil Samples at X-600 Coal Fired Steam Plant, X-600A Coal Pile and X-621A Coal Pile Treatment Facility, Quadrant I RFI.
- 4.9k Concentration of VOCs in Groundwater Samples at X-600 Coal Fired Steam Plant, X-600A Coal Pile and X-621A Coal Pile Treatment Facility, Quadrant I RFI.
- 4.9l Concentration of Radiological Parameters in Groundwater Samples at X-600 Coal Fired Steam Plant, X-600A Coal Pile and X-621A Coal Pile Treatment Facility, Quadrant I RFI.
- 4.10a Sample Locations, X-626 RCW Cooling Tower and Basin, Quadrant I RFI.
- 4.10b Concentration of VOCs in Recirculating Cooling Basin-Water Samples at the X-626 RCW Cooling Tower and Basin, Quadrant I RFI.
- 4.10c Concentration of SVOCs, PCBs and Pesticides in Recirculating Cooling Basin-Water Samples at the X-626 RCW Cooling Tower and Basin, Quadrant I RFI.
- 4.10d Concentration of Radiological Parameters in Recirculating Cooling Basin-Water Samples at the X-626 RCW Cooling Tower and Basin, Quadrant I RFI.
- 4.10e Concentration of Radiological Parameters in Soil Samples at X-626 Drift Area, Quadrant I RFI.
- 4.10f Concentration of VOCs in Soil Samples at X-626 RCW Cooling Tower and Basin, Quadrant I RFI.

- 4.10g Concentration of SVOCs, PCBs and Pesticides in Soil Samples at the X-626 RCW Cooling Tower and Basin, Quadrant I RFI.
- 4.10h Concentration of Radiological Parameters in Soil Samples at the X-626 RCW Cooling Tower and Basin, Quadrant I RFI.
- 4.10i Concentration of VOCs in Groundwater Samples at the X-626 RCW Cooling Tower and Basin, Quadrant I RFI.
- 4.10j Concentration of SVOCs, PCBs and Pesticides in Groundwater Samples at the X-626 RCW Cooling Tower and Basin, Quadrant I RFI.
- 4.10k Concentration of Radiological Parameters in Groundwater Samples at the X-626 RCW Cooling Tower and Basin, Quadrant I RFI.
- 4.11a Sample Locations, X-710 Technical Services Building, Radioactive Waste Water Tank and Neutralization Pit, Quadrant I RFI.
- 4.11b Concentration of VOCs in Soil Samples at X-710 Technical Services Building, Radioactive Waste Water Tank and Neutralization Pit, Quadrant I RFI.
- 4.11c Concentration of SVOCs, PCBs and Pesticides in Soil Samples at X-710 Technical Services Building, Radioactive Waste Water Tank and Neutralization Pit, Quadrant I RFI.
- 4.11d Concentration of Radiological Parameters in Soil Samples at X-710 Technical Services Building, Radioactive Waste Water Tank and Neutralization Pit, Quadrant I RFI.
- 4.11e Concentration of VOCs in Groundwater Samples at X-710 Technical Services Building, Radioactive Waste Water Tank and Neutralization Pit, Quadrant I RFI.
- 4.11f Concentration of SVOCs, PCBs and Pesticides in Groundwater Samples at X-710 Technical Services Building, Radioactive Waste Water Tank and Neutralization Pit, Quadrant I RFI.
- 4.11g Concentration of Radiological Parameters in Groundwater Samples at X-710 Technical Services Building, Radioactive Waste-Water Tank and Neutralization Pit, Quadrant I RFI.
- 4.12a Sample Locations, X-741 Oil Drum Storage Facility, Quadrant I RFI.
- 4.12b Concentration of VOCs in Soil Samples at X-741 Oil Drum Storage Facility, Quadrant I RFI.

- 4.12c Concentration of SVOCs, PCBs and Pesticides in Soil Samples at X-741 Oil Drum Storage Facility, Quadrant I RFI.
- 4.12d Concentration of Radiological Parameters in Soil Samples at X-741 Oil Drum Storage Facility, Quadrant I RFI.
- 4.13a Sample Locations, X-747F Miscellaneous Material Storage Yard, Quadrant I RFI.
- 4.13b Concentration of VOCs in Soil Samples at X-747F Miscellaneous Material Storage Yard, Quadrant I RFI.
- 4.13c Concentration of SVOCs, PCBs and Pesticides in Soil Samples at X-747F Miscellaneous Material Storage Yard, Quadrant I RFI.
- 4.13d Concentration of Radiological Parameters in Soil Samples at X-747F Miscellaneous Material Storage Yard, Quadrant I RFI.
- 4.13e Concentration of VOCs in Groundwater Samples at X-747F Miscellaneous Material Storage Yard, Quadrant I RFI.
- 4.13f Concentration of SVOCs, PCBs and Pesticides in Groundwater Samples at X-747F Miscellaneous Material Storage Yard, Quadrant I RFI.
- 4.13g Concentration of Radiological Parameters in Groundwater Samples at X-747F Miscellaneous Material Storage Yard, Quadrant I RFI.
- 4.14a Sample Locations, X-749 Landfill, Quadrant I RFI.
- 4.14b Concentration of VOCs in Phase II Groundwater Samples at X-749 Landfill, Quadrant I RFI.
- 4.14b-cont. Concentration of VOCs in Phase II Groundwater Samples at X-749 Landfill, Quadrant I RFI.
- 4.14c Concentration of SVOCs, PCBs and Pesticides in Phase II Groundwater Samples at X-749 Landfill, Quadrant I RFI.
- 4.14d Concentration of Radiological Parameters in Phase II Groundwater Samples at X-749 Landfill, Quadrant I RFI.
- 4.15a Sample Locations, X-749A Classified Materials Burial Ground, Quadrant I RFI.
- 4.15b Concentration of VOCs in Soil Samples at the X-749A Classified Materials Burial Ground, Quadrant I RFI.

- 4.15c Concentration of SVOCs, PCBs and Pesticides in Soil Samples at the X-749A Classified Materials Burial Ground, Quadrant I RFI.
- 4.15d Concentration of Radiological Parameters in Soil Samples at the X-749A Classified Materials Burial Ground, Quadrant I RFI.
- 4.15e Concentration of VOCs in Groundwater Samples at the X-749A Classified Materials Burial Ground, Quadrant I RFI.
- 4.15f Concentration of Radiological Parameters in Groundwater Samples at the X-749A Classified Materials Burial Ground, Quadrant I RFI.
- 4.16a Sample Locations, X-751 Mobile Equipment Garage, Quadrant I RFI.
- 4.16b Concentration of BTEX in Phase II Waste Water Samples at X-751 Mobile Equipment Garage, Quadrant I RFI.
- 4.16c Concentration of SVOCs, PCBs and Pesticides in Phase II Waste Water Samples at X-751 Mobile Equipment Garage, Quadrant I RFI.
- 4.16d Concentration of BTEX in Phase II Soil Samples at X-751 Mobile Equipment Garage, Quadrant I RFI.
- 4.16e Concentration of SVOCs, PCBs and Pesticides in Phase II Soil Samples at X-751 Mobile Equipment Garage, Quadrant I RFI.
- 4.17a Sample Locations, X-760 Pilot Investigation Building, Quadrant I RFI.
- 4.17b Concentration of VOCs in Soil Samples at X-760 Pilot Investigation Building, Quadrant I RFI.
- 4.17c Concentration of SVOCs, PCBs and Pesticides in Soil Samples at X-760 Pilot Investigation Building, Quadrant I RFI.
- 4.17d Concentration of Radiological Parameters in Soil Samples at X-760 Pilot Investigation Building, Quadrant I RFI.
- 4.18a Sample Locations, X-2230M Southwest Holding Pond, Quadrant I RFI.
- 4.18b Concentration of VOCs in Surface-Water Samples at the X-2230M Southwest Holding Pond, Quadrant I RFI.
- 4.18c Concentration of SVOCs, PCBs and Pesticides in Surface-Water Samples at the X-2230M Southwest Holding Pond, Quadrant I RFI.

- 4.18d Concentration of Radiological Parameters in Surface-Water Samples at the X-2230M Southwest Holding Pond, Quadrant I RFI.
- 4.18e Concentration of VOCs in Sediment Samples at the X-2230M Southwest Holding Pond, Quadrant I RFI.
- 4.18f Concentration of SVOCs, PCBs and Pesticides in Sediment Samples at the X-2230M Southwest Holding Pond, Quadrant I RFI.
- 4.18g Concentration of Radiological Parameters in Sediment Samples at the X-2230M Southwest Holding Pond, Quadrant I RFI.
- 4.18h Concentration of VOCs in Soil Samples at the X-2230M Southwest Holding Pond, Quadrant I RFI.
- 4.18i Concentration of SVOCs, PCBs and Pesticides in Soil Samples at the X-2230M Southwest Holding Pond, Quadrant I RFI.
- 4.18j Concentration of Radiological Parameters in Soil Samples at the X-2230M Southwest Holding Pond, Quadrant I RFI.
- 4.19a Sample Locations, Big Run Creek (BRC), Quadrant I RFI.
- 4.19b Concentration of VOCs in Surface-Water Samples at Big Run Creek (BRC), Quadrant I RFI.
- 4.19c Concentration of SVOCs, PCBs and Pesticides in Surface-Water Samples at Big Run Creek (BRC), Quadrant I RFI.
- 4.19d Concentration of Radiological Parameters in Surface-Water Samples at Big Run Creek (BRC), Quadrant I RFI.
- 4.19e Concentration of VOCs in Sediment Samples at Big Run Creek (BRC), Quadrant I RFI.
- 4.19f Concentration of SVOCs, PCBs and Pesticides in Sediment Samples at Big Run Creek (BRC), Quadrant I RFI.
- 4.19g Concentration of Radiological Parameters in Sediment Samples at Big Run Creek (BRC), Quadrant I RFI.
- 4.19h Concentration of VOCs in Soil Samples at Big Run Creek (BRC), Quadrant I RFI.

- 4.19i Concentration of SVOCs, PCBs and Pesticides in Soil Samples at Big Run Creek (BRC), Quadrant I RFI.
- 4.19j Concentration of Radiological Parameters in Soil Samples at Big Run Creek (BRC), Quadrant I RFI.
- 4.20a Sample Locations, Gaseous Centrifuge Enrichment Process (GCEP) Area, Quadrant I RFI.
- 4.20b Concentration of VOCs in Waste Water Samples at Gaseous Centrifuge Enrichment Process (GCEP) Area, Quadrant I RFI.
- 4.20c Concentration of SVOCs, PCBs and Pesticides in Waste Water Samples at Gaseous Centrifuge Enrichment Process (GCEP) Area, Quadrant I RFI.
- 4.20d Concentration of Radiological Parameters in Waste Water Samples at Gaseous Centrifuge Enrichment Process (GCEP) Area, Quadrant I RFI.
- 4.21a Sample Locations, Peter Kiewit Landfill (PK), Quadrant I RFI.
- 4.21b Concentration of VOCs in Seep-Water Samples at the Peter Kiewit Landfill (PK), Quadrant I RFI.
- 4.21c Concentration of SVOCs, PCBs and Pesticides in Seep-Water Samples at the Peter Kiewit Landfill (PK), Quadrant I RFI.
- 4.21d Concentration of Radiological Parameters in Seep-Water Samples at the Peter Kiewit Landfill (PK), Quadrant I RFI.
- 4.21e Concentration of VOCs in Seep/Sediment Samples at the Peter Kiewit Landfill (PK), Quadrant I RFI.
- 4.21f Concentration of SVOCs, PCBs and Pesticides in Seep/Sediment Samples at the Peter Kiewit Landfill (PK), Quadrant I RFI.
- 4.21g Concentration of Radiological Parameters in Seep/Sediment Samples at the Peter Kiewit Landfill (PK), Quadrant I RFI.
- 4.21h Concentration of VOCs in Soil Samples at the Peter Kiewit Landfill (PK), Quadrant I RFI.
- 4.21i Concentration of SVOCs, PCBs and Pesticides in Soil Samples at the Peter Kiewit Landfill (PK), Quadrant I RFI.

- 4.21j Concentration of Radiological Parameters in Soil Samples at the Peter Kiewit Landfill (PK), Quadrant I RFI.
- 4.21k Concentration of VOCs in Groundwater Samples at the Peter Kiewit Landfill (PK), Quadrant I RFI.
- 4.21l Concentration of SVOCs, PCBs and Pesticides in Groundwater Samples at the Peter Kiewit Landfill (PK), Quadrant I RFI.
- 4.21m Concentration of Radiological Parameters in Groundwater Samples at the Peter Kiewit Landfill (PK), Quadrant I RFI.
- 4.22a Sample Locations, Recirculating Cooling Water (RCW) Area, Quadrant I RFI.
- 4.22b Concentration of VOCs in Soil Samples at the Recirculating Cooling Water (RCW) Area, Quadrant I RFI.
- 4.22c Concentration of SVOCs, PCBs and Pesticides in Soil Samples at the Recirculating Cooling Water (RCW) Area, Quadrant I RFI.
- 4.22d Concentration of Radiological Parameters in Soil Samples at the Recirculating Cooling Water (RCW) Area, Quadrant I RFI.
- 4.22e Concentration of VOCs in Groundwater Samples at the Recirculating Cooling Water (RCW) Area, Quadrant I RFI.
- 4.22f Concentration of SVOCs, PCBs and Pesticides in Groundwater Samples at the Recirculating Cooling Water (RCW) Area, Quadrant I RFI.
- 4.22g Concentration of Radiological Parameters in Groundwater Samples at the Recirculating Cooling Water (RCW) Area, Quadrant I RFI.
- 4.23a Sample Locations, Sanitary Sewer System (SASW), Quadrant I RFI.
- 4.23b Concentration of VOCs in Soil Samples at the Sanitary Sewer System (SASW), Quadrant I RFI.
- 4.23c Concentration of SVOCs, PCBs and Pesticides in Soil Samples at the Sanitary Sewer System (SASW), Quadrant I RFI.
- 4.23d Concentration of Radiological Parameters in Soil Samples at the Sanitary Sewer System (SASW), Quadrant I RFI.

QUADRANT I RFI FINAL REPORT

Section: i

Revision: D3

Date: September 9, 1996

Page: xxix of lxiv

- 4.23e Concentration of VOCs in Groundwater Samples at the Sanitary Sewer System (SASW), Quadrant I RFI.
- 4.23f Concentration of SVOCs, PCBs and Pesticides in Groundwater Samples at the Sanitary Sewer System (SASW), Quadrant I RFI.
- 4.24a Sample Locations, Storm Sewer System (STSW), Quadrant I RFI.
- 4.24b Concentration of VOCs in Surface-Water Samples at the Storm Sewer System (STSW), Quadrant I RFI.
- 4.24c Concentration of SVOCs, PCBs and Pesticides in Surface-Water Samples at the Storm Sewer System (STSW), Quadrant I RFI.
- 4.24d Concentration of Radiological Parameters in Surface-Water Samples at the Storm Sewer System (STSW), Quadrant I RFI.
- 4.24e Concentration of VOCs in Sediment Samples at the Storm Sewer System (STSW), Quadrant I RFI.
- 4.24f Concentration of SVOCs, PCBs and Pesticides in Sediment Samples at the Storm Sewer System (STSW), Quadrant I RFI.
- 4.24g Concentration of Radiological Parameters in Sediment Samples at the Storm Sewer System (STSW), Quadrant I RFI.
- 4.24h Concentration of VOCs in Soil Samples at the Storm Sewer System (STSW), Quadrant I RFI.
- 4.24i Concentration of SVOCs, PCBs and Pesticides in Soil Samples at the Storm Sewer System (STSW), Quadrant I RFI.
- 4.24j Concentration of Radiological Parameters in Soil Samples at the Storm Sewer System (STSW), Quadrant I RFI.
- 4.24k Concentration of VOCs in Groundwater Samples at the Storm Sewer System (STSW), Quadrant I RFI.
- 4.24l Concentration of Radiological Parameters in Groundwater Samples at the Storm Sewer System (STSW), Quadrant I RFI.
- 4.25a Sample Locations, Quadrant I Investigative Area, QI RFI.

- 4.25b Concentration of VOCs in Phase I Groundwater Samples in the Quadrant I Investigative Area.
- 4.25c Concentration of VOCs in Phase II Groundwater Samples in the Quadrant I Investigative Area.
- 4.25d Concentration of SVOCs, PCBs and Pesticides in Phase I Groundwater Samples in the Quadrant I Investigative Area.
- 4.25e Concentration of SVOCs, PCBs and Pesticides in Phase II Groundwater Samples in the Quadrant I Investigative Area.
- 4.25f Concentration of Radiological Parameters in Phase I Groundwater Samples in the Quadrant I Investigative Area.
- 4.25g Concentration of Radiological Parameters in Phase II Groundwater Samples in the Quadrant I Investigative Area.
- 4.25h Potentiometric Surface of the Gallia in the Quadrant I Investigative Area with TCE Contaminant Plume Overlay.
- 5.1 Schematic of Vertical Discretization of Lithology as Represented in the Model.
- 5.2 Plot of Numerical Model Calibrated Versus Median of Observed Groundwater Elevations Used as Calibration Targets in all Model Layers.
- 5.3 Numerical Model Calibrated Steady-State Groundwater Elevations and Residuals in Layer 1 (Minford).
- 5.4 Numerical Model Calibrated Steady-State Groundwater Elevations and Residuals in Layer 2 (Gallia).
- 5.5 Numerical Model Calibrated Steady-State Groundwater Elevations and Residuals in Layer 5 (Berea).
- 5.6 Numerical Model Calibrated Hydraulic Conductivity Zonation in Layer 1 (Minford).
- 5.7 Numerical Model Calibrated Hydraulic Conductivity Zonation in Layer 2 (Gallia).
- 5.8 Numerical Model Calibrated Hydraulic Conductivity Zonation in Layers 3 and 4 (Sunbury).

- 5.9 Numerical Model Calibrated Hydraulic Conductivity Zonation in Layer 5 (Berea).
- 5.10 Numerical Model Calibrated Recharge Zonation for Entire Model Domain.
- 5.11 Results of Sensitivity Analysis on Hydraulic Conductivity Values.
- 5.12 Results of Sensitivity Analysis on Recharge Values.
- 5.13 Results of Water Budget Analysis on Calibrated Numerical Model.
- 5.14 Relative Magnitudes and Directions of Velocities Predicted by the Numerical Model in Layer 2 (Gallia).
- 5.15 Relative Magnitudes and Directions of Velocities Predicted by the Numerical Model in Layer 5 (Berea).
- 5.16 Results of Particle Tracking Based on Numerical Model Predicted Velocities and Calculated TCE Retardation Factors.
- 5.17 Transport Observation Points and Remedial Closure Options.
- 5.18 Model Predicted Steady-State Groundwater Elevations in Layer 2 (Gallia) With Typical Exposure Closure Options.
- 5.19 Model Predicted TCE Plumes After 5 Years in Layer 2 (Gallia) with Typical Exposure Closure Options.
- 5.20 Model Predicted TCE Plumes After 5 Years in Layer 5 (Berea) with Typical Exposure Closure Options.
- 5.21 Model Predicted TCE Plumes After 30 Years in Layer 2 (Gallia) with Typical Exposure Closure Options.
- 5.22 Model Predicted TCE Plumes After 30 Years in Layer 5 (Berea) with Typical Exposure Closure Options.
- 5.23 Model Predicted TCE Concentration Versus Time At Various Locations with Typical Exposure Options.
- 5.24 Model Predicted Steady-State Groundwater Elevations in Layer 2 (Gallia) Under Reasonable Maximum Exposure Closure Options.
- 5.25 Model Source Areas Represented as Zones of Constant Concentration Under the Reasonable Maximum Exposure Scenario.

- 5.26 Model Predicted TCE Plumes After 5 Years in Layer 2 (Gallia) with Reasonable Maximum Exposure Closure Options.
- 5.27 Model Predicted TCE Plumes After 5 Years in Layer 5 (Berea) with Reasonable Maximum Exposure Closure Options.
- 5.28 Model Predicted TCE Plumes After 30 Years in Layer 2 (Gallia) with Reasonable Maximum Exposure Closure Options.
- 5.29 Model Predicted TCE Plumes After 30 Years in Layer 5 (Berea) with Reasonable Maximum Exposure Closure Options.
- 5.30 Model Predicted TCE Concentration Versus Time At Various Locations with Reasonable Maximum Exposure Options.
- 6.1 Conceptual Site Exposure Model of Land Use.
- 6.2 Land Use within Quadrant I.
- 6.3 Quadrant I Watershed Designations.
- 6.4 Conceptual Site Model for Potential Source Releases at PORTS Quadrant I.
- 6.5 Total SWMU-Specific RME Hazard Index Values, Hypothetical Future Residential Use Scenario.
- 6.6 Total SWMU-Specific RME Excess Cancer Risk Values, Hypothetical Future Residential Use Scenario.
- 6.7 Total SWMU-Specific RME Hazard Index Values, Hypothetical Future Worker Scenario.
- 6.8 Total SWMU-Specific RME Excess Cancer Risk Values, Hypothetical Future Worker Scenario.
- 6.9 Total SWMU-Specific RME Hazard Index Values, Hypothetical Current Worker Scenario.
- 6.10 Total SWMU-Specific RME Excess Cancer Risk Values, Hypothetical Current Worker Scenario.
- 6.11 Total SWMU-Specific RME Hazard Index Values, Hypothetical Excavation Worker Scenario.

- 6.12 Total SWMU-Specific RME Excess Cancer Risk Values, Hypothetical Excavation Worker Scenario.
- 6.13 Total SWMU-Specific RME Hazard Index Values, Hypothetical Worker Scenario.
- 6.14 Total SWMU-Specific RME Excess Cancer Risk Values, Hypothetical Worker Scenario.
- 6.15 Total SWMU-Specific RME Hazard Index Values, Hypothetical Recreational Use Scenario.
- 6.16 Total SWMU-Specific RME Excess Cancer Risk Values, Hypothetical Recreational Use Scenario.

LIST OF TABLES

- 2.1 Groundwater Elevations Measured at PORTS During February 1994.
- 3.1 Quadrant I Potential Constituents of Concern.
- 4.1 SWMUs Investigated During the Quadrant I RFI.
- 4.2 Quadrant I RFI Unit Investigation Approximated Site Coordinates.
- 4.3 Quadrant I RFI Phase I SWMU Investigations and Associated Data Points.
- 4.4 Quadrant I RFI Phase II SWMU Investigations and Associated Data Points.
- 4.5 List of TCL/TAL Parameters and Radiological Parameters.
- 4.6 List of Appendix IX Parameters.
- 4.7 Common Laboratory Contaminants.
- 4.8 Summary of Compound/Analyte Release Determination at Each SWMU.
- 4.9a Detected Organic and Radiological Parameters, SWMU: X-103 Auxiliary Office Building, Soils, Phase I.
- 4.9b Detected Organic Parameters, SWMU: X-103 Auxiliary Office Building, Soils, Phase II.
- 4.10a Detected Organic Parameters, SWMU: X-104A Indoor Firing Range, Soils, Phase I.
- 4.10b Detected Lead Values, SWMU: X-104A Indoor Firing Range, Soils, Phase I.
- 4.11a Detected Organic and Radiological Parameters, SWMU: X-120 Old Training Facility, Soils, Phase I.
- 4.11b Detected Organic and Radiological Parameters, SWMU: X-120 Old Training Facility, Groundwater, Phase I.
- 4.11c Detected Organic and Radiological Parameters, SWMU: X-120 Old Training Facility, Soils, Phase II.

- 4.11d Detected Organic and Radiological Parameters, SWMU: X-120 Old Training Facility, Groundwater, Phase II.
- 4.12a Detected Organic and Radiological Parameters, SWMU: X-230K Holding Pond, Soils, Phase I.
- 4.12b Detected Organic and Radiological Parameters, SWMU: X-230K Holding Pond, Groundwater, Phase I.
- 4.12c Detected Organic Parameters, SWMU: X-230K Holding Pond, Soils, Phase II.
- 4.13a Detected Organic and Radiological Parameters, SWMU: X-231A SE Oil Biodegradation Plot, Soils, Phase II.
- 4.14a Detected Radiological Parameters, SWMU: X-600/X-600A/X-621 Coal Pile Area, Surface Water, Phase I.
- 4.14b Detected Organic and Radiological Parameters, SWMU: X-600/X-600A/X-621 Coal Pile Area, Sediments, Phase I.
- 4.14c Detected Organic and Radiological Parameters, SWMU: X-600/X-600A/X-621 Coal Pile Area, Soils, Phase I.
- 4.14d Detected Organic and Radiological Parameters, SWMU: X-600/X-600A/X-621 Coal Pile Area, Groundwater, Phase I.
- 4.15a Detected Organic and Radiological Parameters, SWMU: X-626 RCW Pumphouse/Cooling Tower, Basin Water, Phase I.
- 4.15b X-626 RCW Pumphouse/Cooling Tower Basin Water, Detected Chromium and Zinc Values, Phase I.
- 4.15c Detected Radiological Parameters, SWMU: X-626 Drift Area, Soils, Phase I.
- 4.15d X-626 Drift Area Soils, Detected Chromium and Zinc Values, Phase I.
- 4.15e Detected Organic and Radiological Parameters, SWMU: X-626 RCW Pumphouse/Cooling Tower, Soils, Phase I.
- 4.15f X-626 RCW Pumphouse/Cooling Tower, Soils, Detected Chromium and Zinc Values, Phase I.
- 4.15g Detected Organic and Radiological Parameters, SWMU: X-626 RCW Pumphouse/Cooling Tower, Groundwater, Phase I.

- 4.15h X-626 RCW Pumphouse/Cooling Tower, Groundwater, Detected Chromium and Zinc Values, Phase I.
- 4.16a Detected Organic and Radiological Parameters, SWMU: X-710 Neutralization Pit, Soils, Phase I.
- 4.16b Detected Organic and Radiological Parameters, SWMU: X-710 Neutralization Pit, Groundwater, Phase I.
- 4.17a Detected Organic and Radiological Parameters, SWMU: X-741 Oil Drum Storage Facility, Soils, Phase I.
- 4.17b Detected Organic Parameters, SWMU: X-741 Oil Drum Storage Facility, Soils, Phase II.
- 4.18a Detected Organic and Radiological Parameters, SWMU: X-747F Misc. Storage Facility, Soils, Phase I.
- 4.18b Detected Organic and Radiological Parameters, SWMU: X-747F Misc. Storage Facility, Groundwater, Phase I.
- 4.18c Detected Organic Parameters, SWMU: X-747F Misc. Storage Facility, Soils, Phase II.
- 4.18d Detected Organic Parameters, SWMU: X-747F Misc. Storage Facility, Groundwater, Phase II.
- 4.19a Detected Organic and Radiological Parameters, SWMU: X-749 Landfill, Groundwater, Phase II.
- 4.20a Detected Organic and Radiological Parameters, SWMU: X-749A Classified Material Burial Ground, Soils, Phase I.
- 4.20b Detected Organic Parameters, SWMU: X-749A Classified Material Burial Ground, Groundwater, Phase I.
- 4.21a Detected Organic Parameters, SWMU: X-751 Mobile Equipment Garage, Waste Water, Phase II.
- 4.21b Detected Organic Parameters, SWMU: X-751 Mobile Equipment Garage, Soils, Phase II.
- 4.22a Detected Organic and Radiological Parameters, SWMU: X-760 Pilot Investigation Building, Soils, Phase I.

- 4.22b Detected Organic and Radiological Parameters, SWMU: X-760 Pilot Investigation Building, Groundwater, Phase I.
- 4.23a Detected Organic and Radiological Parameters, SWMU: X-2230M SW Holding Pond, Surface Water, Phase I.
- 4.23b Detected Organic and Radiological Parameters, SWMU: X-2230M SW Holding Pond, Sediment, Phase I.
- 4.23c Detected Organic Parameters, SWMU: X-2230M SW Holding Pond, Surface Water, Phase II.
- 4.23d Detected Organic and Radiological Parameters, SWMU: X-2230M SW Holding Pond, Sediment, Phase II.
- 4.23e Detected Organic and Radiological Parameters, SWMU: X-2230M SW Holding Pond, Soils, Phase II.
- 4.24a Detected Organic and Radiological Parameters, SWMU: Big Run Creek, Surface Water, Phase I.
- 4.24b Detected Organic and Radiological Parameters, SWMU: Big Run Creek, Sediment, Phase I.
- 4.24c Detected Organic Parameters, SWMU: Big Run Creek, Surface Water, Phase II.
- 4.24d Detected Organic and Radiological Parameters, SWMU: Big Run Creek, Sediment, Phase II.
- 4.24e Detected Organic and Radiological Parameters, SWMU: Big Run Creek, Soils, Phase II.
- 4.25a Detected Organic and Radiological Parameters, SWMU: GCEP Tanks, Waste Water, Phase I.
- 4.26a Detected Organic and Radiological Parameters, SWMU: Peter Kiewit Landfill, Surface Water, Phase I.
- 4.26b Detected Organic and Radiological Parameters, SWMU: Peter Kiewit Landfill, Sediments, Phase I.
- 4.26c Detected Organic and Radiological Parameters, SWMU: Peter Kiewit Landfill, Soils, Phase I.

- 4.26d Detected Organic and Radiological Parameters, SWMU: Peter Kiewit Landfill, Groundwater, Phase I.
- 4.26e Detected Organic and Radiological Parameters, SWMU: Peter Kiewit Landfill, Soils, Phase II.
- 4.27a Detected Organic and Radiological Parameters, SWMU: RCW System, Soils, Phase I.
- 4.27b RCW System, Soils, Detected Chromium and Zinc Values, Phase I.
- 4.27c Detected Organic and Radiological Parameters, SWMU: RCW System, Groundwater, Phase I.
- 4.27d RCW System, Groundwater, Detected Chromium and Zinc Values, Phase I.
- 4.28a Detected Organic and Radiological Parameters, SWMU: Sanitary Sewer System, Soils, Phase I.
- 4.28b Detected Organic Parameters, SWMU: Sanitary Sewer System, Groundwater, Phase I.
- 4.29a Detected Organic and Radiological Parameters, SWMU: Storm Sewer System, Surface Water, Phase I.
- 4.29b Detected Organic and Radiological Parameters, SWMU: Storm Sewer System, Sediment, Phase I.
- 4.29c Detected Organic and Radiological Parameters, SWMU: Storm Sewer System, Soils, Phase I.
- 4.29d Detected Organic Parameters, SWMU: Storm Sewer System, Groundwater, Phase I.
- 4.29e Detected Radiological Parameters, SWMU: Storm Sewer System, Soils, Phase II.
- 4.29f Detected Radiological Parameters, SWMU: Storm Sewer System, Groundwater, Phase II.
- 4.30a Detected Organic and Radiological Parameters, SWMU: Quadrant I Investigative Area, Groundwater, Phase I.
- 4.30b Detected Organic and Radiological Parameters, SWMU: Quadrant I Investigative Area, Groundwater, Phase II.

- 4.31 Liquid Effluent Collection Tanks and Sources of Effluent.
- 5.1 Univariate Statistics for Groundwater Elevations Used as Calibration Targets, Quadrant I RFI, Portsmouth Gaseous Diffusion Plant, Piketon, Ohio.
- 5.2 Model Cells and Groundwater Elevation Values Used as Calibration Targets, Quadrant I RFI, Portsmouth Gaseous Diffusion Plant, Piketon, Ohio.
- 5.3 Summary of Calibration Targets, Predicted Groundwater Elevations, and Residuals, Quadrant I RFI, Portsmouth Gaseous Diffusion Plant, Piketon, Ohio.
- 5.4 Calibrated Model Hydraulic Parameters, Quadrant I RFI, Portsmouth Gaseous Diffusion Plant, Piketon, Ohio.
- 5.5 Results of Sensitivity Analysis on Hydraulic Conductivity and Recharge, Quadrant I RFI, Portsmouth Gaseous Diffusion Plant, Piketon, Ohio.
- 6.1 Mean and Upper Confidence Limit (UCL) Soil Concentrations
- 6.2 Soil Sample Points and Analyses, PORTS Quadrant I
- 6.3 Chemicals Detected in Soil (0-2 ft.), Portsmouth Quadrant I
- 6.4 Chemicals Detected in Soil (0-10 ft.), Portsmouth Quadrant I
- 6.5 Chemicals Detected in Soil (0-2 ft.), Portsmouth SWMU X-103
- 6.6 Chemicals Detected in Soil (0-10 ft.), Portsmouth SWMU X-103
- 6.7 Chemicals Detected in Soil (0-2 ft.), Portsmouth SWMU X-104A
- 6.8 Chemicals Detected in Soil (0-2 ft.), Portsmouth SWMU X-120
- 6.9 Chemicals Detected in Soil (0-10 ft.), Portsmouth SWMU X-120
- 6.10 Chemicals Detected in Soil (0-2 ft.), Portsmouth SWMU X-230K
- 6.11 Chemicals Detected in Soil (0-10 ft.), Portsmouth SWMU X-230K
- 6.12 Chemicals Detected in Soil (0-2 ft.), Portsmouth SWMU X-231A
- 6.13 Chemicals Detected in Soil (0-10 ft.), Portsmouth SWMU X-231A
- 6.14 Chemicals Detected in Soil (0-10 ft.), Portsmouth SWMU X-600A/X-621

- 6.15 Chemicals Detected in Soil (0-2 ft.), Portsmouth SWMU X-626/RCW
- 6.16 Chemicals Detected in Soil (0-10 ft.), Portsmouth SWMU X-626/RCW
- 6.17 Chemicals Detected in Soil (0-10 ft.), Portsmouth SWMU X-710
- 6.18 Chemicals Detected in Soil (0-2 ft.), Portsmouth SWMU X-741
- 6.19 Chemicals Detected in Soil (0-10 ft.), Portsmouth SWMU X-741
- 6.20 Chemicals Detected in Soil (0-2 ft.), Portsmouth SWMU X-747F
- 6.21 Chemicals Detected in Soil (0-10 ft.), Portsmouth SWMU X-747F
- 6.22 Chemicals Detected in Soil (0-10 ft.), Portsmouth SWMU X-749A
- 6.23 Chemicals Detected in Soil (0-2 ft.), Portsmouth SWMU X-760
- 6.24 Chemicals Detected in Soil (0-10 ft.), Portsmouth SWMU X-760
- 6.25 Chemicals Detected in Soil (0-10 ft.), Portsmouth SWMU X-2230M
- 6.26 Chemicals Detected in Soil (0-2 ft.), Portsmouth SWMU BRC
- 6.27 Chemicals Detected in Soil (0-2 ft.), Portsmouth SWMU Peter Kiewit Landfill/XT-847
- 6.28 Chemicals Detected in Soil (0-10 ft.), Portsmouth SWMU Peter Kiewit Landfill/XT-847
- 6.29 Sediment Sample Points and Analyses, PORTS Quadrant I
- 6.30 Chemicals Detected in Sediment, Portsmouth Quadrant I
- 6.31 Chemicals Detected in Sediment, Portsmouth SWMU X-600/X-621
- 6.32 Chemicals Detected in Sediment, Portsmouth SWMU X-2230M
- 6.33 Chemicals Detected in Sediment, Portsmouth SWMU BRC
- 6.34 Chemicals Detected in Sediment, Portsmouth SWMU Peter Kiewit Landfill/XT-847
- 6.35 Groundwater Sample Points and Analyses, PORTS Quadrant I

- 6.36a Chemicals Detected in Groundwater, Portsmouth Quadrant I (Gallia)
- 6.36b Chemicals Detected in Groundwater, Portsmouth Quadrant I (Berea)
- 6.37 Chemicals Detected in Groundwater, Portsmouth Quadrant I Investigative Area (Gallia)
- 6.38 Chemicals Detected in Groundwater, Portsmouth Quadrant I Investigative Area (Berea)
- 6.39 Chemicals Detected in Groundwater, Portsmouth SWMU X-120 (Gallia)
- 6.40 Chemicals Detected in Groundwater, Portsmouth SWMU X-120 (Berea)
- 6.41 Chemicals Detected in Groundwater, Portsmouth SWMU X-626/RCW (Gallia)
- 6.42 Chemicals Detected in Groundwater, Portsmouth SWMU X-747F (Gallia)
- 6.43 Chemicals Detected in Groundwater, Portsmouth SWMU Peter Kiewit Landfill/XT-847 (Gallia)
- 6.44 Chemicals Detected in Groundwater, Portsmouth SWMU Peter Kiewit Landfill/XT-847 (Berea)
- 6.45 Chemicals Detected in Groundwater, Portsmouth SWMU STSW (Gallia)
- 6.46 Surface Water Sample Points and Analyses, PORTS Quadrant I
- 6.47 Chemicals Detected in Surface Water, Portsmouth Quadrant I
- 6.48 Chemicals Detected in Surface Water, SWMU X-120
- 6.49 Chemicals Detected in Surface Water, SWMU X-600A/X-621
- 6.50 Chemicals Detected in Surface Water, SWMU X-626/RCW
- 6.51 Chemicals Detected in Surface Water, SWMU X-2230M
- 6.52 Chemicals Detected in Surface Water, SWMU BRC
- 6.53 Chemicals Detected in Surface Water, SWMU Peter Kiewit Landfill/XT-847
- 6.54 Summary of Fish Tissue Residue Analysis for Quadrant I

- 6.55 Exposure Pathways for Potentially Exposed Populations
- 6.56 Chemicals of Potential Concern in Soil (0-2 ft.), PORTS Quadrant I
- 6.57 Chemicals of Potential Concern in Soil (0-10 ft.), PORTS Quadrant I
- 6.58 Chemicals of Potential Concern in Soil (0-2 ft.), Portsmouth SWMU X-103
- 6.59 Chemicals of Potential Concern in Soil (0-10 ft.), Portsmouth SWMU X-103
- 6.60 Chemicals of Potential Concern in Soil (0-2 ft.), Portsmouth SWMU X-104A
- 6.61 Chemicals of Potential Concern in Soil (0-2 ft.), Portsmouth SWMU X-120
- 6.62 Chemicals of Potential Concern in Soil (0-10 ft.), Portsmouth SWMU X-120
- 6.63 Chemicals of Potential Concern in Soil (0-2 ft.), Portsmouth SWMU X-230K
- 6.64 Chemicals of Potential Concern in Soil (0-10 ft.), Portsmouth SWMU X-230K
- 6.65 Chemicals of Potential Concern in Soil (0-2 ft.), Portsmouth SWMU X-231A
- 6.66 Chemicals of Potential Concern in Soil (0-10 ft.), Portsmouth SWMU X-231A
- 6.67 Chemicals of Potential Concern in Soil (0-10 ft.), Portsmouth SWMU X-600A/X-621
- 6.68 Chemicals of Potential Concern in Soil (0-2 ft.), Portsmouth SWMU X-626/RCW
- 6.69 Chemicals of Potential Concern in Soil (0-10 ft.), Portsmouth SWMU X-626/RCW
- 6.70 Chemicals of Potential Concern in Soil (0-10 ft.), Portsmouth SWMU X-710
- 6.71 Chemicals of Potential Concern in Soil (0-2 ft.), Portsmouth SWMU X-741
- 6.72 Chemicals of Potential Concern in Soil (0-10 ft.), Portsmouth SWMU X-741
- 6.73 Chemicals of Potential Concern in Soil (0-2 ft.), Portsmouth SWMU X-747F
- 6.74 Chemicals of Potential Concern in Soil (0-10 ft.), Portsmouth SWMU X-747F
- 6.75 Chemicals of Potential Concern in Soil (0-10 ft.), Portsmouth SWMU X-749A
- 6.76 Chemicals of Potential Concern in Soil (0-2 ft.), Portsmouth SWMU X-760

- 6.77 Chemicals of Potential Concern in Soil (0-10 ft.), Portsmouth SWMU X-760
- 6.78 Chemicals of Potential Concern in Soil (0-10 ft.), Portsmouth SWMU X-2230M
- 6.79 Chemicals of Potential Concern in Soil (0-2 ft.), Portsmouth SWMU BRC
- 6.80 Chemicals of Potential Concern in Soil (0-2 ft.), Portsmouth SWMU Peter Kiewit Landfill/XT-847
- 6.81 Chemicals of Potential Concern in Soil (0-10 ft.), Portsmouth SWMU Peter Kiewit Landfill/XT-847
- 6.82 Chemicals of Potential Concern in Sediment, PORTS Quadrant I
- 6.83 Chemicals of Potential Concern in Sediment, Portsmouth SWMU X-600A/X-621
- 6.84 Chemicals of Potential Concern in Sediment, Portsmouth SWMU X-2230M
- 6.85 Chemicals of Potential Concern in Sediment, Portsmouth SWMU BRC
- 6.86 Chemicals of Potential Concern in Sediment, Portsmouth SWMU Peter Kiewit Landfill/XT-847
- 6.87 Chemicals of Potential Concern in Groundwater, Quadrant I Investigative Area (Gallia)
- 6.88 Chemicals of Potential Concern in Groundwater, Quadrant I Investigative Area (Berea)
- 6.89 Chemicals of Potential Concern in Groundwater, Portsmouth SWMU X-120 (Gallia)
- 6.90 Chemicals of Potential Concern in Groundwater, Portsmouth SWMU X-120 (Berea)
- 6.91 Chemicals of Potential Concern in Groundwater, Portsmouth SWMU X-626/RCW (Gallia)
- 6.92 Chemicals of Potential Concern in Groundwater, Portsmouth SWMU X-747F (Gallia)
- 6.93 Chemicals of Potential Concern in Groundwater, Portsmouth Peter Kiewit Landfill/XT-847 (Gallia)

- 6.94 Chemicals of Potential Concern in Groundwater, Portsmouth Peter Kiewit Landfill/XT-847 (Berea)
- 6.95 Chemicals of Potential Concern in Groundwater, Portsmouth Groundwater Well STSW-103G (Gallia)
- 6.96 Chemicals of Potential Concern in Surface Water, PORTS Quadrant I
- 6.97 Chemicals of Potential Concern in Surface Water, Portsmouth SWMU X-120
- 6.98 Chemicals of Potential Concern in Surface Water, Portsmouth SWMU X-600A/X-621
- 6.99 Chemicals of Potential Concern in Surface Water, Portsmouth SWMU X-626/RCW
- 6.100 Chemicals of Potential Concern in Surface Water, Portsmouth SWMU X-2230M
- 6.101 Chemicals of Potential Concern in Surface Water, Portsmouth SWMU BRC
- 6.102 Chemicals of Potential Concern in Surface Water, Portsmouth SWMU Peter Kiewit Landfill/XT-847
- 6.103 Modeled Concentrations Based on a Unit Groundwater Concentration, Quad I
- 6.104 Modeled Concentrations Based on a Unit Soil Concentration, Quad I
- 6.105 Parameters Used to Convert Uranium Isotope Concentrations
- 6.106 Summary of Exposure Scenarios Used to Assess Risks for Individual SWMUs
- 6.107 Reasonable Maximum Exposure Assumptions for Ingestion of Soil by On-site Worker
- 6.108 Reasonable Maximum Exposure Assumptions for Dermal Contact with Soil by On-site Worker
- 6.109 Reasonable Maximum Exposure Assumptions for External Radiation from Soil On-site Worker
- 6.110 Reasonable Maximum Exposure Assumptions for Ingestion of Sediment by On-site Worker

- 6.111 Reasonable Maximum Exposure Assumptions for Dermal Contact with Sediment by On-site Worker
- 6.112 Reasonable Maximum Exposure Assumptions for Ingestion of Surface Water by On-site Worker
- 6.113 Reasonable Maximum Exposure Assumptions for Dermal Contact with Surface Water by On-site Worker
- 6.114 Reasonable Maximum Exposure Assumptions for Inhalation of Vapors by On-site Worker
- 6.115 Reasonable Maximum Exposure Assumptions for Ingestion of Groundwater by On-site Worker
- 6.116 Reasonable Maximum Exposure Assumptions for Dermal Contact with Groundwater (Showering) by On-site Worker
- 6.117 Reasonable Maximum Exposure Assumptions for Inhalation of Airborne Chemicals in Groundwater (Showering) by On-site Worker
- 6.118 Reasonable Maximum Exposure Assumptions for Ingestion of Groundwater by Future On-site Resident
- 6.119 Reasonable Maximum Exposure Assumptions for Dermal Contact with Groundwater (Showering) by Future On-site Resident
- 6.120 Reasonable Maximum Exposure Assumptions for Inhalation of Airborne Chemicals in Groundwater (Showering) by Future On-site Resident
- 6.121 Reasonable Maximum Exposure Assumptions for Ingestion of Soil by Future On-site Resident
- 6.122 Reasonable Maximum Exposure Assumptions for Dermal Contact with Soil by Future On-site Resident
- 6.123 Reasonable Maximum Exposure Assumptions for External Radiation from Soil Future On-site Resident
- 6.124 Reasonable Maximum Exposure Assumptions for Inhalation of Vapors by Future On-site Resident
- 6.125 Reasonable Maximum Exposure Assumptions for Ingestion of Beef by Future On-site Resident

- 6.126 Reasonable Maximum Exposure Assumptions for Ingestion of Milk by Future On-site Resident
- 6.127 Reasonable Maximum Exposure Assumptions for Ingestion of Homegrown Vegetables by Future On-site Resident
- 6.128 Reasonable Maximum Exposure Assumptions for Ingestion of Sediment by Recreational Population
- 6.129 Reasonable Maximum Exposure Assumptions for Dermal Contact with Sediment by Recreational Population
- 6.130 Reasonable Maximum Exposure Assumptions for Ingestion of Surface Water by Recreational Population
- 6.131 Reasonable Maximum Exposure Assumptions for Dermal Contact with Surface Water while Swimming by Recreational Population
- 6.132 Reasonable Maximum Exposure Assumptions for Ingestion of Game by Recreational Population
- 6.133 Reasonable Maximum Exposure Assumptions for Ingestion of Soil by On-site Worker, Excavation Scenario
- 6.134 Reasonable Maximum Exposure Assumptions for Dermal Contact with Soil by On-site Worker, Excavation Scenario
- 6.135 Reasonable Maximum Exposure Assumptions for External Radiation from Soil On-site Worker, Excavation Scenario
- 6.136 Reasonable Maximum Exposure Assumptions for Inhalation of Vapors by On-site Worker, Excavation Scenario
- 6.137 Reasonable Maximum Exposure Assumptions for Inhalation of Particulates, Excavation Scenario
- 6.138 Chronic Oral Reference Doses (RfDs) for Potential Noncarcinogenic Effects
- 6.139 Chronic Inhalation Reference Concentrations (RfCs) for Potential Noncarcinogenic Effects
- 6.140 Subchronic Oral Reference Doses (RfDs) for Potential Noncarcinogenic Effects

- 6.141 Subchronic Inhalation Reference Concentrations (RfCs) for Potential Noncarcinogenic Effects
- 6.142 Cancer Slope Factors (SFs) for Oral Exposure
- 6.143 Unit Risks and Cancer Slope Factors (SFs) for Inhalation Exposure
- 6.144 Cancer Slope Factors (SF) for Radionuclides
- 6.145 Absorbed Dose Reference Doses (RfDs) and Cancer Slope Factors (SFs) Derived for Assessments of Chronic Dermal Exposure
- 6.146 Absorbed Dose Reference Doses (RfDs) Derived for Assessments of Subchronic Dermal Exposure
- 6.147 Short-term Drinking Water Health Advisories (HAs) for Constituents in Groundwater and Surface Water
- 6.148 Noncancer HI and Cancer Risk Estimates, Recreational Visitor, Future Use, Background
- 6.149 Noncancer HI and Cancer Risk Estimates, On-site Worker, Current Use, Background
- 6.150 Noncancer HI and Cancer Risk Estimates, On-site Worker, Future Use, Background
- 6.151 Noncancer HI and Cancer Risk Estimates, On-site Resident, Future Use, Background
- 6.152 Noncancer HI and Cancer Risk Estimates, Excavation Worker, Background
- 6.153 Noncancer HI and Cancer Risk Estimates, On-site Resident, Future Use, Beef and Milk Ingestion Associated with Quadrant-wide Average Concentrations
- 6.154 Noncancer HI and Cancer Risk Estimates, Off-site Recreational Visitor, Current Use, Quadrant-wide Assessment
- 6.155 Noncancer HI and Cancer Risk Estimates, Off-site Recreational Visitor, Future Use, Quadrant-wide Assessment
- 6.156 Noncancer HI and Cancer Risk Estimates, On-site Recreational Visitor, Future Use, Quadrant-wide Assessment

- 6.157 Location of Risk Estimate Discussions and Summary Tables by SWMU
- 6.158 Noncancer HI and Cancer Risk Estimates, On-site Worker, Current Use, SWMU X-103
- 6.159 Noncancer HI and Cancer Risk Estimates, On-site Worker, Future Use, SWMU X-103
- 6.160 Noncancer HI and Cancer Risk Estimates, On-site Resident, Future Use, SWMU X-103
- 6.161 Noncancer HI and Cancer Risk Estimates, Excavation Worker, SWMU X-103
- 6.162 Noncancer HI and Cancer Risk Estimates, On-site Worker, Current Use, SWMU X-104A
- 6.163 Noncancer HI and Cancer Risk Estimates, On-site Worker, Future Use, SWMU X-104A
- 6.164 Noncancer HI and Cancer Risk Estimates, On-site Resident, Future Use, SWMU X-104A
- 6.165 Noncancer HI and Cancer Risk Estimates, Excavation Worker, SWMU X-104A
- 6.166 Noncancer HI and Cancer Risk Estimates, On-site Worker, Current Use, SWMU X-120
- 6.167 Noncancer HI and Cancer Risk Estimates, On-site Worker, Future Use, SWMU X-120
- 6.168 Noncancer HI and Cancer Risk Estimates, On-site Resident, Future Use, SWMU X-120
- 6.169 Noncancer HI and Cancer Risk Estimates, Excavation Worker, SWMU X-120
- 6.170 Noncancer HI and Cancer Risk Estimates, Future Use, Berea Groundwater Data, SWMU X-120
- 6.171 Noncancer HI and Cancer Risk Estimates, On-site Worker, Current/Future Use, SWMU X-120
- 6.172 Noncancer HI and Cancer Risk Estimates, Recreational Visitor, Future Use, SWMU X-120

- 6.173 Noncancer HI and Cancer Risk Estimates, On-site Worker, Current Use, SWMU X-230K
- 6.174 Noncancer HI and Cancer Risk Estimates, On-site Worker, Future Use, SWMU X-230K
- 6.175 Noncancer HI and Cancer Risk Estimates, On-site Resident, Future Use, SWMU X-230K
- 6.176 Noncancer HI and Cancer Risk Estimates, Excavation Worker, SWMU X-230K
- 6.177 Noncancer HI and Cancer Risk Estimates, Future Use, Berea Groundwater Data, SWMU X-230K
- 6.178 Noncancer HI and Cancer Risk Estimates, On-site Worker, Current Use, SWMU X-231A
- 6.179 Noncancer HI and Cancer Risk Estimates, On-site Worker, Future Use, SWMU X-231A
- 6.180 Noncancer HI and Cancer Risk Estimates, On-site Resident, Future Use, SWMU X-231A
- 6.181 Noncancer HI and Cancer Risk Estimates, Excavation Worker, SWMU X-231A
- 6.182 Noncancer HI and Cancer Risk Estimates, Future Use, Berea Groundwater Data, SWMU X-231A
- 6.183 Noncancer HI and Cancer Risk Estimates, On-site Worker, Future Use, SWMU X-600/X-621
- 6.184 Noncancer HI and Cancer Risk Estimates, On-site Resident, Future Use, SWMU X-600/X-621
- 6.185 Noncancer HI and Cancer Risk Estimates, Excavation Worker, SWMU X-600/ X-621
- 6.186 Noncancer HI and Cancer Risk Estimates, Berea Groundwater Data, SWMU X-600/X-621
- 6.187 Noncancer HI and Cancer Risk Estimates, On-site Worker, Current/Future Use, SWMU X-600/X-621

- 6.188 Noncancer HI and Cancer Risk Estimates, Recreational Visitor, Future Use, SWMU X-600/X-621
- 6.189 Noncancer HI and Cancer Risk Estimates, On-site Worker, Current Use, SWMU X-626/RCW
- 6.190 Noncancer HI and Cancer Risk Estimates, On-site Worker, Future Use, SWMU X-626/RCW
- 6.191 Noncancer HI and Cancer Risk Estimates, On-site Resident, Future Use, SWMU X-626/RCW
- 6.192 Noncancer HI and Cancer Risk Estimates, Excavation Worker, SWMU X-626/RCW
- 6.193 Noncancer HI and Cancer Risk Estimates, On-site Worker, Current/Future Use, SWMU X-626/RCW
- 6.194 Noncancer HI and Cancer Risk Estimates, Recreational Visitor, Future Use, SWMU X-626/RCW
- 6.195 Noncancer HI and Cancer Risk Estimates, On-site Worker, Future Use, SWMU X-710
- 6.196 Noncancer HI and Cancer Risk Estimates, On-site Resident, Future Use, SWMU X-710
- 6.197 Noncancer HI and Cancer Risk Estimates, Excavation Worker, SWMU X-710
- 6.198 Noncancer HI and Cancer Risk Estimates, Future Use, Berea Groundwater Data, SWMU X-710
- 6.199 Noncancer HI and Cancer Risk Estimates, On-site Worker, Current Use, SWMU X-741
- 6.200 Noncancer HI and Cancer Risk Estimates, On-site Worker, Future Use, SWMU X-741
- 6.201 Noncancer HI and Cancer Risk Estimates, On-site Resident, Future Use, SWMU X-741
- 6.202 Noncancer HI and Cancer Risk Estimates, Excavation Worker, SWMU X-741

- 6.203 Noncancer HI and Cancer Risk Estimates, On-site Worker, Current Use, SWMU X-747F
- 6.204 Noncancer HI and Cancer Risk Estimates, On-site Worker, Future Use, SWMU X-747F
- 6.205 Noncancer HI and Cancer Risk Estimates, On-site Resident, Future Use, SWMU X-747F
- 6.206 Noncancer HI and Cancer Risk Estimates, Excavation Worker, SWMU X-747F
- 6.207 Noncancer HI and Cancer Risk Estimates, On-site Worker, Future Use, SWMU X-749A
- 6.208 Noncancer HI and Cancer Risk Estimates, On-site Resident, Future Use, SWMU X-749A
- 6.209 Noncancer HI and Cancer Risk Estimates, Excavation Worker, SWMU X-749A
- 6.210 Noncancer HI and Cancer Risk Estimates, Future Use, Berea Groundwater Data, SWMU X-749A
- 6.211 Noncancer HI and Cancer Risk Estimates, On-site Worker, Current Use, SWMU X-760
- 6.212 Noncancer HI and Cancer Risk Estimates, On-site Worker, Future Use, SWMU X-760
- 6.213 Noncancer HI and Cancer Risk Estimates, On-site Resident, Future Use, SWMU X-760
- 6.214 Noncancer HI and Cancer Risk Estimates, Excavation Worker, SWMU X-760
- 6.215 Noncancer HI and Cancer Risk Estimates, Future Use, Berea Groundwater Data, SWMU X-760
- 6.216 Noncancer HI and Cancer Risk Estimates, On-site Worker, Current Use, SWMU X-2230M
- 6.217 Noncancer HI and Cancer Risk Estimates, Recreational Visitor, Future Use, SWMU X-2230M
- 6.217a BERA Data - Sediment, SWMU X2230M, PORTS Quadrant I.

- 6.217b BERA Data - Surface Water, SWMU X2230M, PORTS Quadrant I.
- 6.218 Noncancer HI and Cancer Risk Estimates, On-site Worker, Future Use, SWMU X-2230M
- 6.219 Noncancer HI and Cancer Risk Estimates, On-site Resident, Future Use, SWMU X-2230M
- 6.220 Noncancer HI and Cancer Risk Estimates, Excavation Worker, SWMU X-2230M
- 6.221 Noncancer HI and Cancer Risk Estimates, On-site Worker, Current/Future Use, Big Run Creek
- 6.222 Noncancer HI and Cancer Risk Estimates, Recreational Visitor, Future Use, Big Run Creek
- 6.223 Noncancer HI and Cancer Risk Estimates, On-site Worker, Current Use, Big Run Creek
- 6.224 Noncancer HI and Cancer Risk Estimates, On-site Worker, Future Use, Big Run Creek
- 6.225 Noncancer HI and Cancer Risk Estimates, On-site Resident, Future Use, Big Run Creek
- 6.226 Noncancer HI and Cancer Risk Estimates, Excavation Worker, Big Run Creek
- 6.227 Noncancer HI and Cancer Risk Estimates, On-site Worker, Current Use, SWMU Peter Kiewit Landfill
- 6.228 Noncancer HI and Cancer Risk Estimates, On-site Worker, Future Use, SWMU Peter Kiewit Landfill
- 6.229 Noncancer HI and Cancer Risk Estimates, On-site Resident, Future Use, SWMU Peter Kiewit Landfill
- 6.230 Noncancer HI and Cancer Risk Estimates, Excavation Worker, SWMU Peter Kiewit Landfill
- 6.231 Noncancer HI and Cancer Risk Estimates, On-site Worker, Current/Future Use, SWMU Peter Kiewit Landfill
- 6.232 Noncancer HI and Cancer Risk Estimates, Recreational Visitor, Future Use, SWMU Peter Kiewit Landfill

- 6.233 Noncancer HI and Cancer Risk Estimates, Future Use, Berea Groundwater Data, SWMU Peter Kiewit Landfill
- 6.234a Noncancer HI and Cancer Risk Estimates, On-site Worker, Future Use, Storm Sewer Groundwater Well STSW-103G
- 6.234b Noncancer HI and Cancer Risk Estimates, On-site Resident, Future Use, Storm Sewer Groundwater Well STSW-103G
- 6.235 Noncancer HI and Cancer Risk Estimates, Off-site Resident, Future Use, X-749 Plume (based on model results for trichloroethene at an off-site location)
- 6.235a Potential Laboratory Contaminants, PORTS Quadrant I.
- 6.236 Summary of Major Uncertainties
- 6.237 List of Quadrant I SWMUs
- 6.238 Watershed Drainage for Each SWMU Considered in the PERA
- 6.239 Threatened and Endangered Species Reported by the ODNR in the Vicinity of the PORTS Facility
- 6.240 Quadrant-Wide Inorganic and Radionuclide Constituent Levels in Sediment, Water, and Soil Samples (Reasonable Maximum Exposures (RME) Concentration)
- 6.241 Quadrant-Wide Organic Constituent Levels in Sediment, Water, and Soil Samples (Reasonable Maximum Exposure (RME) Concentration)
- 6.242 Comparison of Reasonable Maximum Exposure (RME) Quadrant-Wide Soil Concentrations to Upper Control Limits
- 6.243 Summary of Unique COCs by SWMU and Medium
- 6.244 Chemicals of Potential Concern in Soil (0-2 ft.), Portsmouth SWMU X-103
- 6.245 Chemicals of Potential Concern in Soil (0-2 ft.), Portsmouth SWMU X-104A
- 6.246 Chemicals of Potential Concern in Surface Water, Portsmouth SWMU X-120
- 6.247 Chemicals of Potential Concern in Soil (0-2 ft.), Portsmouth SWMU X-120
- 6.248 Chemicals of Potential Concern in Soil (0-2 ft.), Portsmouth SWMU X-230K

- 6.249 Chemicals of Potential Concern in Soil (0-2 ft.), Portsmouth SWMU X-231A
- 6.250 Chemicals of Potential Concern in Sediment, Portsmouth SWMU X-600A/X-621
- 6.251 Chemicals of Potential Concern in Surface Water, Portsmouth SWMU X-600/X-621
- 6.252 Chemicals of Potential Concern in Surface Water, Portsmouth SWMU X-626/RCW
- 6.253 Chemicals of Potential Concern in Soil (0-2 ft.), Portsmouth SWMU X-626/RCW
- 6.254 Chemicals of Potential Concern in Soil (0-2 ft.), Portsmouth SWMU X-741
- 6.255 Chemicals of Potential Concern in Soil (0-2 ft.), Portsmouth SWMU X-747F
- 6.256 Chemicals of Potential Concern in Soil (0-2 ft.), Portsmouth SWMU X-760
- 6.257 Chemicals of Potential Concern in Sediment, Portsmouth SWMU X-2230M
- 6.258 Chemicals of Potential Concern in Surface Water, Portsmouth SWMU X-2230M
- 6.259 Chemicals of Potential Concern in Sediment, Portsmouth SWMU Peter Kiewit Landfill/XT-847
- 6.260 Chemicals of Potential Concern in Surface Water, Portsmouth SWMU Peter Kiewit Landfill/XT-847
- 6.261 Chemicals of Potential Concern in Soil (0-2 ft.), Portsmouth SWMU Peter Kiewit Landfill/XT-847
- 6.262 Location of Maximum Inorganic and Radionuclide Constituent Levels in Sediment, Water, and Soil Samples by SWMU
- 6.263 Location of Maximum Organic Constituent Levels in Sediment, Water, and Soil Samples by SWMU
- 6.264 Screen for Organic COCs with the Potential to Bioconcentrate (i.e., $\log K_{ow} > 3$)
- 6.265 Surface Water Screening Benchmarks for COCs (all values in $\mu\text{g/l}$)
- 6.266 Sediment Screening Benchmarks for Inorganic COCs
- 6.267 Sediment Screening Benchmarks for Organic COCs (all values in $\mu\text{g/l}$)

- 6.268 Sediment Screening Benchmarks for Organic COCs Not in Table 6.267
- 6.269 Plant Toxicity Screening Benchmarks for Inorganic COCs in Soil
- 6.270 Data Used to Derive Plant Toxicity Screening Benchmarks for Inorganic COCs in Soil
- 6.271 Soil Invertebrate Screening Benchmarks
- 6.272 Chemicals of Potential Concern in Sediment, PORTS Quadrant I
- 6.273 Chemicals of Potential Concern in Surface Water, PORTS Quadrant I
- 6.274 Chemicals of Potential Concern in Soil (0-2 ft.), PORTS Quadrant I
- 6.275 Summary of Availability of Screening Benchmarks for all COCs by Environmental Medium
- 6.276 Quadrant-wide Summary of Exceedences
- 6.277 Magnitude of COC Exceedences in Sediment by SWMU
- 6.278 Magnitude of COC Exceedences in Sediment
- 6.279 Magnitude of COC Exceedences in Soil (Plant Toxicity Benchmarks) by SWMU
- 6.280 Risk-based RAOs for Groundwater
- 6.281 Risk-based RAOs for Soil
- 6.282 Risk-based RAOs for Sediments
- 6.283 Risk-based RAOs for Surface Water

LIST OF PLATES

Plate I	QI Investigative Sample Locations with Topographic Contours - QI/QII RFI Draft Report
Plate II	Site-Wide Topographic Contour Map with Sample Locations - QI/II RFI Draft Report
Plate III	Site-Wide Top of Bedrock Elevation Map - QI/II RFI Draft Report
Plate IV	QI Lithologic Fence Diagram - QI/II RFI Draft Report
Plate V	Site-Wide Sunbury/Cuyahoga Thickness Map - QI/II RFI Draft Report
Plate VI	Site-Wide Gallia Thickness Map - QI/II RFI Draft Report
Plate VII	Site-Wide Minford/Fill Thickness Map - QI/II RFI Draft Report
Plate VIII	Site-Wide Gallia/Unconsolidated Potentiometric Surface Map - QI/II RFI Draft Report
Plate IX	Site-Wide Berea/Bedrock Potentiometric Surface Map - QI/II RFI Draft Report
Plate X	Model Grid and Boundary Conditions in Layer 1 (Minford), Quadrant I/II RFI Draft Report
Plate XI	Model Grid and Boundary Conditions in Layer 2 (Gallia), Quadrant I/II RFI Draft Report
Plate XII	Model Grid and Boundary Conditions in Layer 5 (Berea), Quadrant I/II RFI Draft Report

LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix A	Quadrant I RFI Phase II Plates
Appendix B	Waste Characterization Data Sheets
Appendix C	Quality Assurance/Quality Control Summary Report
Appendix D1	Savannah and PORTS Laboratory Analytical Results - Level III
Appendix D2	Savannah and PORTS Laboratory Analytical Results - Level II
Appendix D3	Geotechnical Investigation Results
Appendix E	Field-GC Results
Appendix F	Soil Boring and Well Construction Logs
Appendix G	Sample Size Characterization/Geostatistics
Appendix H	Baseline Risk Assessment (not included)
Appendix H.1	Aqua Tech Fish and Macroinvertebrate Survey
Appendix H.2	Fish Tissue Analyses
Appendix H.3	Summary of Martin Marietta Energy Systems Environmental Surveillance Data
Appendix H.4	Screen for Beta-emitting Radiation
Appendix H.5	Documentation of Environmental Models
Appendix H.6	Estimates of Risk for the Typical Exposure Scenario
Appendix H.7	Toxicity Assessments Provided by U.S. EPA ECAO
Appendix H.8	UBK Model Results for Lead

Appendix H.9	Hazard Indices and Cancer Risk Estimates based on Reasonable Maximum Exposure Assumptions
Appendix H.10	Technical Memorandum of Threatened and Endangered Species Habitat Survey
Appendix H.11	Environmental Fate Data for PORTS Quadrant I Constituents of Concern
Appendix H.12	Summary of Inorganic Constituents of Concern by SWMU
Appendix H.13	Summary of Organic Constituents of Concern by SWMU
Appendix H.14	Risk-based Remedial Action Objectives (RAOs)

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ACGIH	American Council of Governmental and Industrial Hygienists
AET	Adverse Effects Threshold
ATSDR	Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry
ARARs	Applicable or Relevant and Appropriate Requirements
AWQB	Ambient Water Quality Benchmarks
BARA	Barren Area
Bedford	Bedford Shale
BERA	Baseline Ecological Risk Assessment
Berea	Berea Sandstone
BOD	Biological Oxygen Demand
BRA	Baseline Risk Assessment
BRC	Big Run Creek
BTEX	Benzene, Toluene, Ethylbenzene, Xylene
CDC	Centers for Disease Control
CDI	Chronic Daily Intake
CERCLA	Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act
Ci/hr	Curies per hour
cm ² /sec	Square centimeters per second
cm ³ /g	Cubic centimeters per gram
CMS	Corrective Measures Study
CO ₂	Carbon Dioxide
COC	Chemicals of Concern
CPCB	Chemical and Petroleum Containment Basins
CPSC	Consumer Product Safety Commission
CPVC	Chlorinated polyvinyl chloride
Cuyahoga	Cuyahoga Shale

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS (continued)

DOCC	Description of Current Conditions
DQOs	Data quality objectives
ECAO	Environmental Criteria and Assessment Office
ED	Exposure duration
EDD	East Drainage Ditch
EDE	Effective dose equivalent
Energy Systems	Martin Marietta Energy Systems, Inc.
ER-L	Effects range low (10% of exposed aquatic organisms expected to show toxic effects)
ER-M	Effects Range-Median
ESD	Environmental Services Division
eV	Electron volts
ft/d	Feet per day
ft ² /d	Square feet per day
ft ³ /d	Cubic feet per day
f _{oc}	Fraction of organic carbon
Gallia	Gallia Sand and Gravel
gal/month	Gallons per month
g/cm ³	Grams per cubic centimeter
GC	Gas chromatograph
GCEP	Gaseous Centrifuge Enrichment Process
G.I.	Gastrointestinal
gpd	Gallons per day
gpm	Gallons per minute
GSD	Geometric Standard Deviation
GTGS	Geotechnical Graphic System
GWQA	Groundwater Quality Assessment
HAZWRAF	Hazardous Waste Remedial Action Program

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS (continued)

HEAST	Health Effects Assessment Summary Tables
HEPA	High Efficiency Particulate Air
HF	Hydrogen Fluoride
HI	Hazard index for noncarcinogenic effects
HQ	Hazard quotient
HSDB	Hazardous Substances Data Bank
IAEA	International Atomic Energy Agency
IARC	International Agency for Research on Cancer
IDLH	Immediate Danger to Life and Health
in/yr	Inches per year
IRIS	Integrated Risk Information System
IRM	Interim Remedial Measure
eV	Electron volts
kg	Kilogram
kg/yr	Kilograms per year
K _{ow}	Octanol-water partition coefficient
lbs	Pounds
LBC	Little Beaver Creek
LC ₅₀	Lethal concentration in 50% of animals exposed
LOAEL	Lowest-observed-adverse-effect level
m ³ /day	Cubic meters per day
mg/l	Milligrams per liter
mg/kg	Milligrams per kilogram
mg/m ² /day	Milligrams of chemical per square meter body surface area per day
mg/m ³	Milligrams per cubic meter
mg U/kg	Milligrams of uranium per kilogram
mg U/liter	Milligrams of uranium per liter

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS (continued)

mgd	Million gallons per day
Minford	Minford Clay and Silt
MOC	Method of Characteristics
MMOC	Modified Method of Characteristics
msl	Mean Sea Level
N	Normality
NA	Not Analyzed
ND	Not Detected
NDD	North Drainage Ditch
NEDD	Northeast Drainage Ditch
NIOSH	National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health
NOAA	National Oceanic and Atmospheric Association
NOAEL	No-observed-adverse-effect level
NPDES	National Pollution Discharge Elimination System
NRC	National Research Council
NTP	National Toxicological Program
NCP	National Oil and Hazardous Substances Pollution Contingency
NCRPM	National Council on Radiation Protection and Measurements
NYSDEC	New York State Department of Environmental Conservation
ODNR	Ohio Department of Natural Resources
OEPA	Ohio Environmental Protection Agency
OFR	Old Northwest Firing Range
ORNL	Oak Ridge National Laboratory
OSTP	Office of Science and Technology Policy
PAHs	Polynuclear aromatic hydrocarbons
PCBs	Polychlorinated biphenyls
PCDFs	Polychlorinated dibenzofurans

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS (continued)

PCE	Perchloroethylene
PCG	Preconditioned conjugate gradient
pCi/g	Picocuries per gram
pCi/l	Picocuries per liter
PERA	Preliminary Ecological Risk Assessment
PHYTOTOX	U.S. EPA plant toxicity database
PORTS	Portsmouth Gaseous Diffusion Plant
ppb	Parts per billion
ppm	Parts per million
PQLs	Practical quantitation limits
PRCL	Process Waste Lines
psi	Pounds per square inch
QAPjP	Quality Assurance Project Plan
QA/QC	Quality assurance/quality control
RAGS	Risk Assessment Guidance for Superfund
RCRA	Resource Conservation and Recovery Act
RCW	Recirculating Cooling Water
RfC	Reference concentration
RfD	Reference dose
RFI	RCRA Facility Investigation
RME	Reasonable maximum exposure
RSS	Residual sum of squares
RSY	Railroad Spur Yard Storage Area
SASW	Sanitary Sewer System
SF	Slope factor for carcinogens
SIP	Strongly Implicit Procedure
SQL	Sample quantitation limit

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS (continued)

SSOR	Slice-successive over-relaxation
STSW	Storm Sewer System
Sunbury	Sunbury Shale
SVOCs	Semivolatile organic compounds
SWMUs	Solid Waste Management Units
TAL	Target Analyte List
Tc	Technetium
TCL	Target Compound List
TE	Typical Exposure
TIC	Tentatively identified compound
TOC	Total organic carbon
UBK	Uptake/Biokinetic Model for lead
UCL	Upper control limit
$\mu\text{g}/\text{dl}$	Micrograms per deciliter
$\mu\text{g}/\text{hr}$	Micrograms per hour
$\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$	Micrograms per kilogram
$\mu\text{g}/\text{l}$	Micrograms per liter
$\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$	Micrograms per cubic meter
μm	Micrometers
UBK	Uptake/Biokinetic
U.S. DOE	U.S. Department of Energy
U.S. EPA	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
U.S. FDA	U.S. Food & Drug Administration
USGS	U.S. Geological Survey
UST	Underground Storage Tank
VOCs	Volatile organic compounds
WHO	World Health Organization

PREFACE

3018
2199

Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PAHs) contamination at the Portsmouth Gaseous Diffusion Plant have been identified within this report on an individual Solid Waste Management Unit basis. However, determination of specific sources and levels of ecological and human health risk have not been addressed within this report. To obtain this information the reader is referred to the following U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (Region 5) and Ohio Environmental Protection Agency approved document:

U.S. Department of Energy (U.S. DOE). 1997. Risk Management Considerations for Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbon Contamination at the Portsmouth Gaseous Diffusion Plant, Piketon Ohio, DOE/OR/11-140&D2, March 7, 1997.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The Portsmouth Gaseous Diffusion Plant (PORTS) is owned by the U.S. Department of Energy (U.S. DOE). The production facilities are leased and operated by the U.S. Enrichment Corporation. The facility was formerly operated by Martin Marietta Energy Systems (Energy Systems) until July 1, 1993. In 1995, through a corporate merger, Martin Marietta Energy Systems became Lockheed Martin Energy Systems, Inc. Geraghty & Miller, Inc., was retained by Energy Systems in 1988 to conduct a Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) Facility Investigation (RFI) at the PORTS facility as part of the overall RCRA Corrective Action process that is currently ongoing at the site. RCRA Corrective Action is being conducted at the site under requirements and schedules specified in the Consent Decree issued by the Ohio Attorney General's office on August 29, 1989, and the RCRA Section 3008(h) Consent order issued by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (U.S. EPA), Region V on September 29, 1989.

As stated in the Ohio Environmental Protection Agency (OEPA) Consent Decree and in the U.S. EPA Consent Order, the purpose of the RFI at PORTS is to acquire, analyze, and interpret data that will do the following:

1. Characterize the environmental setting, including groundwater, surface water and sediment, soil, and air.
2. Define and characterize sources of contamination.
3. Characterize the vertical and horizontal extent and degree of contamination of the environment.

4. Assess the risk to human health and the environment resulting from possible exposure to contaminants.
5. Support the Cleanup Alternatives Study/Corrective Measures Study (CAS/CMS), which follows the RFI, if required.

The Quadrant I Phase I RFI was performed in strict accordance with the Quadrant I RFI Work Plan (Geraghty & Miller, Inc., 1990a). The Quadrant I RFI Draft Final Report was submitted to the U.S. EPA and the OEPA in February 1992 (Geraghty & Miller, Inc., 1992a).

The Quadrant I Phase II RFI was performed in strict accordance with the Quadrant I RFI Phase II Work Plan (Geraghty & Miller, Inc., 1994), which was approved by the OEPA in September 1993 and by the U.S. EPA in December 1993. Field work for the RFI was conducted from October 1993 to January 1994. All media except air were investigated during the RFI; the scope of air-related RFI activities has been negotiated with the OEPA and the U.S. EPA. The Air RFI report is currently being completed. A total of 21 Solid Waste Management Units (SWMUs) were investigated during the Quadrant I Phase I and Phase II RFI at the PORTS facility. During the RFI, surface- and subsurface-soil samples, sediment samples, surface-water samples, and groundwater samples recommended in the approved Quadrant I Phase I and Phase II RFI Work Plans were collected as specified in the approved work plan and RFI Sampling Plan (Geraghty & Miller Inc., 1992b). All Phase I and Phase II RFI samples evaluated in this report were analyzed for parameters specified in the approved Quadrant I RFI Work Plan at Savannah Laboratories and at the PORTS Laboratory using analytical methods and Level III data quality objectives (DQOs) described in the approved Quality Assurance Project Plan (QAPjP) (Geraghty & Miller, Inc., 1992c; Energy Systems, 1991) for each laboratory.

During Phase I and Phase II, comprehensive analyses of soil and sediment samples were conducted at each unit, where applicable, for Target Compound List/Target Analyte List (TCL/TAL) constituents as listed in the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Statement of Work for Organic (1988a) and Inorganic (1988b) Analyses. Surface-water and groundwater samples, where applicable, were analyzed for the Appendix IX list of constituents from RCRA 40 CFR Part 264. Solid and liquid samples were analyzed for fluoride, Freon-113, and radiological parameters (gross alpha, gross beta, total uranium, and technetium). Additional analyses for transuranic elements (neptunium and plutonium) and uranium isotopes (uranium-234, uranium-235, and uranium-238) were performed on a minimum of 5 percent of samples (during Phase I) and on selected Phase II samples, as specified in the approved Quadrant I Phase II RFI Work Plan (Geraghty & Miller, Inc., 1994). During Phase II, additional sampling and analyses were selected to support the risk assessment and the CAS/CMS and also to satisfy the U.S. EPA and the OEPA requirements.

Presented below is a discussion of how the objectives of the RFI (shown in bold-face below), as stated in the OEPA Consent Decree and U.S. EPA Consent Order, were achieved; recommendations for further action are also provided where applicable.

- ***Characterize the environmental setting, including groundwater, surface water and sediment, soil, and air.***

The environmental setting of Quadrant I and the PORTS facility are well understood as a result of this and previous investigations. In addition, background levels of naturally occurring constituents have been determined and are specified in the Background Sampling Investigation Report (BSI) (U.S. DOE, 1996). The investigation of one component of the environmental setting, however, is still in progress. The Air RFI field work has been conducted and the report is currently under review.

- ***Define and characterize sources of contamination.***

Potential sources of contamination were identified during development of the Quadrant I Description of Current Conditions (DOCC) (Geraghty & Miller, Inc., 1990b). Waste Characterization Data Sheets, which include detailed information regarding the physical and chemical properties of potential contaminants associated with these sources, were developed. The nature of operations, structure, and history of waste disposal at each unit were also reviewed to determine the most appropriate type of investigation. During this review, point sources of contamination were identified at seven of the 21 SWMUs investigated. To complete the characterization of these seven SWMUs, sediment, surface-water, waste-water or soil samples were collected for comprehensive analyses. These seven SWMUs include:

X-230K Holding Pond

X-231A SE Oil Biodegradation Plot

X-600/X-600A/X-621 Coal Fired Steam Plant, Coal Storage Yard,
Coal Pile Runoff Treatment Facility

X-626 Cooling Tower Basin

X-751 Mobile Equipment Garage

X-2230M Southwest Holding Pond

GCEP Underground Storage Tanks

Based upon the data presented in this RFI report, it is believed that no further RFI work is warranted at these SWMUs.

- ***Characterize the vertical and horizontal extent and degree of contamination of the environment.***

Contamination of environmental media was identified at 19 of the 21 SWMUs in Quadrant I and at the Quadrant I Investigative Area Groundwater Contaminant Plume and the X-740/X-120 Groundwater Contaminant Plume. At all of these 19 SWMUs, the nature (constituents and maximum concentrations), and vertical and horizontal extent of contamination were determined. This report concludes that no further RFI work is required in Quadrant I to characterize the extent and degree of contamination.

- *Assess the risk to human health and the environment resulting from possible exposure to contaminants.*

An evaluation of potential risks to human health associated with each SWMU in Quadrant I was conducted as part of the RFI to support risk-based decisions regarding the need for further action. Risks were evaluated under two scenarios: the hypothetical future-residential-use scenario and the current-use scenario. An individual evaluation of soil and groundwater samples collected from areas adjacent to three SWMUs (Return Cooling Water System, Sanitary Sewer System, and Storm Sewer System) was not performed because of the spatial variation of data associated with these units. However, data from these sampling locations were considered in the overall evaluation of the quadrant and in the evaluations of other SWMUs located near the Return Cooling Water System, Sanitary Sewer System or Storm Sewer System.

The risk evaluation was performed using tentative background values for metals and naturally occurring radiological parameters that were calculated as part of the Quadrant I/Quadrant II RFIs. (Background concentrations of naturally occurring constituents must be established before risks can be fully evaluated.) Although background levels have since been revised and characterized in the BSI, background values for soil and groundwater were not approved until after the assessment of risk

for Quadrant I SWMUs had been completed. Therefore, approved background values presented in the BSI are not incorporated into this report. In addition, inorganic constituents and naturally occurring radiological parameters were not evaluated in this report and will be assessed in the CAS/CMS. Risks associated with SWMUs in Quadrant I may be reevaluated after background values are evaluated in the CAS/CMS. If this reevaluation of risk indicates that risk levels associated with a unit are "acceptable," no further action will be proposed at that SWMU; if risk levels are "unacceptable," further action will be proposed. The results of the risk evaluation conducted during this investigation are summarized below.

Based on an analysis of risks associated with a hypothetical future-residential-use scenario and using a set of reasonable maximum exposure (RME) assumptions, SWMUs can be separated into three groups classified according to potential carcinogenic and non-carcinogenic risk. Similarly, SWMUs for which surface-water or sediment data were collected can be separated into risk categories based on a future-recreational-use scenario. Unless otherwise indicated, the following risk categorization is based on soil or groundwater data.

Target Risk Levels Not Exceeded

SWMUs in this group pose negligible carcinogenic risk (less than 10^{-6}) and negligible non-carcinogenic risk (hazard index [HI] less than 1). Two SWMUs are included in this group:

- X-103 Auxiliary Office Building
- X-104A Indoor Firing Range

Within Target Risk Levels

SWMUs in this group pose carcinogenic risks within the U.S. EPA range of concern (between 10^{-6} and 10^{-4}). Three SWMUs are included in this group:

- X-741 Oil Drum Storage Facility
- X-2230M Southwest Holding Pond
- Big Run Creek

Target Risk Levels Exceeded

SWMUs in this group pose a significant carcinogenic risk (greater than 10^{-4}) or significant non-carcinogenic risk (HI greater than 1). Eleven SWMUs are included in this group:

- X-120 Old Training Facility
- X-230K South Holding Pond
- X-231A SE Oil Biodegradation Plot
- X-600A/X-621 Coal-Fired Steam Plant, Coal Storage Yard, and Coal-Pile-Runoff Treatment Facility
- X-626 Recirculating Cooling Water Pump House and Cooling Tower and Quadrant I RCW System
- X-710 Technical Services Building, Neutralization Pit, and Radioactive Wastewater Tank
- X-747F Miscellaneous Material Storage Yard
- X-749A Classified Materials Burial Ground
- X-760 Pilot Investigation Building and Neutralization Pit
- Peter Kiewit Landfill
- Storm Sewer System

The criteria used to determine whether sufficient data have been collected during the RFI to support the risk assessment are discussed in Section 4.2 (Technical Approach) of this report. Based upon a review using these criteria, sufficient data have been collected to support the risk assessment at all SWMUs investigated.

- Support the CAS/CMS

The results of the RFI provide a foundation for the Quadrant I CAS/CMS reports. Data regarding the nature and extent of contamination in environmental media, and the environmental setting of the facility (including site geology/hydrogeology and groundwater flow directions) were collected during the Quadrant I RFI. Geotechnical data including bulk density, grain size analysis, soil permeability, Atterberg limits, standard Proctor analysis, soil porosity, cation exchange capacity, and total organic carbon were collected during the Quadrant I/Quadrant II Phase I RFIs conducted in 1991 and 1994. This combination of geologic/hydrogeologic and geotechnical data will be used in the evaluation of corrective measure technologies that will be performed as part of the CAS/CMS. A preliminary evaluation of applicable or relevant and appropriate requirements (ARARs) for the PORTS facility was conducted in 1992 (Houlberg et al., 1992). A complete review of ARARs is conducted as part of the CAS/CMS.

REFERENCES

- Geraghty & Miller, Inc., December 1990a. Quadrant I RFI Work Plan for the Portsmouth Gaseous Diffusion Plant, Piketon, Ohio.
- Geraghty & Miller, Inc., December 1990b. Quadrant I Description of Current Conditions for the Portsmouth Gaseous Diffusion Plant, Piketon, Ohio.
- Geraghty & Miller, Inc., February 1992a. Quadrant I RFI Draft Final Report for the Portsmouth Gaseous Diffusion Plant, Piketon, Ohio.
- Geraghty & Miller, Inc., February 1992b. RFI Sampling Plan for the Portsmouth Gaseous Diffusion Plant, Piketon, Ohio, Prepared for Martin Marietta Energy Systems.
- Geraghty & Miller, Inc., June 1992c. Laboratory QAPjP for the RCRA Facility Investigation at the Portsmouth Gaseous Diffusion Plant, Piketon, Ohio, RFI General Plan-Volume II.
- Geraghty & Miller, Inc., January 1994. Quadrant I RCRA Facility Investigation Phase II Work Plan for the Portsmouth Gaseous Diffusion Plant, Piketon, Ohio.
- Houlberg, L. M., L. A. Eaton, J. A. Martin, E. P. McDonald and E. L. Etnier, 1992. Applicable or Relevant and Appropriate Requirements at the Portsmouth Gaseous Diffusion Plant, Martin Marietta Energy Systems, Environmental Restoration Program.
- Martin Marietta Energy Systems, February 1991. Laboratory QAPjP for the RCRA Facility Investigation at the Portsmouth Gaseous Diffusion Plant, Piketon, Ohio, RFI General Plan-Volume III.
- U.S. Department of Energy (U.S. DOE), 1996. Background Sampling Investigation of Soil and Groundwater Final Report for Portsmouth Gaseous Diffusion Plant, Piketon, Ohio. DOE/OR/11-1323&D6. July 1996.
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, February 1988a. Statement of Work for Organic Analysis.
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, July 1988b. Statement of Work for Inorganic Analysis.

This page intentionally left blank.

1.0 INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background

The Portsmouth Uranium Enrichment Plant (PORTS) is owned by the U.S. Department of Energy (U.S. DOE) and operated by Martin Marietta Energy Systems, Inc. (Energy Systems). Geraghty & Miller, Inc., was retained by Energy Systems to conduct a Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) Facility Investigation (RFI) at the PORTS facility as part of the overall RCRA Corrective Action process that is currently ongoing at the site. RCRA Corrective Action is being conducted at the site under requirements and schedules specified in the Consent Decree issued by the Ohio Attorney General's office on August 29, 1989, and in the RCRA Section 3008(h) Consent Order issued by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (U.S. EPA), Region V on September 29, 1989.

The PORTS facility is located near Piketon, Ohio, in the south-central portion of the state. The active plant site (the PORTS facility) encompasses approximately 1,000 acres of the 3,714-acre U.S. DOE reservation (reservation). The principal process at the PORTS facility is the separation of uranium isotopes via gaseous diffusion. The PORTS facility has been operating since 1954, enriching uranium for use in commercial nuclear reactors and for use by the U.S. Navy in power reactors of the nuclear navy. Support operations include the feed and withdrawal of material from the primary process, water treatment for sanitary and cooling purposes, decontamination of equipment removed from the plant for maintenance or replacement, recovery of uranium from various waste materials, and treatment of sewage wastes and cooling water blowdown. The construction, operation, and maintenance of this facility require the use of a wide range of commercially available chemicals. Continuous operation of the plant since 1954 has resulted in the generation of inorganic, organic, and low-level radioactive waste materials.

As discussed in detail in the Quadrant I Description of Current Conditions (DOCC) (Geraghty & Miller, Inc., 1990a), the PORTS facility has been separated into four quadrants. Each quadrant (see Section 2.0) roughly corresponds to a distinct groundwater flow cell within the primary water-bearing unit beneath the site and has been investigated separately. The Quadrant I RFI Phase II was performed in strict accordance with the Quadrant I RFI Work Plan (Geraghty & Miller, Inc., 1990b) and the Quadrant I RFI Phase II Work Plan (Geraghty & Miller, Inc., 1993), which were approved by the Ohio Environmental Protection Agency (OEPA) and the U.S. EPA on February 19, 1990 and September 20, 1993, respectively. Field work for Phases I and II of the RFI was conducted from February to August 1990 and from October to December 1993, respectively. Sampling locations associated with the Quadrant I RFI Phase II are presented in Plate I (Appendix A). All media except air were investigated during the RFI; the scope of air-related RFI activities has been negotiated with the OEPA and the U.S. EPA and is currently ongoing with a deliverable date of February 28, 1995.

1.2 Purpose of This Investigation

As stated in the OEPA Consent Decree and in the U.S. EPA Consent Order, the purpose of the RFI at PORTS is to acquire, analyze, and interpret data that will do the following:

1. Characterize the environmental setting, including surface water and sediment, groundwater, soil, and air.
2. Define and characterize sources of contamination.
3. Characterize the vertical and horizontal extent and degree of contamination of the environment.

4. Assess the risk to human health and the environment resulting from possible exposure to contaminants.
5. Support the Cleanup Alternatives Study/Corrective Measures Study (CAS/CMS), which will follow the RFI, if required.

1.3 Conceptual Approach to RCRA Corrective Action

The conceptual approach to the RCRA Corrective Action process in the Quadrant I RFI Phase II is summarized on Figure 1.1. The first step in the process was to identify solid waste management units (SWMUs) where the potential for a release was present or where the U.S. EPA Consent Order or OEPA Consent Decree required further action. The primary focus of the RFI was to determine if releases to the environment have occurred from SWMUs and to collect data to support an evaluation of risk for each SWMU and for the quadrant. If a release to the environment was found, an attempt was made to determine the nature and extent of the contamination sufficiently to support an evaluation of risk. In cases where the nature and extent of contamination were not sufficiently determined, additional investigation is recommended.

An evaluation of risks associated with each SWMU was performed using tentative background values calculated during the Quadrant I/Quadrant II RFIs Phase II. (Background concentrations of naturally occurring constituents must be established before risks can be fully evaluated.) Risks associated with SWMUs in Quadrant I Phase II will be reevaluated after background values are established. If this reevaluation of risk indicates that risk levels associated with a unit are "acceptable," no further action will be proposed at that SWMU; if risk levels are "unacceptable," further action will be proposed.

This report presents a description of site conditions and identifies potential contaminants and primary pathways for releases at each SWMU. A summary of the investigation performed at each SWMU and of the results of each investigation is presented. The results for each SWMU are discussed in detail to determine if the objectives of the RFI have been achieved. Conclusions regarding the RFI and recommendations for further action at selected SWMUs are also included.

1.4 References

Geraghty & Miller, Inc., 1990a. Quadrant I Description of Current Conditions for the Portsmouth Gaseous Diffusion Plant, Piketon, Ohio.

Geraghty & Miller, Inc., 1990b. Quadrant I RCRA Facility Investigation Work Plan for the Portsmouth Gaseous Diffusion Plant, Piketon, Ohio.

Geraghty & Miller, Inc., 1993. Quadrant I RCRA Facility Investigation Phase II Work Plan for the Portsmouth Gaseous Diffusion Plant, Piketon, Ohio.

This page intentionally left blank.

2.0 CHARACTERIZATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL SETTING

The characterization of the environmental setting is discussed below in terms of physiographic setting and site geology and hydrogeology. Detailed discussions regarding groundwater flow in each of the four quadrants of the PORTS facility are also provided.

2.1 Physiographic Setting of the PORTS Facility

The PORTS facility is located within the Appalachian Plateau physiographic province approximately 20 miles south of the limit of glaciation in Ohio (Feneman, 1938). As a result, the geologic setting of the site has been heavily influenced by drainage associated with glacial events. The PORTS facility occupies an upland area of Southern Ohio with an average land surface elevation of 670 feet above mean sea level (msl) (Plates I and II in Appendix A). The terrain surrounding the plant site consists of marginal farmland and wooded hills, generally with less than 100 feet of relief. As shown on Figure 2.1, the plant is located within a mile-wide abandoned river valley situated 130 feet above the level of the Scioto River Valley, which lies approximately 1 mile to the west.

2.2 Geology of the PORTS Facility

The geology of the PORTS facility has been characterized through the drilling of over 1,200 borings throughout the site. The near-surface geologic materials that influence the hydrologic system at the PORTS facility comprise two general classes: the sandstone and shale bedrock formations of the Bedford Shale (Bedford), the Berea Sandstone (Berea), the Sunbury Shale (Sunbury), and the Cuyahoga Shale (Cuyahoga); and the unconsolidated deposits of silt, clay, sand, and gravel comprising the Minford Clay and Silt (Minford) and the Gallia Sand and Gravel (Gallia). Both classes of geologic material and the recent geologic history are discussed below in detail.

Prior to the Pleistocene glaciation, the Teays River and its tributaries were the dominant drainage systems in Ohio. The Teays River originated in the Piedmont region of Virginia and North Carolina and entered Ohio to the south in Scioto County. The Teays River flowed southeast to northwest passing approximately 3 miles north of the location now occupied by the PORTS facility (Figure 2.1). In the vicinity of the PORTS facility, the location of the ancient Teays River Valley, currently occupied by Big Beaver Creek, is easily visible on topographic maps. The Portsmouth River, a tributary of the Teays, flowed north across the plant site location between bluffs of Cuyahoga Shale. The Portsmouth River downcut through the Cuyahoga and into the Sunbury and Berea Formations and deposited fluvial silty sands and gravels of the Gallia member of the Teays Formation (Figures 2.1 and 2.2).

Approximately one million years ago, a glacier advancing from the north blocked the northwestward flow of the Teays River. This event resulted in the creation of Lake Tight, which filled the valleys of the Teays River and its tributaries, including the Portsmouth River. Lacustrine silt and clay (Minford), indicative of low-energy conditions, were deposited on the lake bottom overlaying the meandering stream deposits that constitute the Gallia. The basal 10 to 15 feet of the Minford commonly consist of relatively clean silt (Figure 2.3), perhaps reflecting shallow lake levels and reworked sediment or possibly Portsmouth River over-bank deposits. Above this silt layer lies a series of laminated clays that may represent sediments deposited as glacial Lake Tight grew deeper and more extensive.

Eventually, Lake Tight overflowed its banks and initiated the high volume and high energy lower elevation drainage paths known as Deep Stage drainage. The most significant Deep Stage stream in southern Ohio was the south-flowing Newark River (Figure 2.4). The Newark River occupied the former Teays River Valley from Chillicothe to Waverly, bypassed the area of the PORTS facility, then occupied the former Portsmouth River Valley

south to Portsmouth. As the glaciers retreated, meltwater flowed down the Newark River Valley, partially backfilling it with outwash. The present-day Scioto River flows in this valley on top of a thick layer of outwash.

2.2.1 Bedrock Geology

Mississippian age clastic sedimentary rocks underlie the unconsolidated sediments beneath the PORTS facility to depths of approximately 30 to 45 feet (Plates III, IV, and V in Appendix A). The oldest bedrock formation encountered during environmental investigations at the site is the Bedford Shale. In stratigraphic sequence from the Bedford Shale, younger bedrock formations present at the PORTS facility include the Berea Sandstone, the Sunbury Shale, and the Cuyahoga Shale. A general stratigraphic column at the PORTS facility is shown on Figure 2.3. A lithologic fence diagram from Quadrant I is presented on Plate IV (Appendix A). A detailed discussion of each of these bedrock formations encountered at the PORTS facility is presented below.

The Bedford Shale is the lowest stratigraphic unit encountered during environmental investigative activities at the site. The typical depth to the top of this formation at the PORTS facility is 70 to 100 feet below ground surface. The Bedford Shale averages 100 feet in thickness and is composed of thinly bedded shale with interbeds and laminations of gray, fine-grained sandstone and siltstone. Sandstone interbeds predominate at the top of the Bedford, but decrease in frequency with depth. The Bedford Shale acts as a lower confining unit for the Berea Sandstone above.

The Berea Sandstone is a light gray, thickly bedded, fine-grained sandstone with scattered thin shale laminations. The Berea averages 30 feet in thickness; however, the lower 10 feet has numerous shale laminations and is very similar to the underlying Bedford Shale. This gradational contact, therefore, does not allow for a precise determination of the

thickness of the Berea. Regionally, the Berea Sandstone is used for production of oil and gas; however, near the PORTS facility, the Berea is the uppermost water-bearing bedrock unit. The Berea is the uppermost bedrock unit beneath the western portion of the PORTS facility, but is overlain by the Sunbury Shale to the east.

The Sunbury Shale is a black, very carbonaceous shale. In outcrop, the Sunbury is fissile and highly fractured; however, in cores obtained during bedrock drilling at the PORTS facility, the Sunbury has been found to be coherent, semi-plastic, and clayey. A thin (1- to 3-inch) zone of sulfide mineralization occurs locally at the contact between the Sunbury and the underlying Berea. The Sunbury ranges in thickness from 0 to 20 feet beneath the PORTS facility. The Sunbury thins westward due to erosion by the Portsmouth River and is absent on the western half of the site (Plate V in Appendix A). It is also absent in the drainage of Little Beaver Creek where it has been removed by recent erosion. Due to erosion and subsequent deposition during formation of the Portsmouth River Valley, the Sunbury Shale underlies the unconsolidated Gallia beneath the eastern portion of the plant (Figure 2.2) and the Cuyahoga Shale outside of the Portsmouth River Valley.

The Cuyahoga Shale, the youngest and uppermost bedrock unit at the site, forms the hills surrounding the plant. The Cuyahoga does not directly underlie the active portion of the PORTS facility due to the local erosional patterns (Figure 2.2). The Cuyahoga consists of gray, thinly bedded shale with scattered lenses of fine-grained sandstone and reaches a local thickness of approximately 160 feet.

2.2.2 Unconsolidated Sediments

Unconsolidated sediments in the vicinity of the PORTS facility fill the ancient Portsmouth River Valley to depths of approximately 30 to 40 feet below ground surface. The unconsolidated sediments are divided into two members of the Teays Formation,

including the Minford Clay and Silt and the Gallia Sand and Gravel. Both of these members are discussed below in detail beginning with the underlying Gallia.

2.2.2.1 Gallia Sand and Gravel

Prior to Pleistocene glaciation, the Portsmouth River meandered north through the valley currently occupied by the PORTS facility depositing the sand and gravel of the Gallia. A contour map of Gallia thickness, developed from over 1,100 data points, is presented on Plate VI (Appendix A). The areas of thickest accumulation of Gallia shown on this plate may represent the channel location just prior to formation of Lake Tight. The ancient channel extends from the south near Big Run Creek northward along the eastern side of the valley, then curves to the west under the southern end of the X-330 building and continues north along the western side of the valley (Plates III and VI in Appendix A). A meander valley of the Portsmouth River was cut through the Cuyahoga Shale to the east of the site, as shown on Figure 2.1. Sporadic, thick Gallia deposits are present where this secondary meander valley intersects the main valley near X-701B.

The Gallia averages 3 to 4 feet in thickness at the site and is characterized by poorly sorted, silty, clayey medium to coarse sand or gravel with the primary constituent varying between sand and gravel. (Law Engineering Testing Company [1978] indicated that the Gallia had an average clay content of 30 percent.) Channel migration and variability in depositional environments that occurred during deposition of the Gallia have resulted in variations in the thickness of the Gallia. Localized thick and thin areas of the Gallia within the perimeter road are the result of migration of the Portsmouth River channel and associated deposition and/or erosional scour (Plate VI in Appendix A). Valley walls that enclosed the ancient Portsmouth River formed a natural barrier for deposition of Gallia channel deposits. Gallia deposits are generally absent above an approximate elevation of 670 feet msl along the valley walls.

Due to similar depositional environments and source material, stream deposits from modern streams at the site are often visually indistinguishable from Gallia deposits. This similarity may have, in some cases, resulted in an overestimation of Gallia thickness. Relatively thick Gallia deposits near the X-734 landfill and possibly near the Old Firing Range may be the result of this indistinguishable mixture of modern and ancient channel deposits. Likewise, the modern surface-water drainage system has also dissected the Gallia, resulting in thin Gallia deposits where downcutting has removed the overlying Minford and portions of the Gallia. The combination of post-Gallia erosion and modern stream deposition over already variable Gallia thickness has resulted in irregular thicknesses across the site.

2.2.2.2 Minford Clay and Silt

The Minford is the uppermost stratigraphic unit beneath the PORTS facility. The Minford averages 20 to 30 feet in thickness at the PORTS facility (Plate VII in Appendix A), grading from predominantly silt and very fine sand at its base to mostly clay near the surface. The upper clay unit averages 16 feet in thickness, is reddish-brown, silty, and plastic, and contains traces of sand in some locations. However, at Quadrant III, the Minford reaches thicknesses of as great as 30 feet (Plate VII in Appendix A). As discussed below, these thicknesses may be somewhat exaggerated due to construction filling operations. The lower silt unit averages 7 feet in thickness, is yellow-brown and semi-plastic and contains varying amounts of clay and very fine sand. The contact between silt and clay is gradational. A study by Law Engineering Testing Company (1978) estimated that silt content in the Minford as a whole is approximately 33 percent.

Soil, colluvium, and recent alluvium are present in varying amounts at the surface near the PORTS facility. It is significant, however, that during the initial grading of the site, prior to plant construction, as much as the upper 20 feet of the deposits within the

perimeter road were reworked by pre-construction cut and fill activity and locally replaced with disturbed Minford or fill material. The fill in many locations consists predominantly of Minford clay and silt removed from high areas and relocated to low areas (Figure 2.5). In most cases the fill is indistinguishable from the undisturbed Minford; however, maps showing pre-construction topography indicate some areas of thick fill.

Variations in bedrock topography that existed before construction of the PORTS facility and downcutting by modern streams have also had a significant effect on the thickness of Minford deposits. The Minford is thinnest where it overlies topographic highs that existed before the construction of the PORTS facility. Around the perimeter of the PORTS facility, the Minford thins and finally pinches out at the contact with the bedrock valley walls that enclosed Lake Tight. Minford deposits are generally absent above an elevation of 685 feet msl along the valley walls. The Minford also thins where surface-water drainages have eroded the lacustrine sediments of the Minford. In summary, the combination of construction activities, existing bedrock topography, and downcutting by modern streams has influenced the areal extent and thickness of the Minford at the PORTS facility.

2.2.3 Geologic Structure

The geologic structure of the area is very simple, with the Mississippian strata (Cuyahoga, Sunbury, Berea, and Bedford) dipping gently to the east-southeast at approximately 30 feet per mile (0.3 degree). There are no significant geologic faults in the area; however, two distinct joint sets (N65°E and N25°W) are visible in outcrops of the Sunbury, Berea, and Bedford Formations. Bedding-plane fractures are also present in the bedrock formations.

The occurrence of bedrock outcrops at the PORTS facility is governed by regional dip of the bedrock units, erosion caused by the modern surface-water drainage systems and the location of natural bedrock highs. The regional eastern dip of bedrock units results in a subcrop of the Sunbury Shale beneath the PORTS facility. The Sunbury thins from approximately 20 feet at the eastern margin of the site to a zero thickness in the center of the site (Plate V in Appendix A). This thinning and erosional subcrop of the Sunbury causes unconsolidated sediments in the western half of the site to be underlain by the older Berea Sandstone, while the eastern half of the site is underlain by the Sunbury Shale. These differences in bedrock lithology are largely responsible for the difference in hydrogeologic properties between the eastern and western sections of the PORTS facility.

Bedrock highs that pre-date deposition of the unconsolidated sediments are also responsible for bedrock outcrop patterns at the PORTS facility. Bedrock outcrops consisting of the Sunbury Shale and the overlying Cuyahoga Shale are present along the valley walls that enclosed the Portsmouth River and Lake Tight. Localized bedrock highs often result in bedrock outcrops around the perimeter of the PORTS facility. These bedrock highs are probably the result of differential erosion and early establishment of the Portsmouth River system.

Erosion by the modern surface-water drainage system is also responsible for bedrock outcrops at the PORTS facility (Figure 2.6). Unconsolidated Minford and Gallia deposits have been reworked and eroded by modern streams, resulting in exposure of the Sunbury Shale, Berea Sandstone, and Bedford Shale in low topographic areas. These outcrops are generally limited to narrow exposures within the valleys of the drainage systems. In summary, a combination of regional bedrock dip, localized bedrock highs, and modern stream erosion is responsible for the bedrock outcrop patterns observed at the PORTS facility.

2.3 Hydrogeology of the PORTS Facility

The groundwater flow system at the PORTS facility includes two aquifers (the bedrock Berea Sandstone and the unconsolidated Gallia) and two aquitards (the Sunbury Shale and the unconsolidated Minford) (see Figure 2.3). The basal silt portion of the Minford is generally grouped with the Gallia to form the uppermost and primary aquifer at the facility. As discussed below, the hydraulic properties of these units have been well defined during previous investigations at the facility. Groundwater flow at the site has also been well defined as a result of this and previous investigations. Groundwater flow maps for the Gallia and the Berea Sandstone are presented on Plates VIII and IX (Appendix A), respectively. The groundwater elevation measurements used to develop these maps are in Table 2.1.

2.3.1 Hydraulic Properties

Several single-well aquifer tests were performed by Geraghty & Miller in 1989 (Geraghty & Miller, Inc., 1989a) at the PORTS facility to estimate the hydraulic conductivity of the Berea (the lowermost aquifer). Measured hydraulic conductivity values of the Berea ranged from 4.5×10^{-3} feet per day (ft/d) to 15 ft/d with a mean value of 0.16 ft/d. The arithmetic mean of hydraulic conductivity measurements in the Berea at X-616 (where the Sunbury is absent and the Berea may be eroded and weathered) was 0.35 ft/d. The general range for hydraulic conductivity of sandstones is 3.0×10^{-5} ft/d to 30 ft/d (deMarsily, 1986). Although two joint sets have been measured at the PORTS facility (N65°E and N25°W), significant secondary permeability in the Berea Sandstone has not been noted in previous investigations at the site.

The hydraulic conductivity of the Gallia, as determined by single-well tests across the entire PORTS facility, varies from 0.11 ft/d to 150 ft/d with an arithmetic mean value

of 3.4 ft/d. At the X-616 unit, the arithmetic mean of hydraulic conductivity measurements was 1.2 ft/d. A short-term test performed by Geraghty & Miller (1986a) in the vicinity of X-749 gave a hydraulic conductivity for the Gallia of 1.8 ft/d. Multiple-well aquifer tests were performed at X-701B and X-231B (Quadrant I) by Geraghty & Miller (1990a, 1991) to estimate hydraulic properties of the Gallia. Based on an average thickness of 5 feet, estimated hydraulic conductivity values in the Gallia ranged from 24 to 104 ft/d at X-701B, with arithmetic mean and median values of 49 ft/d and 44 ft/d, respectively. The X-231B test yielded values between 6.8 and 62 ft/d, with arithmetic mean, median, and geometric mean values of 38 ft/d, 40 ft/d, and 31 ft/d, respectively. At X-749 and X-120, slug tests have yielded hydraulic conductivity values of 0.5 to 57 ft/d in the Gallia. Two pump tests were also performed as part of recent field investigations carried out at the X-749/X-120 area. These tests showed that the hydraulic conductivity of the Gallia ranged from 1.9 to 8.1 ft/d in the southern portion of the X-749 plume (HAZWRAP, 1993). The hydraulic conductivity of the Gallia is generally higher in areas of thicker accumulation. The storage coefficient for the Gallia also varies considerably at the facility ranging from 0.00011 to 0.41 with an arithmetic mean of 0.16 (Geraghty & Miller, Inc., 1989a).

Numerous laboratory measurements of hydraulic conductivity for the Minford clay and silt units were performed by Law Engineering Testing Company (1982). The average permeability of the Minford clay was 2.3×10^{-4} ft/d. The average permeability of the Minford silt was 4.3×10^{-3} ft/d (Law Engineering Testing Company, 1982). Laboratory analysis of two Minford silt and clay cores collected in the X-701B area (Quadrant II) by Geraghty & Miller (1986b and 1992) yielded vertical hydraulic conductivity estimates of 2.16×10^{-5} ft/d and 1.3×10^{-4} ft/d. Geraghty & Miller (1989a) performed a single-well aquifer test in the Minford at the X-616 unit (Quadrant III) that yielded a hydraulic conductivity value of 0.62 ft/d. Based upon these low hydraulic conductivity values, the Minford clay is considered to be an effective aquitard.

2.3.2 Groundwater Recharge and Discharge Areas

Groundwater recharge and discharge areas at the PORTS facility include both natural recharge and discharge areas and man-made recharge and discharge areas. Both types are discussed in detail in the following sections.

2.3.2.1 Natural Recharge and Discharge Areas

The primary source of recharge to the hydrogeologic flow system at the PORTS facility is from precipitation. Net recharge, the amount of water available for infiltration, has been previously estimated to range between 8.9 and 13.9 inches per year using the empirical Thornthwaite method (Geraghty & Miller, Inc., 1989b, 1990b). However, direct infiltration from precipitation is probably less than that cited above because the continuity and low permeability of the Minford, especially the uppermost clay unit, reduce infiltration into the groundwater flow system. Where the clay unit of the Minford is thin to absent, recharge in the range cited above is more likely. However, in other parts of the facility, recharge could be as low as 2 to 4 inches per year, which is the average for this part of Ohio (Pettyjohn and Henning, 1979). Law Engineering Testing Company (1982) estimated net recharge to the Gallia for two scenarios: 0.1 inch per year where approximately 11 feet of clay existed and 3.9 inches per year where approximately 5 feet of silty clay existed. Generally, it can be assumed that little recharge to the Gallia occurs where the Minford clay unit is greater than 10 feet thick. Buildings and paved areas also further reduce infiltration to the Gallia groundwater flow system. A minimal volume of recharge occurs via lateral inflow from off-site portions including the uplands surrounding much of the site.

Recharge to the Berea flow system is limited by the presence (or absence) of the confining Sunbury Shale. The main recharge area for the Berea in the vicinity of PORTS

is just west of the X-326 Process Building where the Sunbury Shale is absent (Plate IX in Appendix A).

Groundwater at the PORTS facility discharges primarily to surface streams, to the extensive storm drain network, and to many of the ponds and lagoons on-site. Little Beaver Creek is a local discharge area for all geologic units in the northern and northeastern portions of the site. Along the western boundary of the site, the West Drainage Ditch serves as a local discharge area for all geologic units. Groundwater in the southern portion of the facility discharges to Big Run Creek and to the Unnamed Southwest Drainage. All of these surface-water units greatly influence groundwater flow directions in the part of the facility where they are located (Plates VIII and IX in Appendix A).

2.3.2.2 Man-Made Recharge and Discharge Areas

Groundwater recharge and discharge areas at the PORTS facility are affected by numerous man-made site features: the storm sewer system, sanitary sewer system, return cooling water (RCW) system, and building sumps. The site storm sewer system consists of numerous large-diameter culverts and pipes that drain surface water from discrete segments of the site. The drain system and backfill in which the drains are constructed probably act as interceptor trenches in the Minford and, in certain areas, within the Gallia. Based upon a review of groundwater flow data, this system does not appear to have a significant effect on groundwater flow in the Gallia. Groundwater collected by these drains is transported to the discharge point for each storm drain. Discharge points for the storm drains generally coincide with site National Pollutant Discharge Elimination Systems (NPDES) outfalls that eventually discharge to the surface-water units described above.

Two other systems of underground lines that may affect groundwater flow at the PORTS facility are the RCW system and the sanitary sewer system. Both of these systems

QUADRANT I RFI FINAL REPORT

Section: 2.0

Revision: D3

Date: September 9, 1996

Page: 13 of 22

of underground lines are generally located within 10 to 12 feet of the ground surface. Consequently, both systems and the backfill associated with the systems are usually located above the local water table. The RCW system is also pressurized to ensure proper transport of return cooling water. Because of these factors, neither of these systems appears to act as a major discharge area for groundwater. Because the RCW system is pressurized, it could be a source of recharge to groundwater. However, based upon existing groundwater flow data, recharge from these lines to groundwater appears to be insignificant. RCW basins associated with the X-633 cooling towers (Quadrant II) do appear to be sources of groundwater recharge. This is apparent from relatively high groundwater levels, measured in the immediate vicinity of the basins, which indicate the presence of small groundwater mounds (Plate VIII in Appendix A). The RCW basin associated with the X-626 cooling tower (Quadrant I) does not impact the groundwater in this area.

One major man-made feature that significantly affects groundwater flow at the site is a set of building sumps located in the X-700 and X-705 buildings. Sumps in these buildings are pumped at an approximate average rate of 25,000 gallons per day (gpd) in order to keep the basement dry. This pumpage has a significant effect on groundwater flow because it creates a large cone of depression that is centered around the active sumps. The Sunbury Shale also thins or may be absent in this area. Vertical gradients in the area indicate possible upward flow from the Berea to the Gallia. Based upon existing groundwater flow data, no other building sumps appear to have a significant effect on groundwater flow at the PORTS facility.

2.3.3 Groundwater Flow

Groundwater flow directions and gradients at the PORTS facility are influenced by complex and numerous interactions between the hydrogeologic units, natural surface drainages, and man-made features at the site. Interactions between hydrogeologic units

include variable communication between the Gallia, Sunbury, and Berea and between the Gallia and Minford. Groundwater flow directions in the Gallia and Berea are similar across the site with upward and downward gradients between the units. Local groundwater flow in these units is strongly influenced by natural drainage features (Little Beaver Creek, Big Run Creek, the West Drainage Ditch, and the Unnamed Southwest Drainage) and to a lesser extent, man-made drainage features (storm sewer systems) that act as discharge areas.

As shown on Plate VIII (Appendix A), groundwater flow at the site can generally be divided into four distinct flow regions separated by small groundwater divides. These groundwater divides provided the basis for separation of the reservation into quadrants for RFI purposes; the quadrant boundaries generally parallel the flow divides. Of all the variables affecting groundwater flow direction, surface-water drainage at the site exhibits the greatest influence. Groundwater in the Gallia in each flow region ultimately discharges to a surface-water drainage. The interaction between recharge areas and surface-water drainages ultimately controls the location of the various groundwater flow divides in the Gallia. Other less important factors affecting the locations of the divides include seasonal changes in precipitation and pumping from sumps in the X-700/X-705 buildings. The effect of either of these factors on the location of the groundwater flow divides is minor compared to the effect of the site surface-water drainage system. Groundwater flow divides migrate slightly depending upon seasonal changes in precipitation that lead to changes in the amount of groundwater recharge. The flow divides migrate toward areas that receive a larger amount of recharge from precipitation. These areas include locations in which the Minford Clay or extensive surface paving and/or buildings are absent. Pumping of sumps in the X-700/X-705 area causes flow divides to migrate away from this area as pumping increases. These changes in the location of the divides occur as the groundwater flow system at the site develops dynamic equilibrium.

2.3.3.1 Quadrant I - Southern Flow Region

The direction of groundwater flow in the southern portion of the facility (Quadrant I) is controlled by the presence of surface drainages (Big Run Creek and the Unnamed Southwest Drainage), the storm sewer system, and bedrock topography. In general, groundwater in the Gallia flows from north to south, discharging into either Big Run Creek or the Unnamed Southwest Drainage (Plate VIII in Appendix A). Groundwater in the Gallia in the south-central portion of the site (near X-231B) flows primarily to the southeast toward the X-230K Holding Pond. The hydraulic gradient is very low because of the flat valley floor, the presence of thicker, more permeable Gallia deposits, and the proximity to the east-west groundwater divide that runs through the facility. Storm drains have been observed to affect the local flow system at X-231B (Geraghty & Miller, Inc., 1989a). The vertical hydraulic gradient from the Gallia to the Berea is steep, with an average difference of 8 to 10 feet near X-231B. The vertical hydraulic gradient between the Gallia and Berea decreases to the west as the Sunbury thins.

The groundwater flow system near X-749 exhibits minor north-south divides in both the Gallia and Berea (Plates VIII and IX in Appendix A). The divide in the Gallia runs near the western boundary of the landfill. Groundwater flows away from the divide to the east toward Big Run Creek and to the west toward the Unnamed Southwest Drainage. The storm sewers associated with the Gaseous Centrifuge Enrichment Process (GCEP) area influence groundwater flow along the western edge of Quadrant I. A bedrock high located south of the southern edge of the plant site causes groundwater to flow in an east-west direction in this area. Groundwater gradients are steep along Big Run Creek because of the presence of sediment with low conductivity and the rapid drop in elevation toward the creek. The vertical component of flow is downward into the Berea with a difference in Gallia and Berea water levels ranging from 10 to 15 feet. Groundwater flow directions in the Berea in the area are very similar to the directions observed in the Gallia. The north-south

groundwater divide occurs farther west in the Berea than in the Gallia, with flow to the east toward Big Run Creek and to the west towards the unnamed drainage.

2.3.3.2 Quadrant II - Eastern Flow Region

Groundwater flow in the eastern flow region (Quadrant II) is influenced by such factors as the presence and absence of Sunbury Shale, Little Beaver Creek, holding ponds and drainage ditches, bedrock topography, building sumps, and Minford Clay thickness. Little Beaver Creek is the local surface-water receptor for shallow groundwater flow in the area. Much of the groundwater in the Minford and Gallia along the eastern portion of the site migrates toward the creek. The storm sewer system in the area is typically completed within the Minford. The impact of this system, as well as the sanitary sewer and RCW systems, on local groundwater flow direction appears to be limited in this area.

Groundwater flow directions in both the Minford and the Gallia are affected by the presence of drainage ditches and holding ponds, the most prominent in the area being the X-230J7 Holding Pond and East Drainage Ditch (Plate VIII in Appendix A). Both the holding pond and drainage ditch were excavated to bedrock causing seepage faces to develop where the water table intersects the land surface along the side walls in both the Minford and the Gallia. As a result, groundwater near the holding pond and drainage ditch converges toward these local discharge areas.

Groundwater flow in the Berea in this area is primarily east to northeast. The flow direction in this area results from the increased communication between the Gallia and Berea due to the thinning or absence of the Sunbury along the western portion of the site. In most areas, the flow is downward from the Gallia to the Berea. Vertical hydraulic gradients between the Gallia and Berea are greatest where the Sunbury is a thick, competent shale. Groundwater flow through the Sunbury is assumed to be essentially vertical. Near the

QUADRANT I RFI FINAL REPORT

Section: 2.0

Revision: D3

Date: September 9, 1996

Page: 17 of 22

X-705/X-700 buildings where the Sunbury is thin or absent, vertical gradients indicate possible upward flow from the Berea to the Gallia. However, sumps located in the basement of the X-705 building pump at an average rate of 25,000 gpd. This pumpage has a significant effect on groundwater flow because it creates a cone of depression that is centered around the active sumps.

Paved areas, buildings, and thick upper Minford clay and Sunbury Shale deposits effectively reduce recharge to underlying units throughout the PORTS facility. West of X-701B, recharge is reduced to the Minford and Gallia because a large percentage of the land is paved or covered by buildings. This combination causes water levels to be lower in the Minford and Gallia in this area (Plate VIII in Appendix A).

The bedrock highs bordering the northeastern and southeastern portions of the site maintain steep gradients into the main valley. The steep gradients on the northern boundary are also believed to result partially from leakage from the X-633 cooling towers located in this area. This interpretation is supported by water-level measurements taken near the cooling tower basins. These measurements indicate a slight groundwater mound.

2.3.3.3 Quadrant III - Western Flow Region

Groundwater flow in the western flow region (Quadrant III) is influenced by such factors as the presence or absence of the Sunbury, storm drains, holding ponds, and drainage ditches, bedrock topography, buildings, paved areas, and the thickness of the clay portion of the Minford. The West Drainage Ditch is the local surface-water receptor for groundwater in the area. As a result, much of the groundwater in the Minford and Gallia in the area migrates to the west and eventually discharges to the upper tributaries of the ditch. Storm drains in the area are typically completed within the Minford. The impact of the drains on local groundwater flow appears to be limited in the area.

The flow directions in the Minford and Gallia are affected by the presence of drainage ditches and holding ponds, the most prominent in Quadrant III being the X-2230N Holding Pond and the West Drainage Ditch. The West Drainage Ditch is deeply incised into bedrock, especially west of the perimeter road, intercepting much of the groundwater in the Minford and Gallia flowing west of the perimeter road. Seepage faces develop where the water table intersects the land surface along the side walls of the ditches in both the Minford and Gallia. Groundwater near drainage ditches and holding ponds converges toward these local discharge areas (Plate VIII in Appendix A).

Although regional groundwater flow in the Berea Sandstone is northwest to southeast, along the western portion of Quadrant III, the direction of groundwater flow in the Berea has been altered by the West Drainage Ditch and by the erosion of the Berea by the Scioto River Valley to the west. In this area, groundwater flow is primarily to the west. The thinning and absence of the Sunbury along the western portion of the site, including much of Quadrant III, increases communication between the Gallia and the Berea; in most areas the flow is downward from the Gallia to the Berea. Vertical hydraulic gradients between the Gallia and Berea are greatest where the Sunbury Shale is thickest.

Land use and the presence of thick upper Minford clay deposits and Sunbury Shale effectively reduce recharge to underlying units. Along the eastern portion of Quadrant III, recharge to the Minford and Gallia is reduced because a large percentage of the land is paved or covered by buildings. However, recharge to the Berea from the overlying Gallia is increased due to the absence of the Sunbury Shale. The recharge area for the Gallia is located east of the West Drainage Ditch (Plate VIII in Appendix A). The recharge area for the Berea is located east of X-616; this area is depicted as a groundwater mound on Plate IX (Appendix A). The bedrock valley walls bordering the western portion of Quadrant III are composed of shale and, therefore, contribute little groundwater recharge to the area.

2.3.3.4 Quadrant IV - Northern Flow Region

Groundwater flow in the northern portion of the facility (Quadrant IV) is strongly controlled by the presence of surface drainages and bedrock highs: Little Beaver Creek, the North Drainage Ditch, and, to a lesser extent, the Northeast Drainage Ditch. Little Beaver Creek is the surface-water receptor for groundwater in the Gallia and Berea in the area (Plates VIII and IX in Appendix A). Groundwater flow in the Gallia in the south and southeastern portion of Quadrant IV are strongly controlled by an east-west groundwater flow divide that roughly parallels the Quadrant IV boundary. The divide is very prominent in the south along the Quadrant II/Quadrant IV boundary near a bedrock high of Cuyahoga Shale northeast of the X-633 cooling tower system (Plates III and IV Appendix A). The unconsolidated groundwater potentiometric surface forms a mound in this area, with steep gradients and radial flow outward toward Little Beaver Creek, the North Drainage Ditches, and the Northeast Drainage Ditch (Plate VIII in Appendix A). This groundwater mound is due primarily to the bedrock high, but may also be the result of leakage from the X-633 cooling tower basins in this area.

In the northern portion of Quadrant IV, groundwater in the Gallia (near the X-735 landfill) flows south and southwest toward Little Beaver Creek. The hydraulic gradient becomes steeper near Little Beaver Creek and the North Drainage Ditch where they have cut through the Gallia. Groundwater flow in the northwestern portion of Quadrant IV, in the vicinity of the X-734 landfill, is northeast toward the North Drainage Ditch and Little Beaver Creek. Gradients in both the Gallia and Berea steepen toward these surface-water discharges where the units intersect the land surface along the sides of the ditch and creek valleys.

Groundwater flow directions in the Berea parallel the Gallia, with flow primarily to the east and north towards Little Beaver Creek and, to a lesser extent, toward portions of

the North Drainage Ditch. Because the Berea underlies the Sunbury Shale, groundwater flow in the Berea is unaffected by the bedrock high of the Cuyahoga Shale near X-633 (Plate IX in Appendix A). As a result, the major east-west flow divide that is present in the Gallia is not present in the Berea.

Vertical gradients in most areas indicate that flow is downward from the Gallia to the Berea. These vertical gradients result because of the low hydraulic conductivity of the Sunbury Shale, which separates the Gallia and Berea. Vertical gradients are steepest near the bedrock high in the eastern portion of Quadrant IV (0.64 to 0.76) and in the northwestern portion of Quadrant IV around the X-734 landfill area (0.41 to 0.90). Where the Sunbury is present, all well pairs exhibit a downward gradient from the Gallia to the Berea. The thinning of the Sunbury along the western portion of Quadrant IV generally results in lower gradients. Upward gradients in the Berea are observed where the Sunbury Shale is absent, along the east-west flow divide in the southern portion of Quadrant IV near the Quadrant III/IV boundary (F-11G/F-12B= -0.04, F-07G/F-08B= -0.0004, and X330-PZ05G/X330-PZ04B= +0.11).

2.4 References

deMarsily, G., 1986. Quantitative Hydrogeology, Academic Press, Inc., New York.

Feneman, N.M., 1938. Physiography of the United States, Prentice-Hall, New York.

Geraghty & Miller, Inc., 1986a. Hydrogeologic and Groundwater Quality Investigation at the Goodyear Atomic Corporation, X-749 Contaminated Materials Disposal Facility (CMDF), Piketon, Ohio, Prepared for the Goodyear Atomic Corporation.

Geraghty & Miller, Inc., 1986b. Hydrogeologic and Groundwater Quality Investigation at the Goodyear Atomic Corporation, X-701B Water Treatment Facility, Piketon, Ohio, Prepared for the Goodyear Atomic Corporation.

Geraghty & Miller, Inc., 1989a. Groundwater Quality Assessment for Four RCRA Sites, Portsmouth Gaseous Diffusion Plant, Prepared for Martin Marietta Energy Systems.

Geraghty & Miller, Inc., 1989b. Site-Wide Groundwater Flow Model of the Portsmouth Gaseous Diffusion Plant, Prepared for Martin Marietta Energy Systems.

Geraghty & Miller, Inc., 1990a. Final Report on X-701B Pumping Test at the Portsmouth Gaseous Diffusion Plant, Prepared for Martin Marietta Energy Systems.

Geraghty & Miller, Inc., 1990b. Analysis of Long-Term Hydrologic Budget Data for the Portsmouth Gaseous Diffusion Plant, Piketon, Ohio, October 1988-1989, Prepared for Martin Marietta Energy Systems.

Geraghty & Miller, Inc., 1991. Final Report on X-231B Pumping Test at the Portsmouth Gaseous Diffusion Plant, Prepared for Martin Marietta Energy Systems.

Geraghty & Miller, Inc., 1992. Quadrant II RFI Draft Final Report, Portsmouth Gaseous Diffusion Plant, Piketon, Ohio, Prepared for Martin Marietta Energy Systems.

Hazardous Waste Remedial Actions Program (HAZWRAP), Environmental Restoration and Waste Management Programs, Oak Ridge Tennessee. 1993. Internal Draft: Interim Measures Report for the X-749 landfill and X-120 Area, Portsmouth Gaseous Diffusion Plant, Piketon, Ohio, Prepared for Martin Marietta Energy Systems.

Law Engineering Testing Company, 1978. Final Report: Gas Centrifuge Enrichment Plant, Portsmouth, Ohio--Geotechnical Investigation, April 1978.

Law Engineering Testing Company, 1982. Soil and Groundwater Investigations for the GCEP Landfill Pathways Analysis, Prepared for Union Carbide Corporation.

Pettyjohn, W. A. and R. Henning, 1979. Preliminary Estimate of Groundwater Recharge Rates, Related Streamflow and Water Quality in Ohio, Water Resources Center, Ohio State University.

Taylor, H. G. Jr. et al, 1977. Title I Design Foundation Investigation for Static Loading, Gaseous Diffusion Add-On Plant, Portsmouth, Ohio. U.S. Army Engineer Waterways Experiment Station, Miscellaneous Paper S-77-20.

3.0 CHARACTERIZATION OF WASTES

3.1 Introduction

All known waste and process substances disposed of or used at the PORTS facility in Quadrant I have been identified on the Unit Data Sheets in Section 6.0 of the Quadrant I DOCC (Geraghty & Miller, Inc., 1992). A list of these potential constituents of concern is included in Table 3.1. A "Waste Characterization Data Sheet" has been prepared for each waste constituent (Appendix B). The Waste Characterization Data Sheets include the hazard classification, description of physical and chemical properties, and nature of migration and dispersal properties of each constituent. Most of the wastes in Quadrant I occur as mixtures; their physical and chemical properties (particularly migration and dispersal properties) may be different from those of the individual constituents. Therefore, bench-scale studies involving chemical and biological tests may be conducted during the CMS, if required. The approach to the compilation of the data sheets is discussed below.

3.2 Waste Characterization Data Sheets

The primary task in the characterization of wastes was to describe their properties by reviewing published literature. The primary sources of information were Material Safety Data Sheets (Genium Publishing Company, 1989); the *Merck Index* (Budavari, 1989); the *Handbook of Environmental Fate and Exposure Data for Organic Chemicals* (Howard, 1989); *The Handbook of Environmental Fate and Exposure Data for Groundwater Chemicals Desk Reference* (Montgomery, 1991); *Chemical, Physical, and Biological Properties of Compounds Present at Hazardous Waste Sites* (Clement Associates, Inc., 1985); *Water-Related Environmental Fate of 129 Priority Pollutants* (U.S. EPA, 1979); and the *Treatability Database* (U. S. EPA, 1991). These and other references provide physical and chemical properties, National Fire Protection Association hazardous classifications, and

health effects (Immediate Danger to Life and Health [IDLH] Values), as well as other pertinent information. Additional references are included on the individual Waste Characterization Data Sheets (Appendix B).

3.3 References

- Budavari, S., ed. 1989. The Merck Index; an Encyclopedia of Chemicals, Drugs, and Biologicals, 11th Ed. Merck & Company, Inc., Rahway, New Jersey 10,100 pp.
- Clement Associates, Inc. 1985. Chemical, Physical, and Biological Properties of Compounds Present at Hazardous Waste Sites: Final Report. Consultants report to the U.S. EPA, NTIS PB89-132203, 619 pp.
- Genium Publishing Company, 1989. Material Safety Data Sheet No. 517. Genium Publishing Corporation, Schenectady, New York.
- Geraghty & Miller, Inc., 1992. Quadrant I Description of Current Conditions for the Portsmouth Gaseous Diffusion Plant, Piketon, Ohio.
- Howard, P.H., ed. 1989. Handbook of Environmental Fate and Exposure Data for Organic Chemicals, vol. 1: Large Production and Priority Pollutants. Lewis Publishers, Chelsea, Michigan, 574 pp.
- Howard, P.H., ed. 1990. Handbook of Environmental Fate and Exposure Data for Organic Chemicals, vol. II: Solvents. Lewis Publishers, Chelsea, Michigan, 546 pp.
- Montgomery, J. 1991. Groundwater Chemicals Desk Reference, vol. 2, Lewis Publishers, Chelsea, Michigan, 944 pp.
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (U.S. EPA), 1979. Water-Related Environmental Fate of 129 Priority Pollutants vol. I and vol. II, EPA-440/4-79-029a. Chapter 50.
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (U.S. EPA), 1991. RREL Treatability Database, Version 3.0. Risk Reduction Engineering Laboratory, Cincinnati, Ohio.
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (U.S. EPA), 1979. Water-Related Environmental Fate of 129 Priority Pollutants vol. I and vol. II, EPA-440/4-79-029a. Chapter 50.

This page intentionally left blank.

4.3.14 X-760 Pilot Investigation Building; X-760 Neutralization Pit

4.3.14.1 Unit Description

The X-760 Pilot Investigation Building is a 60-foot by 80-foot building that was used for chemical and mechanical engineering pilot and demonstration scale investigations from 1953 to the mid-1980s. Spent wastes from processes at the building are discharged to the X-760 Neutralization Pit located to the north of the X-760 building. The neutralization pit is approximately 7 feet wide, 14 feet long, and 6 feet deep with a concrete baffle in its center to increase mixing. The pit is constructed of reinforced concrete with a chemically resistant sealant. At one time, the pit contained crushed limestone to neutralize any acidic waste effluent; however, because the neutralization capacity of the crushed limestone was limited, it was removed. The waste in the pit varies according to the operations in the X-760 building; waste solids have contained uranium, nickel and PCBs. Since the late 1980s, the neutralization pit has received waste associated with the preparation of industrial hygiene laboratory analytical samples (primarily personnel urine samples). Effluent from the pit enters the sanitary sewer pipeline and is treated at the X-6619 Sewage Treatment Facility in Quadrant III.

4.3.14.2 Potential Contaminants

Potential contaminants directly associated with this unit include PCBs, waste oil, uranium and nickel.

4.3.14.3 Potential Releases

The primary pathways for releases from this unit are through releases from the sides and/or bottom of the X-760 Neutralization Pit to soils adjacent to the pit. The design of the

pit is such that there is no potential for overflow. Releases of uranium, nickel or PCBs would most likely be confined to soils adjacent to the unit because of the relative immobility of these contaminants in the environment.

4.3.14.4 Summary of Investigation: Phase I

UNIT INVESTIGATION SAMPLING POINTS					
Unit	Well(s)	Soil Boring	Hand Auger	Sediment	Surface Water
X-760 Pilot Investigation Building	X749A-10G	RCW-SB103	--	--	--
	X760-01G	SASW-SB102			
	X760-02G	STSW-SB104			
	X760-03G	STSW-SB105			
	X760-04M	X760-SB01			
	X760-05G	X760-SB02			
	X760-06B	X760-SB03			
	X760-07G	X760-SB05			

Four soil borings were drilled at the X-760 unit to determine if releases to soils at the unit had occurred. Four soil borings (RCW-SB103, SASW-SB102, STSW-SB104, and STSW-SB105) were also drilled in the vicinity of the X-760 unit during investigations of the RCW system, the Sanitary Sewer System and the Storm Sewer System. During drilling of soil borings, continuous soil samples were collected from ground surface to bedrock using standard hollow-stem augering and split-spoon sampling techniques. Soil samples were analyzed onsite with a field GC for trichloroethene (Level II). One random soil sample from RCW-SB103, SASW-SB102, STSW-SB104, and STSW-SB105 was collected for Level III analyses of TCL/TAL, Freon-113, fluoride, and radiological parameters. Three soil samples were collected from X760-SB01 through X760-SB03 at depths of 4 feet, 8 feet, and 16 feet for Level III analyses of TCL/TAL, Freon-113, fluoride, and radiological parameters. Two soil samples were collected from X760-SB05 at depths of 6 feet and 12 feet for Level III analyses of VOCs.

Five Gallia wells (X760-01G, X760-02G, X-760-03G, X760-05G, and X760-07G), one Minford well (X760-04M), and one Berea well (X760-06B) were installed in the vicinity of the X-760 unit. One Gallia well (X749A-10G) was installed in the vicinity of X-760 during the investigation of the X-749A unit. During drilling of the Gallia well borings, continuous soil samples were collected from ground surface to bedrock using standard hollow-stem augering and split-spoon sampling techniques. Soil samples were analyzed onsite with a field GC (Level II) for trichloroethene. One random soil sample from each Gallia well boring and from the Minford well boring were collected for Level III analyses of TCL/TAL, Freon-113, fluoride, and radiological parameters. Groundwater samples were collected from all wells for Level III analyses of parameters specified below and for onsite Level II analysis of trichloroethene with a field GC. Level III groundwater samples from Wells X749A-10G, X760-01G, X760-02G, X760-04M, X760-05G, and X760-06B were analyzed for VOCs only. Level III groundwater samples from Wells X760-03G and X760-07G were analyzed for Appendix IX and radiological parameters.

All sample locations associated with Quadrant I are shown on Plate I (Appendix A).

4.3.14.5 Analytical Results: Phase I

4.3.14.5.1 Results of Soil Analyses: Phase I

A list of analytes detected in soil samples collected at X-760 is presented in Appendix D1; a matrix of detected organic compounds and radiological parameters is presented in Table 4.22a. Sampling locations are shown on Plate I (Appendix A). Maps showing sample locations and associated analytical results for soil samples collected at this unit are presented on Figures 4.17a through 4.17d. VOCs were detected in 6 of 22 samples collected from 15 locations. Acetone was detected at X760-SB01-08 ft and X760-SB01-16 ft at concentrations of 900J $\mu\text{g/kg}$ and 230 $\mu\text{g/kg}$, respectively. Methylene chloride was

detected at X760-05G-10 ft and X760-SB01-16 ft at concentrations of 27 $\mu\text{g/kg}$ and 23 $\mu\text{g/kg}$, respectively. Tetrachloroethene was detected at X760-03G-16 ft at a concentration of 5.8 $\mu\text{g/kg}$. Trichloroethene was detected at X760-SB02-08 ft and X760-SB01-04 ft at concentrations of 4.0J $\mu\text{g/kg}$ and 3.2J $\mu\text{g/kg}$, respectively. No other VOCs were detected in soil samples collected in the vicinity of this unit.

PAHs were detected in 1 of 20 samples collected from 13 locations. PAHs were detected only in soil sample X760-SB02-08 ft at concentrations below PQLs. SVOCs were detected in 1 of 20 samples collected from 13 locations. 2-Chlorophenol and benzoic acid were detected at STSW-SB104-14 ft at concentrations of 8.7J $\mu\text{g/kg}$ and 110J $\mu\text{g/kg}$, respectively. No other SVOCs and no PCBs or pesticides were detected in soil samples collected in the vicinity of this unit.

Radiological parameters were detected in 20 of 20 samples collected from 13 locations. Total uranium was detected in 20 soil samples at concentrations ranging from 2.9 mg/kg (X760-02G-02 ft) to 6.6 mg/kg (STSW-SB104-14 ft). Gross alpha was detected in 12 soil samples at activities ranging from 6 pCi/g (STSW-SB104-14 ft, STSW-SB105-14 ft, X760-04M-04 ft, X760-07G-08 ft, and X760-SB02-08 ft) to 11 pCi/g (X760-03G-16 ft). Technetium was detected at RCW-SB103-16 ft and X760-02G-02 ft at activities of 0.2 pCi/g. No other radiological parameters were detected in soil samples collected in the vicinity of this unit.

Level II field-GC analysis results for trichloroethene are presented in Appendix E. Trichloroethene was detected in 82 soil samples in the vicinity of this unit at concentrations ranging from 5.0 ppb (X760-01G-30 ft, X760-02G, 32 ft, X760-04M-06 ft, and X760-SB03-30 ft) to 1,600 ppb (X760-SB01-24 ft).

4.3.14.5.2 Results of Groundwater Analyses: Phase I

Groundwater analysis results are discussed in Section 4.3.22 in the context of the Quadrant I Investigative Area.


4.3.14.6 Discussion

Tetrachloroethene was detected below PQLs at X760-03G-16 ft; trichloroethene was detected below PQLs at X760-SB01-04 ft and X760-SB02-08 ft. VOCs (acetone and methylene chloride) were detected above PQLs in three soil samples. Trichloroethene was detected at X760-SB01-04 ft, but it was not detected in samples collected at 6 to 8 feet and 14 to 16 feet at this same location. SVOCs (including PAHs) were detected below PQLs at X760-SB02-08 ft and STSW-SB104-14 ft. PAH concentrations detected at this unit are consistent with or lower than PAH levels detected in soils throughout the site. These levels are consistent with anthropogenic levels associated with plant operations and infrastructure. At STSW-SB104-14 ft, samples were collected from below the depth of Storm Sewer System lines; these samples therefore represent the maximum concentrations present. Technetium was detected at its PQL at X760-02G-02 ft and RCW-SB103-16 ft. Because technetium was detected in only two samples at its detected limit, these results do not constitute a release from this unit. Based upon these results, a release of VOCs and a possible release of SVOCs to soils have occurred at this unit. X760-03G-16 ft is located within the area of the Quadrant I groundwater contaminant plume; this sample may have been collected from below the groundwater table in this area. This indicates that the extent of soil contamination is limited.

Because the nature and extent of contamination (VOCs and SVOCs) in soil have been determined, the data set is considered complete; no further RFI work is recommended.

Groundwater samples were collected from several wells in the vicinity of this unit during both the Phase I and Phase II investigations. A discussion of these results is found in Section 4.3.22 of this report with a discussion of the Quadrant I Investigative Area groundwater contaminant plume.

The inorganic constituents and radiological parameters for this unit will be evaluated using the results presented in the BSI and will be addressed in the CAS/CMS.



**Figure 4.17b Concentration of VOCs in Soil Samples at X-760 Pilot Investigation Building,
Quadrant I RFI**





Figure 4.17c Concentration of SVOCs, PCBs and Pesticides in Soil Samples at X-760 Pilot Investigation Building, Quadrant I RFI



**INDICATOR SVOCs and
PCBs / PESTICIDES (ug/kg)**

A = ACENAPHTHENE
C = CHRYSENE
F = FLUORANTHENE
P = PYRENE
PCB54 = AROCLOR-1254
PCB60 = AROCLOR-1260

J = ESTIMATED VALUE
ND = NO SVOCs / PCBs DETECTED
* = SVOCs OTHER THAN
INDICATORS PRESENT




Figure 4.17d Concentration of Radiological Parameters in Soil Samples at X-760 Pilot Investigation Building, Quadrant I RFI.




Table 3.1 Quadrant I Potential Constituents of Concern

Acetone	Technetium
Asbestos	Technetium Hexafluoride
Cadmium	Tetrachloroethylene
Calcium Chloride	Toluene
Cupric Arsenate	1,1,1-Trichloroethane
Chloroform	Trichloroethylene
Chromium	Uranium
Hexavalent Chromium	Uranium Hexafluoride
Trivalent Chromium	Waste Oil (including components)
Chromic (VI) Oxide	Xylene
Copper	m-Xylene
Cupric Acid	o-Xylene
Cyanide	p-Xylene
1,1-Dichloroethane	Zinc
1,1-Dichloroethene	Zinc Sulfate (in Orocol)
2,4 -D Ester	
cis-1,2-Dichloroethylene	
trans-1,2-Dichloroethylene	
Diesel Fuel (including components)	
Eresal	
Ethylbenzene	
Ferric Sulfate	
Fly and Bottom Ash (including components)	
Freon-113	
Freon-114	
Gasoline (including components)	
Hydrogen Fluoride (hydrofluoric acid)	
Kerosene	
Lead	
Malathion	
Mercury	
Pentachlorophenol	
Polychlorinated Biphenyls	
Sodium Acid Sulfate (in Orocol)	
Sodium Bisulfate	
Stoddard Solvent	
Sulfuric Acid	

TABLE 4.22a - DETECTED ORGANIC AND RADIOLOGICAL PARAMETERS
SWMU: X-760 INVESTIGATION BUILDING
SOILS

PHASE I

	RCW-SB103-16	SASW-SB102-04	STSW-SB104-14	STSW-SB105-14	X760-01G-10	X760-02G-02
VOLATILE ORGANICS						
ACETONE[ug/kg]	++++
METHYLENE CHLORIDE[ug/kg]
TETRACHLOROETHENE[ug/kg]
TRICHLOROETHENE[ug/kg]
SEMIVOLATILE ORGANICS						
2-CHLOROPHENOL[ug/kg]	8.7J
BENZO(A)ANTHRACENE[ug/kg]
BENZO(A)PYRENE[ug/kg]
BENZO(B)FLUORANTHENE[ug/kg]
BENZO(C)ACID[ug/kg]	110J
BIS(2-ETHYLHEXYL)PHTHALATE[ug/kg]	++++
DI-N-BUTYLPHTHALATE[ug/kg]	++++
FLUORANTHENE[ug/kg]
PHENANTHRENE[ug/kg]
PYRENE[ug/kg]
RADIOLOGICAL PARAMETERS						
GROSS ALPHA[pCi/g]	6	6	7
TECHNETIUM[pCi/g]	0.2	0.2
URANIUM, TOTAL[mg/kg]	5.2	3.4	6.6	4.9	4.5	2.9

***** NOT ANALYZED
..... NOT DETECTED
+++ LAB CONTAMINANT DETECTED BELOW PQL
'R' - DATA UNUSABLE
'J' - ESTIMATED VALUE
DUP - SAME SAMPLE IDS END WITH 'D'

TABLE 4.22a - DETECTED ORGANIC AND RADIOLOGICAL PARAMETERS
SWMU: X-760 INVESTIGATION BUILDING
SOILS

PHASE I

	X760-03G-16	X760-04M-04	X760-05G-10	X760-07G-08	X760-SB01-04	X760-SB01-08
VOLATILE ORGANICS						
ACETONE[ug/kg]	900J
METHYLENE CHLORIDE[ug/kg]	27
TETRACHLOROETHENE[ug/kg]	5.8
TRICHLOROETHENE[ug/kg]	3.2J
SEMIVOLATILE ORGANICS						
2-CHLOROPHENOL[ug/kg]
BENZO(A)ANTHRACENE[ug/kg]
BENZO(A)PYRENE[ug/kg]
BENZO(B)FLUORANTHENE[ug/kg]
BENZOIC ACID[ug/kg]
BIS(2-ETHYLHEXYL)PHTHALATE[ug/kg]
DL-N-BUTYLPHTHALATE[ug/kg]
FLUORANTHENE[ug/kg]
PHENANTHRENE[ug/kg]
PYRENE[ug/kg]
RADIOLOGICAL PARAMETERS						
GROSS ALPHA[pCi/g]	11	6	6	8
TECHNETIUM[pCi/g]
URANIUM, TOTAL[mg/kg]	4.6	3.1	4.6	4.4	4.0	4.4

***** NOT ANALYZED
..... NOT DETECTED
+++ LAB CONTAMINANT DETECTED BELOW PQL
'R' - DATA UNUSABLE
'J' - ESTIMATED VALUE
DUPLICATE SAMPLE IDS END WITH 'D'

TABLE 4.22a - DETECTED ORGANIC AND RADIOLOGICAL PARAMETERS
SWMU: X-760 INVESTIGATION BUILDING

SOILS

	X760-SB01-16	X760-SB02-02	X760-SB02-04	X760-SB02-08	X760-SB02-08D	X760-SB02-16
VOLATILE ORGANICS						
ACETONE[ug/kg]	230
METHYLENE CHLORIDE[ug/kg]	23
TETRACHLOROETHENE[ug/kg]
TRICHLOROETHENE[ug/kg]	4.0J
SEMIVOLATILE ORGANICS						
2-CHLOROPHENOL[ug/kg]
BENZO(A)ANTHRACENE[ug/kg]	34J
BENZO(A)PYRENE[ug/kg]	30J
BENZO(B)FLUORANTHENE[ug/kg]	27J
BENZOIC ACID[ug/kg]
BIS(2-ETHYLHEXYL)PHTHALATE[ug/kg]
DI-N-BUTYL PHTHALATE[ug/kg]	++++
FLUORANTHENE[ug/kg]	120J
PHENANTHRENE[ug/kg]	120J
PYRENE[ug/kg]	85J

RADIOLOGICAL PARAMETERS

GROSS ALPHA[pCi/g]	7	8	6	9	9
TECHNETIUM[pCi/g]
URANIUM, TOTAL[mg/kg]	4.6	3.7	4.6	4.9	4.6

***** NOT ANALYZED

..... NOT DETECTED

++++ LAB CONTAMINANT DETECTED BELOW PQL

R' - DATA UNUSABLE

J' - ESTIMATED VALUE

DUPLICATE SAMPLE IDS END WITH 'D'

TABLE 4.22a - DETECTED ORGANIC AND RADIOLOGICAL PARAMETERS
SWMU: X-760 INVESTIGATION BUILDING
SOILS

	X760-SB03-04	X760-SB03-08	X760-SB03-16
VOLATILE ORGANICS			
ACETONE[ug/kg]
METHYLENE CHLORIDE[ug/kg]
TETRACHLOROETHENE[ug/kg]
TRICHLOROETHENE[ug/kg]
SEMIVOLATILE ORGANICS			
2-CHLOROPHENOL[ug/kg]
BENZO(A)ANTHRACENE[ug/kg]
BENZO(A)PYRENE[ug/kg]
BENZO(B)FLUORANTHENE[ug/kg]
BENZOIC ACID[ug/kg]
BIS(2-ETHYLHEXYL)PHTHALATE[ug/kg]
DI-N-BUTYL PHTHALATE[ug/kg]
FLUORANTHENE[ug/kg]
PHENANTHRENE[ug/kg]
PYRENE[ug/kg]
RADIOLOGICAL PARAMETERS			
GROSS ALPHA[pCi/g]
TECHNETIUM[pCi/g]
URANIUM, TOTAL[mg/kg]	3.3	4.2	4.7

***** NOT ANALYZED
..... NOT DETECTED
++++ LAB CONTAMINANT DETECTED BELOW PQL
'R' - DATA UNUSABLE
'J' - ESTIMATED VALUE
DUPLICATE SAMPLE IDS END WITH 'D'

TABLE 4.22b - DETECTED ORGANIC AND RADIOLOGICAL PARAMETERS
SWMU: X-760 PILOT INVESTIGATION BUILDING
GROUNDWATER

PHASE I

	X749A-10G	X760-01G	X760-03G	X760-04M	X760-05G	X760-05GD
VOLATILE ORGANICS						
1,1,1-TRICHLOROETHANE[ug/l]
1,1-DICHLOROETHENE[ug/l]	1.8J
2-BUTANONE[ug/l]	R	R	R	R	R	R
CHLOROFORM[ug/l]	11J
CIS-1,2-DICHLOROETHENE[ug/l]	36J
METHYLENE CHLORIDE[ug/l]	+++++
TETRACHLOROETHENE[ug/l]
TRICHLOROETHENE[ug/l]	1800	36	72	100	9.7	3.5
SEMIVOLATILE ORGANICS						
BIS(2-ETHYLHEXYL)PHTHALATE[ug/l]
RADIOLOGICAL PARAMETERS						
GROSS BETA[pCi/l]	26

***** NOT ANALYZED
..... NOT DETECTED
++++ LAB CONTAMINANT DETECTED BELOW PQL
R' - DATA UNUSABLE
J' - ESTIMATED VALUE
DUPLICATE SAMPLE IDS END WITH 'D'

TABLE 4.22a - DETECTED ORGANIC AND RADIOLOGICAL PARAMETERS
SWMU: X-760 INVESTIGATION BUILDING
SOILS

PHASE I

	RCW-SB103-16	SASW-SB102-04	STSW-SB104-14	STSW-SB105-14	X760-01G-10	X760-02G-02
VOLATILE ORGANICS						
ACETONE[ug/kg]	++++
METHYLENE CHLORIDE[ug/kg]
TETRACHLOROETHENE[ug/kg]
TRICHLOROETHENE[ug/kg]
SEMIVOLATILE ORGANICS						
2-CHLOROPHENOL[ug/kg]	8.7J
BENZO(A)ANTHRACENE[ug/kg]
BENZO(A)PYRENE[ug/kg]
BENZO(B)FLUORANTHENE[ug/kg]
BENZO(C)ACID[ug/kg]	110J
BIS(2-ETHYLHEXYL)PHTHALATE[ug/kg]	++++
DI-N-BUTYLPHTHALATE[ug/kg]	++++
FLUORANTHENE[ug/kg]
PHENANTHRENE[ug/kg]
PYRENE[ug/kg]
RADIOLOGICAL PARAMETERS						
GROSS ALPHA[pCi/g]	6	6	7
TECHNETIUM[pCi/g]	0.2	0.2
URANIUM, TOTAL[mg/kg]	5.2	3.4	6.6	4.9	4.5	2.9

***** NOT ANALYZED
..... NOT DETECTED
+++ LAB CONTAMINANT DETECTED BELOW PQL
'R' - DATA UNUSABLE
'J' - ESTIMATED VALUE
DUPLICATE SAMPLE IDS END WITH 'D'

TABLE 4.22a - DETECTED ORGANIC AND RADIOLOGICAL PARAMETERS
SWMU: X-760 INVESTIGATION BUILDING
SOILS

PHASE I

	X760-03G-16	X760-04M-04	X760-05G-10	X760-07G-08	X760-SB01-04	X760-SB01-08
VOLATILE ORGANICS						
ACETONE[ug/kg]	900J
METHYLENE CHLORIDE[ug/kg]	27
TETRACHLOROETHENE[ug/kg]	5.8
TRICHLOROETHENE[ug/kg]	3.2J
SEMIVOLATILE ORGANICS						
2-CHLOROPHENOL[ug/kg]
BENZO(A)ANTHRACENE[ug/kg]
BENZO(A)PYRENE[ug/kg]
BENZO(B)FLUORANTHENE[ug/kg]
BENZOIC ACID[ug/kg]
BIS(2-ETHYLHEXYL)PHTHALATE[ug/kg]
DL-N-BUTYLPHTHALATE[ug/kg]
FLUORANTHENE[ug/kg]
PHENANTHRENE[ug/kg]
PYRENE[ug/kg]
RADIOLOGICAL PARAMETERS						
GROSS ALPHA[pCi/g]	11	6	6	8
TECHNETIUM[pCi/g]
URANIUM, TOTAL[mg/kg]	4.6	3.1	4.6	4.4	4.0	4.4

***** NOT ANALYZED
..... NOT DETECTED
+++ LAB CONTAMINANT DETECTED BELOW PQL
'R' - DATA UNUSABLE
'J' - ESTIMATED VALUE
DUPLICATE SAMPLE IDS END WITH 'D'

TABLE 4.22a - DETECTED ORGANIC AND RADIOLOGICAL PARAMETERS
SWMU: X-760 INVESTIGATION BUILDING

SOILS

	X760-SB01-16	X760-SB02-02	X760-SB02-04	X760-SB02-08	X760-SB02-08D	X760-SB02-16
VOLATILE ORGANICS						
ACETONE[ug/kg]	230
METHYLENE CHLORIDE[ug/kg]	23
TETRACHLOROETHENE[ug/kg]
TRICHLOROETHENE[ug/kg]	4.0J
SEMIVOLATILE ORGANICS						
2-CHLOROPHENOL[ug/kg]
BENZO(A)ANTHRACENE[ug/kg]	34J
BENZO(A)PYRENE[ug/kg]	30J
BENZO(B)FLUORANTHENE[ug/kg]	27J
BENZOIC ACID[ug/kg]
BIS(2-ETHYLHEXYL)PHTHALATE[ug/kg]
DI-N-BUTYL PHTHALATE[ug/kg]	++++
FLUORANTHENE[ug/kg]	120J
PHENANTHRENE[ug/kg]	120J
PYRENE[ug/kg]	85J

RADIOLOGICAL PARAMETERS

GROSS ALPHA[pCi/g]	7	8	6	9	9
TECHNETIUM[pCi/g]
URANIUM, TOTAL[mg/kg]	4.6	3.7	4.6	4.9	4.6

***** NOT ANALYZED

..... NOT DETECTED

++++ LAB CONTAMINANT DETECTED BELOW PQL

R' - DATA UNUSABLE

J' - ESTIMATED VALUE

DUPLICATE SAMPLE IDS END WITH 'D'

TABLE 4.22a - DETECTED ORGANIC AND RADIOLOGICAL PARAMETERS
SWMU: X-760 INVESTIGATION BUILDING
SOILS

	X760-SB03-04	X760-SB03-08	X760-SB03-16
VOLATILE ORGANICS			
ACETONE[ug/kg]
METHYLENE CHLORIDE[ug/kg]
TETRACHLOROETHENE[ug/kg]
TRICHLOROETHENE[ug/kg]
SEMIVOLATILE ORGANICS			
2-CHLOROPHENOL[ug/kg]
BENZO(A)ANTHRACENE[ug/kg]
BENZO(A)PYRENE[ug/kg]
BENZO(B)FLUORANTHENE[ug/kg]
BENZOIC ACID[ug/kg]
BIS(2-ETHYLHEXYL)PHTHALATE[ug/kg]
DI-N-BUTYL PHTHALATE[ug/kg]
FLUORANTHENE[ug/kg]
PHENANTHRENE[ug/kg]
PYRENE[ug/kg]
RADIOLOGICAL PARAMETERS			
GROSS ALPHA[pCi/g]
TECHNETIUM[pCi/g]
URANIUM, TOTAL[mg/kg]	3.3	4.2	4.7

***** NOT ANALYZED
..... NOT DETECTED
++++ LAB CONTAMINANT DETECTED BELOW PQL
'R' - DATA UNUSABLE
'J' - ESTIMATED VALUE
DUPLICATE SAMPLE IDS END WITH 'D'

TABLE 4.22b - DETECTED ORGANIC AND RADIOLOGICAL PARAMETERS
SWMU: X-760 PILOT INVESTIGATION BUILDING
GROUNDWATER

PHASE I

	X749A-10G	X760-01G	X760-03G	X760-04M	X760-05G	X760-05GD
VOLATILE ORGANICS						
1,1,1-TRICHLOROETHANE[ug/l]
1,1-DICHLOROETHENE[ug/l]	1.8J
2-BUTANONE[ug/l]	R	R	R	R	R	R
CHLOROFORM[ug/l]	11J
CIS-1,2-DICHLOROETHENE[ug/l]	36J
METHYLENE CHLORIDE[ug/l]	+++++
TETRACHLOROETHENE[ug/l]
TRICHLOROETHENE[ug/l]	1800	36	72	100	9.7	3.5
SEMIVOLATILE ORGANICS						
BIS(2-ETHYLHEXYL)PHTHALATE[ug/l]
RADIOLOGICAL PARAMETERS						
GROSS BETA[pCi/l]	26

***** NOT ANALYZED
..... NOT DETECTED
++++ LAB CONTAMINANT DETECTED BELOW PQL
R' - DATA UNUSABLE
J' - ESTIMATED VALUE
DUPLICATE SAMPLE IDS END WITH 'D'